

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|----------------------|
| ARENDI S.A.R.L., |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | |
| v. |) | |
| |) | C.A. No. 12-1595-LPS |
| LG ELECTRONICS, INC., |) | |
| LG ELECTRONICS USA, INC. and |) | |
| LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM U.S.A., |) | |
| INC., |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendants. |) | |

| | | |
|------------------|---|----------------------|
| ARENDI S.A.R.L., |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | |
| v. |) | |
| |) | C.A. No. 12-1596-LPS |
| APPLE INC., |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |

| | | |
|-------------------------|---|----------------------|
| ARENDI S.A.R.L., |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | |
| v. |) | |
| |) | C.A. No. 12-1597-LPS |
| BLACKBERRY LIMITED and |) | |
| BLACKBERRY CORPORATION, |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendants. |) | |

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|----------------------|
| ARENDI S.A.R.L., |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | |
| v. |) | |
| |) | C.A. No. 12-1598-LPS |
| SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., |) | |
| SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. |) | |
| and SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS |) | |
| AMERICA, LLC, |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendants. |) | |

ARENDI S.A.R.L.,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.) C.A. No. 12-1599-LPS
)
 NOKIA INC.,)
)
 Defendants.)
)

ARENDI S.A.R.L.,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.) C.A. No. 12-1601-LPS
)
 MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC)
 f/k/a MOTOROLA MOBILITY, INC.,)
)
 Defendant.)
)

ARENDI S.A.R.L.,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.) C.A. No. 12-1602-LPS
)
 SONY MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS (USA))
 INC. f/k/a SONY ERICSSON MOBILE)
 COMMUNICATIONS (USA) INC.,)
 SONY CORPORATION and)
 SONY CORPORATION OF AMERICA,)
)
 Defendants.)
)

ARENDI S.A.R.L.,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.) C.A. No. 13-919-LPS
)
 GOOGLE LLC,)
)
 Defendant.)
)

| | | |
|------------------|---|---------------------|
| ARENDI S.A.R.L., |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | |
| v. |) | |
| |) | C.A. No. 13-920-LPS |
| YAHOO! INC., |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |
| |) | |

MOTION AND ORDER FOR ADMISSIONS *PRO HAC VICE*

Pursuant to Local Rule 83.5 and the attached certification, counsel moves the admission *pro hac vice* of Max I. Straus of Susman Godfrey LLP, 1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor, New York, NY 10019, to represent Plaintiff in the above-captioned cases.

December 6, 2018

SMITH, KATZENSTEIN & JENKINS, LLP

/s/ Eve H. Ormerod
 Neal C. Belgam (No. 2721)
 Eve H. Ormerod (No. 5369)
 1000 West Street, Suite 1501
 Wilmington, DE 19801
 (302) 652-8400
 nbelgam@skjlaw.com
 eormerod@skjlaw.com

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that counsel’s motion for admission *pro hac vice* is granted.

Date: _____

United States District Court Judge

CERTIFICATION BY COUNSEL TO BE ADMITTED PRO HAC VICE

Pursuant to Local Rule 83.5, I certify that I am eligible for admission to this Court, am admitted, practicing and in good standing as a member of the Bar of the State of New York. Pursuant to Local Rule 83.6, I submit to the disciplinary jurisdiction of this Court for any alleged misconduct which occurs in the preparation or course of this action. I also certify that I am generally familiar with this Court's Local Rules. In accordance with the Revised Standing Order for District Court Fund, effective September 1, 2016, I further certify that the annual fee of \$25.00 has been or will be submitted to the Clerk's office upon the filing of this Motion.

Signed: 

Date: 12/6/2018

Max I. Straus
Susman Godfrey LLP
1301 Avenue of the Americas
32nd Floor
New York, NY 10019-6023
P 212-729-2048
Email: mstraus@susmangodfrey.com