**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

ARENDI S.A.R.L.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	C.A. No. 13-919-JLH
v.	)	
	)	
GOOGLE LLC,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

VERDICT FORM

Instructions: When answering the following questions and completing this Verdict

Form, please follow the directions provided and follow the Jury Instructions that you have been

given. Your answer to each question must be unanimous. Some of the questions contain legal

terms that are defined and explained in the Jury Instructions. Please refer to the Jury Instructions

if you are unsure about the meaning or usage of any legal term that appears in the questions

below.

As used herein:

1. "Arendi" refers to Plaintiff Arendi S.A.R.L.;

2. "Google" refers to Defendant Google LLC; and

3. The "'843 Patent" refers to U.S. Patent No. 7,917,843.

A. Direct Infringement

**Question No. 1A – Computer-Readable Medium Claims – Google Chrome Used With
Content Detectors and Contextual Search Quick Actions:**

Did Arendi prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Google directly infringed any of the

following computer-readable medium claims of the '843 Patent by its use of Google Chrome with

"Content Detectors" and "Contextual Search Quick Actions"?

"Yes" is a finding in favor of Arendi.

"No" is a finding in favor of Google.

	YES (for Arendi)	NO (for Google)
Claim 23		
Claim 30		

Question No. 1B – Method Claims – Google Chrome Used With Content Detectors and Contextual Search Quick Actions:

Did Arendi prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Google directly infringed any of the

following method claims of the '843 Patent by performing every step of the claimed method using

Google Chrome with "Content Detectors" and "Contextual Search Quick Actions"?

"Yes" is a finding in favor of Arendi.

"No" is a finding in favor of Google.

	YES (for Arendi)	NO (for Google)
Claim 1		
Claim 8		

Question No. 1C – Computer-Readable Medium Claims – Applications Used With Smart Text Selection with Text Classifier:

Did Arendi prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Google directly infringed any of the

following computer-readable medium claims of the '843 Patent by its use of any of the accused

applications with "Smart Text Selection with Text Classifier"?

"Yes" is a finding in favor of Arendi.

"No" is a finding in favor of Google.

	YES (for Arendi)	NO (for Google)
Claim 23		
Claim 30		

Question No. 1D – Method Claims – Applications Used With Smart Text Selection with Text Classifier:

Did Arendi prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Google directly infringed any of the

following method claims of the '843 Patent by performing every step of the claimed method using

the accused applications with "Smart Text Selection with Text Classifier"?

"Yes" is a finding in favor of Arendi.

"No" is a finding in favor of Google .

	YES (for Arendi)	NO (for Google)
Claim 1		
Claim 8		

B. Invalidity

Question No. 2A – Anticipation:

Did Google prove by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the following claims of the '843

Patent are invalid as anticipated by prior art?

"Yes" is a finding in favor of Google.

"No" is a finding in favor of Arendi.

	YES (for Google)	NO (for Arendi)
Claim 1		
Claim 8		
Claim 23		
Claim 30		

Question No. 2B – Obviousness:

Did Google prove, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the following claims of the '843

Patent are invalid as obvious in view of prior art?

"Yes" is a finding in favor of Google.

"No" is a finding in favor of Arendi.

	YES (for Google)	NO (for Arendi)
Claim 1		
Claim 8		
Claim 23		
Claim 30		

Question No. 2C – Written Description:

Did Google prove, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the following claims of the '843

Patent are invalid for lack of written description in the specification of the '843 Patent?

"Yes" is a finding in favor of Google.

"No" is a finding in favor of Arendi.

	YES (for Google)	NO (for Arendi)
Claim 1		
Claim 8		
Claim 23		
Claim 30		

Question No. 2D – Enablement:

Did Google prove, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the following claims of the '843

Patent are invalid for lack of enablement by the specification of the '843 Patent?

"Yes" is a finding in favor of Google.

"No" is a finding in favor of Arendi.

	YES (for Google)	NO (for Arendi)
Claim 1		
Claim 8		
Claim 23		
Claim 30		

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

fastcase®
Smarter legal research.