

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

MEDA PHARMACEUTICALS INC. and )  
CIPLA LTD., )  
 )  
Plaintiffs, ) C.A. No. 14-1453-LPS  
 )  
v. )  
 )  
APOTEX INC. and APOTEX CORP., )  
 )  
Defendants. )

**APOTEX'S ANSWERING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

OF COUNSEL:

Tung-On Kong  
David M. Hanna  
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI  
Professional Corporation  
One Market Street  
Spear Tower Suite 3300  
San Francisco, California 94105  
Tel: (415) 947-2000

Nicole W. Stafford  
Robert A. Delafield, II  
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI  
Professional Corporation  
900 S. Capital of Texas Highway  
Las Cimas IV, Fifth Floor  
Austin, Texas 78746-5546  
Tel: (512) 338-5400

Adam Burrowbridge  
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI  
1700 K Street, NW, Fifth Floor  
Washington, DC 20006  
Tel: (202) 973-8992

Richard L. Horwitz (#2246)  
David E. Moore (#3983)  
Bindu A. Palapura (#5370)  
Stephanie E. O'Byrne (#4446)  
POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP  
Hercules Plaza, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
1313 N. Market Street  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
Tel: (302) 984-6000  
[rhorwitz@potteranderson.com](mailto:rhorwitz@potteranderson.com)  
[dmoore@potteranderson.com](mailto:dmoore@potteranderson.com)  
[bpalapura@potteranderson.com](mailto:bpalapura@potteranderson.com)  
[sobyrne@potteranderson.com](mailto:sobyrne@potteranderson.com)

*Attorneys for Defendants Apotex Inc. and  
Apotex Corp.*

Dated: December 23, 2015  
1212673 / 42112

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. DISPUTED CLAIM TERMS .....	2
A. “Condition(s)” .....	2
1. “Condition(s)” Should Be Construed Consistently With Its Plain and Ordinary Meaning .....	2
2. Plaintiffs’ Construction Is Not Supported By the Specification .....	3
3. The Terms Must Be Construed In the Context Of the Claim Phrase As a Whole.....	6
B. “Administration” .....	7
1. Apotex’s Construction Most Naturally Aligns With the Patent’s Description Of the Invention .....	7
2. Plaintiffs Improperly Attempt To Import Limitations Based On Extrinsic Evidence Including Irrelevant Commercial Embodiments .....	10
3. Plaintiffs’ Did Not Disavow the Scope of the Term “Administration” .....	12
III. CONCLUSION.....	14

## TABLE OF CITATIONS

Page(s)

## CASES

<i>Absolute Software, Inc. v. Stealth Signal, Inc.</i> , 659 F.3d 1121 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	5
<i>Akeva, L.L.C. v. Adidas Am., Inc.</i> , 385 F. Supp. 2d 559 (M.D.N.C. 2005), <i>aff'd</i> , 208 Fed. Appx. 861 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	9
<i>Ballard Med. Prods. v. Allegiance Healthcare Corp.</i> , 268 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	7
<i>Bayer Pharma AG v. Watson Labs., Inc.</i> , C.A. No. 12-1726-LPS, 2014 WL 4954617 (D. Del. Sept. 30, 2014).....	9, 10
<i>Bicon, Inc. v. Straumann Co.</i> , 441 F.3d 945 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	3
<i>Edwards Lifesciences v. Cook Inc.</i> , 582 F.3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	13
<i>Gillette Co. v. Energizer Holdings, Inc.</i> , 405 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	4
<i>Grober v. Mako Prods.</i> , 686 F.3d 1335 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	13
<i>Kyocera Wireless Corp. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n</i> , 545 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	7
<i>Liebel-Flarsheim Co. v. Medrad, Inc.</i> , 358 F.3d 898 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	3
<i>Medtronic Inc. v. Boston Sci. Corp.</i> , 695 F.3d 1266 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	5
<i>Merck &amp; Co. v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc.</i> , 347 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	3
<i>O2 Micro Int'l Ltd. v. Beyond Innovation Tech. Co.</i> , 521 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	8
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc).....	<i>passim</i>

*Renishaw PLC v. Marposs Societa' per Azioni*,  
158 F.3d 1243 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....11

*Rosco, Inc. v. Velvac Inc.*,  
C.A. No. 11-117-LPS, 2012 WL 6028239 (D. Del. Dec. 4, 2012).....8

*SciMed Life Sys., Inc. v. Advanced Cardiovascular Sys., Inc.*,  
242 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....13

*Scriptgen Pharm., Inc. v. 3-Dimensional Pharm., Inc.*,  
79 F. Supp. 2d 409 (D. Del. 1999).....13

*Virgin Atlantic Airways Ltd. v. Delta Airlines, Inc.*,  
C.A. No. 11-61-LPS-CJB, 2012 WL 1636147 (D. Del. Apr. 26, 2012).....7

*Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptoronic, Inc.*,  
90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....9

### TABLE OF ABBREVIATIONS

Plaintiffs	Plaintiffs Meda Pharmaceuticals Inc. and Cipla Ltd.
Defendants	Defendant Apotex Inc. and Apotex Corp.
Delafield Ex. ___	The corresponding exhibit to the Declaration of Robert Delafield in Support of Apotex's Answering Claim Construction Brief, filed concurrently herewith
Pl. Op. Brief	Plaintiffs' Opening <i>Markman</i> Brief, filed Nov 23, 2015 (D.I.)
Def. Op Brief.	Defendants' Opening <i>Markman</i> Brief, filed Nov 23, 2015 (D.I.)
'723 patent	U.S. Patent No. 8,163,723 (D.I. 43-1)
'620 patent	U.S. Patent No. 8,168,620 (D.I. 43-2)

Note: emphasis is added throughout unless otherwise indicated

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.