

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

ARENDI S.A.R.L.,

Plaintiff,

v.

LG ELECTRONICS, INC.,
LG ELECTRONICS USA, INC. and
LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM U.S.A.,
INC.,

Defendants.

C.A. No. 12-1595-LPS

Original Version Filed: April 6, 2021

Public Version Filed: April 13, 2021

ARENDI S.A.R.L.,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

C.A. No. 12-1596-LPS

Original Version Filed: April 6, 2021

Public Version Filed: April 13, 2021

ARENDI S.A.R.L.,

Plaintiff,

v.

BLACKBERRY LIMITED and
BLACKBERRY CORPORATION,

Defendants.

C.A. No. 12-1597-LPS

Original Version Filed: April 6, 2021

Public Version Filed: April 13, 2021

ARENDI S.A.R.L.,

Plaintiff,

v.

MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC
f/k/a MOTOROLA MOBILITY, INC.,

Defendant.

C.A. No. 12-1601-LPS

Original Version Filed: April 6, 2021

Public Version Filed: April 13, 2021

<p>ARENDI S.A.R.L.,</p> <p>Plaintiff,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>SONY MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS (USA) INC. f/k/a SONY ERICSSON MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS (USA) INC., SONY CORPORATION and SONY CORPORATION OF AMERICA,</p> <p>Defendants.</p>	<p>C.A. No. 12-1602-LPS</p> <p>Original Version Filed: April 6, 2021</p> <p>Public Version Filed: April 13, 2021</p>
<p>ARENDI S.A.R.L.,</p> <p>Plaintiff,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>GOOGLE LLC,</p> <p>Defendant.</p>	<p>C.A. No. 13-919-LPS</p> <p>Original Version Filed: April 6, 2021</p> <p>Public Version Filed: April 13, 2021</p>
<p>ARENDI S.A.R.L.,</p> <p>Plaintiff,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>OATH HOLDINGS INC., and OATH INC.,</p> <p>Defendants.</p>	<p>C.A. No. 13-920-LPS</p> <p>Original Version Filed: April 6, 2021</p> <p>Public Version Filed: April 13, 2021</p>

ARENDI'S ANSWERING BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' JOINT MOTION TO EXCLUDE LAURENTIUS MARAIS' OPINIONS AND TESTIMONY UNDER FED. R. EVID. 702 AND DAUBERT¹

¹ Plaintiff has filed an identical Answering Brief in Opposition to Defendants' Joint Motion to Exclude Laurentius Marais' Opinions and Testimony Under Fed. R. Evid. 702 and *Daubert* in each of the respective cases.

Of Counsel:
SUSMAN GODFREY LLP

Seth Ard (*pro hac vice*)
Max Straus (*pro hac vice*)
1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor
New York, NY 10019
sard@susmangodfrey.com
mstraus@susmangodfrey.com

John Lahad (*pro hac vice*)
Emi Lawson (*pro hac vice*)
1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100
Houston, TX 77002-5096
jlahad@susmangodfrey.com
elawson@susmangodfrey.com

Kalpana Srinivasan (*pro hac vice*)
1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400
Los Angeles, CA 90067
ksrinivasan@susmangodfrey.com

Kemper Diehl (*pro hac vice*)
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800
Seattle, WA 98101
kdiehl@susmangodfrey.com

SMITH, KATZENSTEIN & JENKINS LLP
Neal C. Belgam (No. 2721)
Eve H. Ormerod (No. 5369)
Julie O'Dell (No. 6191)
1000 West Street, Suite 1501
Wilmington, DE 19801
Tel: (302) 652-8400
nbelgam@skjlaw.com
eormerod@skjlaw.com
jdodell@skjlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Arendi S.A.R.L.

Dated: April 6, 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
STATEMENT OF FACTS	1
NATURE AND STAGE OF PROCEEDINGS	4
ARGUMENT	4
I. Defendants Provide No Legal Basis to Support Exclusion.	5
II. Defendants’ Arguments go to Weight not Admissibility.....	9
CONCLUSION.....	11

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	Page(s)
<i>Citizens Fin. Grp., Inc. v. Citizens Nat’l Bank of Evans City</i> , 383 F.3d 110 (3d Cir. 2004).....	4
<i>Fractus, S.A. v. Samsung</i> , No. 6:09-203-LED-JDL, 2011 WL 7563820 (E.D. Tex. Apr. 29, 2011)	7, 8
<i>GlaxoSmithKline LLC v. Glenmark Pharm. Inc.</i> , No. 14-877-LPS-CJB, 2017 WL 8948975 (D. Del. May 30, 2017), <i>report and recommendation adopted</i> , No. CV 14-877-LPS-CJB, 2017 WL 2536468 (D. Del. June 9, 2017)	4, 9
<i>Hartle v. FirstEnergy Generation Corp.</i> , No. 08-1019, 2014 WL 1317702 (W.D. Pa. Mar. 31, 2014)	9, 10
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Motorola, Inc.</i> , 904 F. Supp. 2d 1109 (W.D. Wash. 2012).....	4, 10
<i>Parallel Networks Licensing, LLC v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , No. 13-2073 (KAJ), 2017 WL 11557655 (D. Del. Feb. 22, 2017) <i>aff’d by Parallel Networks Licensing, LLC v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 777 F. App’x 489 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	4, 5, 6
<i>Visteon Glob. Techs., Inc. v. Garmin Int’l, Inc.</i> , No. 10-10578, 2016 WL 6123526 (E.D. Mich. Oct. 20, 2016).....	6
<i>Vita-Mix Corp. v. Basic Holding, Inc.</i> , 581 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	5, 6, 7, 9
 Other Authorities	
Fed. R. Evid. 702	1

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.