

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

ACCELERATION BAY LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	C.A. No. 15-228 (RGA)
)	
ACTIVISION BLIZZARD, INC.,)	PUBLIC VERSION
)	August 10, 2016
Defendant.)	
<hr/>		
ACCELERATION BAY LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	C.A. No. 15-282 (RGA)
)	
ELECTRONIC ARTS INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
<hr/>		
ACCELERATION BAY LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	C.A. No. 15-311 (RGA)
)	
TAKE-TWO INTERACTIVE SOFTWARE,)	
INC., ROCKSTAR GAMES, INC. and)	
2K SPORTS, INC.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

**PLAINTIFF ACCELERATION BAY LLC'S ANSWERING BRIEF
IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES**

OF COUNSEL:

Paul J. Andre
Lisa Kobialka
James R. Hannah
KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS
& FRANKEL LLP
990 Marsh Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025
(650) 752-1700

Aaron M. Frankel
KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS
& FRANKEL LLP
1177 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036
(212) 715-9100

Dated: August 4, 2016
Public Version: August 10, 2016
1230657/42020

Philip A. Rovner (#3215)
Jonathan A. Choa (#5319)
POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP
Hercules Plaza
P.O. Box 951
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 984-6000
provner@potteranderson.com
jchoa@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Acceleration Bay LLC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. NATURE AND STAGE OF PROCEEDINGS..... 1

II. SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT 1

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS..... 3

 A. Boeing Sold the Asserted Patents to Acceleration Bay 3

 B. The Court Dismissed These Actions Without Prejudice, Allowing Acceleration Bay to Cure Prudential Standing and Continue to Assert its Patent Infringement Claims Against Defendants..... 4

IV. ARGUMENT..... 5

 A. Defendants Are Not a Prevailing Party..... 5

 B. This is Not an Exceptional Case 9

 1. Acceleration Bay Reasonably Asserted That it Had Standing to Pursue its Claims..... 10

 2. Acceleration Bay’s Litigation Conduct Was Reasonable 15

 C. Attorneys’ Fees Are Not Warranted Under the Court’s Inherent Power..... 17

 D. Defendants’ Demand For Attorneys’ Fees is Excessive and Unreasonable 18

V. CONCLUSION 20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Advanced Video Techs. v. HTC Corp.</i> , Nos. 1:11 Civ. 06604 (CM), 2015 WL 7621483 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 28, 2015).....	13, 14
<i>Alfred E. Mann Found. v. Cochlear Corp.</i> , 604 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	11
<i>Amsted Indus. Inc. v. Buckeye Steel Castings Co.</i> , 23 F.3d 374 (Fed. Cir. 1994).....	17
<i>Apple Inc. v. Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute</i> , IPR2014-00319, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. June 12, 2014).....	8
<i>Beckman Instruments, Inc. v. LKB Produkter AB</i> , 892 F.2d 1547 (Fed. Cir. 1989).....	18
<i>Bianco v. Globus Med., Inc.</i> , 2:12-CV-00147-WCB, 2014 WL 1904228 (E.D. Tex. May 12, 2014).....	9
<i>Brilliant Optical Sols., LLC v. Comcast Corp.</i> , No. 13-CV-00886-REB, 2015 WL 1476691 (D. Colo. Mar. 27, 2015).....	18
<i>Buckhannon Bd. & Care Home, Inc. v. W. Va. Dep't of Health & Human Res.</i> , 532 U.S. 598 (2001).....	6
<i>Chambers v. NASCO, Inc.</i> , 501 U.S. 32 (U.S. 1991).....	17
<i>Clouding IP, LLC v. EMC Corp.</i> , C.A. No. 13-1455-LPS, 2015 WL 5766872 (D. Del. Sept. 30, 2015).....	14, 15, 16
<i>CreAgri, Inc. v. Pinnaclelife, Inc.</i> , 11-CV-6635-LHK, 2014 WL 2508386 (N.D. Cal. June 3, 2014).....	9
<i>CRST Van Expedited, Inc. v. E.E.O.C.</i> , 136 S. Ct. 1642 (2016).....	7
<i>eBay, Inc. v. Advanced Auctions LLC</i> , IPR2014-00806, Paper 14 (P.T.A.B. Sep. 25, 2014).....	8
<i>EON Corp. IP Holdings, LLC v. FLO TV Inc.</i> , CV 10-812-RGA, 2014 WL 2196418 (D. Del. May 27, 2014).....	9

<i>H.R. Techs., Inc. v. Astechologies, Inc.</i> , 275 F.3d 1378 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	6
<i>Hensley v. Eckerhart</i> , 461 U.S. 424 (1983).....	20
<i>Hygienics Direct Co. v. Medline Indus., Inc.</i> , 33 F. App'x 621 (3d Cir. 2002)	7
<i>ICU Med., Inc. v. Alaris Med. Sys., Inc.</i> , 558 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	8
<i>Intellect Wireless, Inc. v. HTC Corp.</i> , No. 09 C 2945, 2015 WL 136142 (N.D. Ill. Jan. 8, 2015)	19
<i>Mars, Inc. v. JCM Am. Corp.</i> , Civ. No. 05-3165 (RBK/JS), 2009 WL 2356834 (D.N.J. July 30, 2009).....	7
<i>Media Techs. Licensing, LLC v. Upper Deck Co.</i> , 334 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	6
<i>Monolithic Power Sys., Inc. v. O2 Micro Int'l Ltd.</i> , 726 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	18
<i>Novo Nordisk A/S v. Mylan Pharms., Inc.</i> , Civ. A. No. 09-2445 (FLW), 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 127070 (D.N.J. Dec. 1, 2010)	5
<i>Octane Fitness, LLC v. ICON Health &Fitness, Inc.</i> , 134 S. Ct. 1749 (2014).....	2, 9
<i>Parallel Iron LLC v. NetApp, Inc.</i> , 70 F.Supp.3d 585 (D. Del. 2014).....	17
<i>Princeton Digital Image Corp. v. Hewlett-Packard</i> , No. 12 Civ. 779 (RJS), 2013 WL 1454945 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 21, 2013)	12, 13
<i>RFR Indus., Inc. v. Century Steps, Inc.</i> , 477 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	6
<i>Semtek Int'l Inc. v. Lockheed Martin Corp.</i> , 531 U.S. 497 (2001).....	6
<i>United Auto. Workers Local 259 Soc. Sec. Dep't v. Metro Auto Ctr.</i> , 501 F.3d 283 (3d Cir. 2007).....	20
<i>Walker Digital, LLC, v. Expedia, Inc.</i> , 950 F. Supp. 2d 729 (D. Del. 2013).....	7, 14

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.