

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ARENDI S.A.R.L.,

Plaintiff,

v.

MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC
f/k/a MOTOROLA MOBILITY, INC.,

Defendant.

C.A. No. 12-1601-LPS

Original Version Filed: May 6, 2021

Public Version Filed: May 13, 2021

ARENDI S.A.R.L.,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

C.A. No. 13-919-LPS

Original Version Filed: May 6, 2021

Public Version Filed: May 13, 2021

**PLAINTIFF'S REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF ITS
MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Of Counsel:

Seth Ard
Beatrice Franklin
Max Straus
SUSMAN GODFREY, LLP
1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor
New York, NY 10019
sard@susmangodfrey.com
bfranklin@susmangodfrey.com
mstraus@susmangodfrey.com

SMITH, KATZENSTEIN & JENKINS LLP
Neal C. Belgam (No. 2721)
Eve H. Ormerod (No. 5369)
1000 West Street, Suite 1501
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 652-8400
nbelgam@skjlaw.com
eormerod@skjlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Arendi S.A.R.L.

John Lahad
Ibituroko-Emi Lawson
Burton DeWitt
Robert Travis Korman
Brenda Adimora
1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100
Houston, TX 77002-5096
jlahad@susmangodfrey.com
elawson@susmangodfrey.com
bdewitt@susmangodfrey.com
tkorman@susmangodfrey.com
badimora@susmangodfrey.com

Kalpana Srinivasan
1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400
Los Angeles, CA 90067
ksrinivasan@susmangodfrey.com

Kemper Diehl
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800
Seattle, WA 98101-3000
kdiehl@susmangodfrey.com

Dated: May 6, 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ARGUMENT.....	1
1. 35 U.S.C. § 315(e)(2) estops Defendants’ asserted invalidity grounds.....	1
A. Defendants bear the burden of showing it could not reasonably have raised the identified references during IPR.	1
B. Arendi has not waived the application of 35 U.S.C. § 315(e).....	2
C. Defendants cannot skirt estoppel by introducing cumulative evidence.....	3
D. Estoppel applies to combinations including noninstituted references.	10
E. Combinations including the remaining references are subject to estoppel.	11
F. Defendants offer no facts to rebut Arendi’s showing that they reasonably could have raised each reference, including those cumulative of systems.....	12
2. Defendants offer no evidence that their Apple Data Detectors, LiveDoc, Selection Recognition Agent and Eudora amalgamations existed as unitary system.....	14
3. No evidence shows that the demonstration laptops reproduce prior art systems.....	19
4. Arendi is entitled to summary judgment on the challenged affirmative defenses	20
CONCLUSION.....	20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Cal. Inst. of Tech. v. Broadcom Ltd.</i> , No. 16-3714-GW, 2019 WL 8192255 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 9, 2019)	3
<i>CEATS, Inc. v. Cont'l Airlines, Inc.</i> , 526 F. App'x 966 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	7
<i>Clearlamp, LLC v. LKQ Corp.</i> , No. 12-2533, 2016 WL 4734389 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 18, 2016)	13
<i>Douglas Dynamics, LLC v. Meyer Prod. LLC</i> , No. 14-886-JDP, 2017 WL 1382556 (W.D. Wis. Apr. 18, 2017)	1
<i>Dynacore Holdings Corp. v. U.S. Philips Corp.</i> , 363 F.3d 1263 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	4
<i>Eddy v. Virgin Island Water & Power Auth.</i> , 256 F.3d 204, 209 (3d Cir. 2001).....	2
<i>Epstein</i> , 322 F.3d 1559, 1567 (Fed. Cir. 1994).....	15
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Symantec Corp.</i> , No. 10-593-GMS, 2013 WL 5302560 (D. Del. Sept. 19, 2013).....	16
<i>Forman v. Davis</i> , 371 U.S. 178 (1962).....	2
<i>f'real Foods, LLC v. Hamilton Beach Brands, Inc.</i> , No. 16-41-CFC, 2019 WL 1558486 (D. Del. Apr. 10, 2019).....	1
<i>Good Tech. Corp. v. Mobileiron, Inc.</i> , No. 12-05826-PSG, 2015 WL 4197554, (N.D. Cal. Jul. 10, 2015).....	15
<i>Intell. Ventures I LLC v. T-Mobile USA, Inc.</i> , No. 13-1632-LPS, 2017 WL 3723934 (D. Del. Aug. 29, 2017).....	20
<i>INVISTA N. Am. S.à.r.l. v. M&G USA Corp.</i> , No. 11-1007-LSR-CJB, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 88633 (D. Del. June 25, 2013).....	3
<i>Juno Therapeutics, Inc. v. Kite Pharma</i> , No. CV1707639SJORAOX, 2018 WL 1470594 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 8, 2018)	3

Lab’y Skin Care, Inc. v. Ltd. Brands, Inc.,
 No. 06-601-JJF, 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 72853 (D. Del. Aug. 17, 2009)2

Mayes v. City of Hammond,
 No. 03-379-PRC, 2006 WL 2054377 (N.D. Ind. July 21, 2006).....3

Medline Indus., Inc. v. C.R. Bard, Inc.,
 No. 17 C 7216, 2020 WL 5512132 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 14, 2020).....4, 5, 9

Microchip Tech. Inc. v. Aptiv Svcs US LLC,
 No. 17-1194-JDW, 2020 WL 4335519 (D. Del. July 28, 2020)2

Open Text S.A. v. BOX, Inc.,
 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 16901 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 11, 2015)16

Palomar Techs., Inc. v. MRSI Sys., LLC,
 No. 18-10236-FDS, 2020 WL 2115625 (D. Mass. May 4, 2020)1, 2, 14

In re Paoli R.R. Yard PCB Litig.,
 35 F.3d 717 (3d Cir. 1994).....13

Princeton Digit. Image Corp. v. Ubisoft Ent. SA,
 No. 13-335-LPS-CJB, 2019 WL 410432 (D. Del. Feb. 1, 2019)10

SiOnyx, LLC v. Hamamatsu Photonics K.K.,
 330 F. Supp. 3d 574 (D. Mass. 2018)2

Wasica Fin. GmbH v. Schrader Int’l, Inc.,
 432 F. Supp. 3d 448 (D. Del. 2020).....2, 4, 9, 13

Wi-LAN Inc. v. LG Elecs., Inc.,
 421 F. Supp. 3d 911 (S.D. Cal. 2019).....12, 13

Willis Elec. Co. v. Polygroup Macau Ltd.,
 No. 15-3443-WMW-KMM, 2019 WL 5541407 (D. Minn. Oct. 28, 2019)12

Wm. Wrigley Jr. Co. v. Cadbury Adams USA LLC,
 683 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....15

Zimmer Surgical, Inc. v. Stryker Corp.,
 365 F. Supp. 3d 466 (D. Del. 2019).....14

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 288.....20

35 U.S.C. § 315(e) *passim*

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.