

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC,
Plaintiff,

v.

AMAZON.COM, INC.,
Defendant.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01795-GMS
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC,
Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE, INC.,
Defendant.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01796-GMS
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC,
Plaintiff,

v.

ASUSTEK COMPUTER, INC. AND ASUS
COMPUTER INTERNATIONAL,
Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01797-GMS
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC,
Plaintiff,

v.

BLACKBERRY LTD AND
BLACKBERRY CORPORATION,
Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01798-GMS
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC,
Plaintiff,

v.

FUHU, INC.,
Defendant.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01799-GMS
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC,
Plaintiff,

v.

FUJITSU LIMITED AND
FUJITSU AMERICA, INC.
Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01800-GMS
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC,
Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE INC. AND MOTOROLA
MOBILITY LLC,
Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01801-GMS
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC,
Plaintiff,

v.

HISENSE USA CORPORATION,
Defendant.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01983-GMS
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC,
Plaintiff,

v.

HTC CORPORATION AND
HTC AMERICA, INC.,
Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01802-GMS
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC,
Plaintiff,

v.

HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC. AND
FUTUREWEI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01803-GMS
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC,
Plaintiff,

v.

LENOVO (UNITED STATES) INC.,
Defendant.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01805-GMS
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC,
Plaintiff,

v.

LG ELECTRONICS, INC.; LG ELECTRONICS,
USA, INC.; AND LG ELECTRONICS
MOBILECOMM USA, INC.,
Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01806-GMS
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC,
Plaintiff,

v.

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,
Defendant.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01984-GMS
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC,
Plaintiff,

v.

MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC.,
Defendant.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01807-GMS
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC,
Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD.;
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, LLC; AND
SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,
LLC,
Defendant.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01808-GMS
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC,
Plaintiff,

v.

SONY CORPORATION; SONY ELECTRONICS,
INC.; SONY MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS (USA)
INC.; AND SONY MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS
AB,
Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01809-GMS
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC,
Plaintiff,

v.

TOSHIBA CORPORATION AND TOSHIBA
AMERICA INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC.,
Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01810-GMS
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC,
Plaintiff,

v.

ZTE CORPORATION AND ZTE (USA) INC.,
Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01811-GMS
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND TIME

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by the undersigned counsel for the parties in the above-captioned cases (“Parties”), subject to the approval of the Court, that:

- the Parties’ deadline under the Scheduling Order for each Defendant in the above-captioned cases to produce to the Plaintiff core technical documents related to the accused product(s) shall be extended to January 12, 2015;
- the Parties’ deadline under the Scheduling Order for Plaintiff to produce to each Defendant in the above-captioned cases an initial claim chart relating each

accused product to the asserted claims each product allegedly infringes shall be extended to March 2, 2015; and

- the Parties' deadline under the Scheduling Order for each Defendant in the above-captioned cases to produce to the Plaintiff its initial invalidity contentions for each asserted claim and invalidating references shall be extended to April 1, 2015.

This is the Parties' first request to extend these deadlines. The Parties substantively agree that a stay of the above-captioned cases is appropriate in view of pending petitions for *inter partes review* of the asserted patent before the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The Parties are in the process of negotiating the terms of the stay and drafting a stipulation. Therefore, the extension of time to comply with the above-mentioned deadlines will allow the Parties to continue drafting the stipulation.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.