IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMAZON.COM, INC.,

Defendant.

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE, INC.,

Defendant.

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC, Plaintiff,

ASUSTEK COMPUTER, INC. AND ASUS COMPUTER INTERNATIONAL, Defendants.

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC, Plaintiff,

v.

BLACKBERRY LTD AND BLACKBERRY CORPORATION,

Defendants.

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC, Plaintiff,

v.

FUHU, INC.,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01795-GMS JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01796-GMS JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01797-GMS JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01798-GMS JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01799-GMS JURY TRIAL DEMANDED



Case 1:13-cv-01984-GMS Document 37 Filed 12/09/14 Page 2 of 12 PageID #: 491 Case 1:13-cv-01795-GMS Document 34 Filed 12/03/14 Page 2 of 12 PageID #: 476

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC, Plaintiff,

V.

FUJITSU LIMITED AND FUJITSU AMERICA, INC.

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01800-GMS JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC, Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE INC. AND MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01801-GMS JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC, Plaintiff,

v.

HISENSE USA CORPORATION, Defendant.

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC, Plaintiff,

v.

HTC CORPORATION AND HTC AMERICA, INC.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01983-GMS JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01802-GMS JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC, Plaintiff,

v.

HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC. AND FUTUREWEI TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01803-GMS JURY TRIAL DEMANDED



Case 1:13-cv-01984-GMS Document 37 Filed 12/09/14 Page 3 of 12 PageID #: 492 Case 1:13-cv-01795-GMS Document 34 Filed 12/03/14 Page 3 of 12 PageID #: 477

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC, Plaintiff,

v.

LENOVO (UNITED STATES) INC., Defendant. Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01805-GMS JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC,
Plaintiff.

v.

LG ELECTRONICS, INC.; LG ELECTRONICS, USA, INC.; AND LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM USA, INC.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01806-GMS JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC, Plaintiff,

v.

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,
Defendant.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01984-GMS JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC, Plaintiff,

V.

MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC., Defendant.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01807-GMS JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC, Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD.; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, LLC; AND SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01808-GMS JURY TRIAL DEMANDED



MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC, Plaintiff,

V.

SONY CORPORATION; SONY ELECTRONICS, INC.; SONY MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS (USA) INC.; AND SONY MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS AB,

Defendants,

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01809-GMS JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC, Plaintiff.

v.

TOSHIBA CORPORATION AND TOSHIBA AMERICA INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC., Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01810-GMS JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC, Plaintiff,

v.

ZTE CORPORATION AND ZTE (USA) INC., Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01811-GMS JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

STIPULATION TO STAY PENDING INTER PARTES REVIEW



IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by the undersigned counsel for the Plaintiff Memory Integrity, LLC and the undersigned counsel for Defendants, subject to approval by the Court, THAT:

- 1) Each of the above-captioned actions shall be stayed pending *inter partes* review of the patent-in-suit in the following IPR Case Nos.:
 - IPR2015-00158;
 - IPR2015-00159;
 - IPR2015-00161;
 - IPR2015-00163; and
 - IPR2015-00172

(collectively, "the Pending IPRs").

- 2) Each Defendant agrees that it shall not, in the above-captioned actions or any subsequent proceedings, contest the validity of any claim on grounds actually raised during any of the Pending IPRs addressed in a final written decision under 35 U.S.C.§ 318(a) that is not subject to further appeal; *provided that* this provision shall not apply with respect to any *inter partes* review proceeding which is terminated by settlement prior to its conclusion. For any Defendant which is or subsequently becomes a petitioner in any of the Pending IPRs, or the real party in interest or privy of a petitioner, this provision shall be in addition to, and not in replacement of, the estoppel provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 315(e).
- 3) The parties agree to meet and confer in good faith regarding whether or not the stays should be continued within fourteen days after decisions on institution are issued in all of the Pending IPRs. If Memory Integrity decides to reduce the claims that it will proceed on in the above-captioned actions based on the institution of one or more of the IPRs, Memory Integrity shall provide its revised list of claims at least two business days prior to the meet and confer. Within twenty-one days after decisions on institution are issued in all of the Pending IPRs,



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

