

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ACCELERATION BAY LLC,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.) C.A. No. 15-228 (RGA)
)
ACTIVISION BLIZZARD, INC.) REDACTED - PUBLIC VERSION
)
Defendant.)
<hr/>	
ACCELERATION BAY LLC,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.) C.A. No. 15-282 (RGA)
)
ELECTRONIC ARTS INC.,) REDACTED - PUBLIC VERSION
)
Defendant.)
<hr/>	
ACCELERATION BAY LLC,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.) C.A. No. 15-311 (RGA)
)
TAKE-TWO INTERACTIVE SOFTWARE, INC., ROCKSTAR GAMES, INC. and 2K SPORTS, INC.,) REDACTED - PUBLIC VERSION
)
)
Defendants.)

**DEFENDANTS' OPENING BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF THEIR
MOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES**

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP
Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014)
Stephen J. Kraftschik (#5623)
1201 North Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 658-9200
jblumenfeld@mnat.com
skraftschik@mnat.com

Attorneys for Defendants

OF COUNSEL:

Michael A. Tomasulo
Gino Cheng
David K. Lin
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
333 South Grand Avenue, 38th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
(213) 615-1700

David P. Enzminger
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
275 Middlefield Road
Suite 205
Menlo Park, CA 94025
(650) 858-6500

Daniel K. Webb
Kathleen B. Barry
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
35 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60601
(312) 558-5600

Originally Filed: July 11, 2016
Redacted Version Filed: July 18, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. NATURE AND STAGE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	1
II. SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.	1
III. STATEMENT OF FACTS	3
IV. LEGAL STANDARDS	8
A. Standing Is A Controlling Threshold Issue	8
B. The Exceptional Case Standard, Post- <i>Octane Fitness</i>	9
V. DEFENDANTS ARE THE “PREVAILING PARTIES” UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 285.....	9
VI. DEFENDANTS SHOULD BE AWARDED FEES UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 285 BECAUSE ACCELERATION BAY'S FACTUAL AND LEGAL POSITIONS WERE EXCEPTIONALLY WEAK.....	11
VII. DEFENDANTS SHOULD BE AWARDED THEIR FEES UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 285 BECAUSE ACCELERATION BAY LITIGATED THIS CASE IN A VEXATIOUS MANNER	16
VIII. ALTERNATIVELY, THE COURT SHOULD AWARD DEFENDANTS THEIR FEES AND COSTS UNDER ITS INHERENT POWER.....	18
IX. CONCLUSION	20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
<i>Abbot Labs. v. Diamedix Corp.</i> , 47 F.3d 1128 (Fed. Cir. 1995).....	13
<i>Advanced Video Techs. LLC v. HTC Corp.</i> , Case No. 1:11-CIV-06604, 2015 WL 7621483 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 28, 2015)	9, 11, 17
<i>Alfred E. Mann Found. For Sci. Research v. Cochlear Corp.</i> , 604 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Aspex Eyewear, Inc. v. Miracle Optics, Inc.</i> , 434 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	2, 8, 15
<i>Chambers v. NASCO, Inc.</i> , 501 U.S. 32 (1991).....	20
<i>Clouding IP, LLC v. Google, Inc.</i> , 61 F. Supp. 3d 421 (D. Del. 2014).....	8, 15, 17
<i>Clouding IP, LLC v. EMC Corp.</i> , C.A. No. 13-1455, 2015 WL 5766872 (D. Del. Sept. 30, 2015).....	17
<i>CRST Van Expedited, Inc. v. E.E.O.C.</i> , 136 S. Ct. 1642 (2016)	11
<i>Independent Wireless Tel. Co. v. Radio Corp.</i> , 269 U.S. 459 (1926)	2, 8
<i>Homeland Housewares, LLC v. Sorensen Research</i> , 581 F. App'x 877 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	19
<i>Inland Steel Co. v. LTV Steel Co.</i> , 364 F.3d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	10, 11
<i>Ins. Corp. of Ireland, Ltd. v. Campagnie des Bauxites de Guinee</i> , 456 U.S. 694 (1982)	10
<i>Int'l Gamco Inc. v. Multimedia Games</i> , 504 F.3d 1273 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	2, 15, 16
<i>Lakim Indus., Inc. v. Linzer Products Corp.</i> , No. 2:12-cv-04976, 2013 WL 1767799 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 24, 2013)	18
<i>Luminara Worldwide v. Liown Elecs.</i> , 814 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	16

<i>Macauto U.S.A. v. BOS GMBH & KG,</i> Case IPR2012-00004 (PTAB Jan. 24, 2013).....	11
<i>MarcTec, LLC v. Johnson & Johnson,</i> 664 F.3d 907 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	18
<i>Mathis v. Spears,</i> 857 F.2d 749 (Fed. Cir. 1988).....	19
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Parallel Networks Licensing, LLC,</i> Case IPR2015-00483 (PTAB July 15, 2015).....	11
<i>Octane Fitness, LLC v. ICON Health & Fitness, Inc.,</i> 134 S. Ct. 1749 (2014)	9, 10
<i>Parallel Iron LLC v. NetApp Inc.,</i> 70 F.Supp.3d 585 (D. Del. 2014).....	19, 20
<i>Prima Tek II, L.L.C. v. A-Roa Co.,</i> 222 F.3d 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....	8, 13
<i>Propat Int'l. Corp. v. Rpost,</i> 473 F.3d 1187 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	16
<i>Ray v. Eyster (In re Orthopedic "Bone Screw" Prods. Liab. Litig.),</i> 132 F.3d 152 (3d Cir. 1997)	20
<i>Reger v. Nemours Found., Inc.,</i> 599 F.3d 285 (3d Cir. 2010)	12
<i>Rite-Hite Corp. v. Kelley Co.,</i> 56 F.3d 1538 (Fed. Cir. 1995).....	12
<i>Summit Data Sys., LLC v. EMC Corp,</i> C.A. No. 10-749, 2014 WL 4955689 (D. Del. Sept. 25, 2014)	19
<i>Vehicle Operation Techs. LLC v. Ford Motor Co.,</i> C.A. No. 13-539-RGA, 2015 WL 4036171 (D. Del. July 1, 2015).....	10
<i>Waterman v. Mackenzie,</i> 138 U.S. 252 (1891)	12, 15
<i>Walker Digital, LLC v. Expedia, Inc.,</i> 950 F. Supp. 2d 729 (D. Del. 2013)	17
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 285.....	<i>passim</i>

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.