

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

| | | |
|------------------|---|---------------------|
| ARENDI S.A.R.L., |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | |
| v. |) | C.A. No. 13-919-JLH |
| |) | |
| GOOGLE LLC, |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |
| |) | |

VERDICT FORM

Instructions: When answering the following questions and completing this Verdict Form, please follow the directions provided and follow the Jury Instructions that you have been given. Your answer to each question must be unanimous. Some of the questions contain legal terms that are defined and explained in the Jury Instructions. Please refer to the Jury Instructions if you are unsure about the meaning or usage of any legal term that appears in the questions below.

As used herein:

1. “Arendi” refers to Plaintiff Arendi S.A.R.L.;
2. “Google” refers to Defendant Google LLC;
3. The “’843 Patent” refers to U.S. Patent No. 7,917,843.
4. “Accused Products” refers to
 - a. Google’s Pixel 2, Pixel 2 XL, Pixel 3, and Pixel 3 XL Smartphones
 - b. Google Docs, Google Sheets, Google Slides, Google Chrome, Gmail, Inbox by Gmail, Google Tasks, Google Keep, Google Calendar, Google Messages, Google Hangouts, Google Contacts

QUESTION 1:

Did Arendi prove by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the Accused Products infringe any of the following claims of the '843 Patent?

“Yes” is a finding for **Arendi**.

“No” is a finding for **Google**.

| | YES | NO |
|----------|------------|-----------|
| Claim 23 | | |
| Claim 30 | | |

QUESTION 2:

Did Arendi prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Google induced infringement of any of the following claims of the '843 Patent?

“Yes” is a finding for **Arendi**.

“No” is a finding for **Google**.

| | YES | NO |
|----------|------------|-----------|
| Claim 23 | | |
| Claim 30 | | |

QUESTION 3:

Did Arendi prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Google contributed to infringement of any of the following claims of the '843 Patent?

“Yes” is a finding for **Arendi**.

“No” is a finding for **Google**.

| | YES | NO |
|----------|------------|-----------|
| Claim 23 | | |
| Claim 30 | | |

QUESTION 4:

Did Arendi prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Google’s infringement of any of the claims of the '843 Patent was willful?

“Yes” is a finding for **Arendi**.

“No” is a finding for **Google**.

Yes: _____

No: _____

QUESTION 5:

Did Google prove by clear and convincing evidence any of the following claims of the '843 Patent are invalid?

“Yes” is a finding for **Google**.

“No” is a finding for **Arendi**.

| | YES | NO |
|----------|------------|-----------|
| Claim 23 | | |
| Claim 30 | | |

Instructions: Only answer Question 6 if you:

- Answered “Yes” for any of the claims in Question 1, 2 or 3; and
- Answered “No” for one or more of those same claims in Question 5.

QUESTION 6:

What sum of money has Arendi proven by a preponderance of the evidence that it is entitled to as a reasonable royalty for Google’s infringement of the ’843 Patent?

Answer: \$ _____

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.