

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

ARENDI S.A.R.L.,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

C.A. No. 13-919-LPS

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

PUBLIC VERSION

**APPENDIX IN SUPPORT OF GOOGLE LLC'S
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NON-INFRINGEMENT**

OF COUNSEL:

Robert W. Unikel
Michelle Marek Figueiredo
John Cotiguala
Matt Lind
PAUL HASTINGS LLP
71 South Wacker Drive, Suite 4500
Chicago, IL 60606
Tel: (312) 449-6000

Robert R. Laurenzi
Chad J. Peterman
PAUL HASTINGS LLP
200 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10166
Tel: (212) 318-6000

Ariell Bratton
PAUL HASTINGS LLP
4747 Executive Drive, 12th Floor
San Diego, CA 92121
Tel: (858) 458-3000

Ginger Anders
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
601 Massachusetts Avenue NW
Suite 500E
Washington, D.C. 20001
Tel: (202) 220-1100

Dated: March 5, 2021
7108143 / 40549


Public Version Dated: March 12, 2021

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

By: /s/ David E. Moore
David E. Moore (#3983)
Bindu A. Palapura (#5370)
Stephanie E. O'Byrne (#4446)
Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor
1313 N. Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
Tel: (302) 984-6000
dmoore@potteranderson.com
bpalapura@potteranderson.com
sobyrne@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Defendant Google LLC

Google's Summary Judgment Appendix

Exhibit Number	Document Name
1	Asserted Claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,917,843
2	Comparison of Claim 1 of the '843 and '853 Patents
3	
4	Screenshots from Videos of Accused Products
5	Citing https://developer.android.com/guide/platform (Ex. 17)
6	Summary of Non-Infringement Positions Applicable to Each Accused App
7	Paragraph 20 of Appendix B of the Expert Report of Martin Rinard

APPENDIX 1

Appendix 1 - Asserted Claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,917,843

Claim 1	Claim 23
1. A computer-implemented method for finding data related to the contents of a document using a first computer program running on a computer, the method comprising:	23. At least one non-transitory computer readable medium encoded with instructions which, when loaded on a computer, establish processes for finding data related to the contents of a document using a first computer program running on a computer, the processes comprising:
displaying the document electronically using the first computer program;	displaying the document electronically using the first computer program;
while the document is being displayed, analyzing, in a computer process, first information from the document to determine if the first information is at least one of a plurality of types of information that can be searched for in order to find second information related to the first information;	while the document is being displayed, analyzing, in a computer process, first information from the document to determine if the first information is at least one of a plurality of types of information that can be searched for in order to find second information related to the first information;
retrieving the first information;	retrieving the first information;
providing an input device, configured by the first computer program, that allows a user to enter a user command to initiate an operation, the operation comprising (i) performing a search using at least part of the first information as a search term in order to find the second information, of a specific type or types, associated with the search term in an information source external to the document, wherein the specific type or types of second information is dependent at least in part on the type or types of the first information, and (ii) performing an action using at least part of the second information;	providing an input device, configured by the first computer program, that allows a user to enter a user command to initiate an operation, the operation comprising (i) performing a search using at least part of the first information as a search term in order to find the second information, of a specific type or types, associated with the search term in an information source external to the document, wherein the specific type or types of second information is dependent at least in part on the type or types of the first information, and (ii) performing an action using at least part of the second information;
in consequence of receipt by the first computer program of the user command from	in consequence of receipt by the first computer program of the user command from

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.