EXHIBIT B



```
Case 1:13-cv-00919-JLH Document 605-2 Filed 09/08/23 Page 2 of 292 PageID1#: 62035
                    IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
 2
                        FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
 3
     ARENDI S.A.R.L.,
 4
                Plaintiff,
 5
                                   C.A. No. 13-919-JLH
       v.
 6
     GOOGLE LLC,
 7
                Defendant.
 8
 9
10
                          Wednesday, April 26, 2023
                                  9:00 a.m.
11
                                 Jury Trial
12
                                  Volume III
13
                                    Sealed
14
15
16
                               844 King Street
                            Wilmington, Delaware
17
18
       BEFORE: THE HONORABLE JENNIFER L. HALL
       United States Magistrate Judge
19
20
21
       APPEARANCES:
22
                    SMITH, KATZENSTEIN & JENKINS LLP
23
                    BY: NEAL C. BELGAM, ESQ.
24
                    -and-
25
```



Case 1:13-cv-00919-JLH Document 605-2 Filed 09/08/23 Page 3 of 292 Pagel 51#2: 62036



PROCEEDINGS

(Proceedings commenced in the courtroom beginning at 9:00 a.m.)

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. Please be seated.

All right. So we're here for the third day of trial. At the outset, I just wanted to put on the record our current time calculations. For April 24, we charged two hours 22 minutes to Arendi and two hours 28 minutes to Google. For April 25, yesterday, we charged five hours and five minutes to Arendi and two minutes to Google.

I also wanted to hear from everyone how we are proceeding with the source code portion of the testimony today. And we can have a seat for a second. And just as a preview, the reason why I'm asking is I have been giving some thought to making sure that we're making a record that will comply with the Third Circuit's Avandia opinion on the common law right of access and the First Amendment right of access.

And so my understanding of the law as set forth in that opinion is that any side seeking to seal the courtroom or keep the proceedings under seal needs to demonstrate to the Court and the Court needs to make an



explicit finding for each portion that's under seal, that any proposed redaction or closure would, quote, work a clearly defined and serious injury to the parties seeking closure. And that's the common law right of access.

With respect to the First Amendment right of access that applies to jury trials, there is a presumption that the proceedings will be open to the public. The parties seeking closure may rebut the presumption of openness only if able to demonstrate, quote, an overriding interest in excluding the public based on findings that the closure is essential to preserve higher values and is narrowly tailored to serve an interest. And the Court needs to make sure that the proceeding is open unless the denial of access serves an important Government interest and that there is no less restrictive way to serve that Government interest.

So based on what I've seen so far here, we've had no one from the public that is not associated with this case in some way that's been excluded from the courtroom. So I don't think we have any issue right now, and we've also had no one that's made an objection to the sealing of the courtroom. So I don't think we have an issue right now. But I'll put on the record that when we've sealed the courtroom, my understanding is that there were only a couple of people excluded that were related to



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

