

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

ARENDI S.A.R.L.,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	C.A. No. 13-919-LPS
)	
v.)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
)	
GOOGLE LLC,)	PUBLIC VERSION
)	
Defendant.)	

**DEFENDANT GOOGLE LLC'S OPENING BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF ITS
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NON-INFRINGEMENT**

OF COUNSEL:

Robert W. Unikel
Michelle Marek Figueiredo
John Cotiguala
Matt Lind
PAUL HASTINGS LLP
71 South Wacker Drive, Suite 4500
Chicago, IL 60606
Tel: (312) 449-6000

Robert R. Laurenzi
Chad J. Peterman
PAUL HASTINGS LLP
200 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10166
Tel: (212) 318-6000

Ariell Bratton
PAUL HASTINGS LLP
4747 Executive Drive, 12th Floor
San Diego, CA 92121
Tel: (858) 458-3000

David E. Moore (#3983)
Bindu A. Palapura (#5370)
Stephanie E. O'Byrne (#4446)
POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP
Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor
1313 N. Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
Tel: (302) 984-6000
dmoore@potteranderson.com
bpalapura@potteranderson.com
sobyrne@potteranderson.com

*Attorneys for Defendant Motorola Mobility
LLC f/k/a Motorola Mobility, Inc.*

Ginger Anders
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
601 Massachusetts Avenue NW
Suite 500E
Washington, D.C. 20001
Tel: (202) 220-1100

Dated: March 5, 2021
7108167 / 40549

Public Version Dated: March 12, 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. THE ASSERTED '843 PATENT 5

 A. Background and the Asserted Claims 5

 B. The Critical Elements of the Asserted Claims Must be Understood in Light of the Invalid '853 Patent 6

III. THE ACCUSED PRODUCTS 7

IV. THE ANDROID OS FRAMEWORK AND THE ACCUSED FUNCTIONALITIES 8

 A. The Android OS and the Android OS Framework 8

 B. Linkify 9

 C. Smart Text Selection with Text Classifier 12

 D. Smart Linkify 14

V. LEGAL STANDARD FOR INFRINGEMENT 15

VI. THE ACCUSED PRODUCTS WITH THE ACCUSED APPS USING THE ACCUSED FUNCTIONALITIES CANNOT AND DO NOT INFRINGE THE ASSERTED '843 PATENT CLAIMS 16

 A. The Bulk of the Accused Products Do Not Use “Document[s]” as Required by the '843 Claims 16

 1. The claim elements and the Court’s construction 16

 2. Seven of eight Accused Apps using Linkify and Smart Linkify cannot infringe because the Accused Functionalities do not act on a file “into which text can be entered” 18

 3. The Accused Chrome and News Apps cannot infringe because they do not include documents “into which text can be entered” 19

 4. The Accused Apps using transitory or data entry fields cannot infringe because such fields are not “word processing, spreadsheet or similar files” 20

 B. The Accused Products Do Not Analyze First Information from the Document to Determine if It Is of a Type “*That Can Be Searched for in Order* to Find Second Information” as Required by the '843 Claims 23

 1. The claim element and the Court’s construction 23

2.	None of the Accused Products using any of the Accused Functionalities infringe because none analyze to determine whether identified information is of a type that “can be searched for” on a user’s device	23
C.	The Accused Products and Accused Apps Do Not “Provid[e] an Input Device, Configured by the First Computer Program” or Perform an Operation “in Consequence of Receipt by the First Computer Program,” as Required by the Asserted Claims	27
1.	The claim elements and the Court’s constructions.....	27
2.	Arendi’s allegations regarding the “first computer program”	33
3.	Twelve of thirteen Accused Apps do not infringe because the alleged “first computer program” in each does not set up the input device for use by the user	34
4.	Twelve of Thirteen Accused Apps do not infringe because the alleged “first computer program” in each does not receive, process and act on the user command from the alleged input device	39
VII.	CONCLUSION.....	40

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	21
<i>Akamai Techs. Inc. v. Limelight Networks, Inc.</i> , 797 F.3d 1020 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	16
<i>Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 832 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	6
<i>Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Google LLC</i> , 882 F.3d 1132 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	5
<i>Bicon, Inc. v. Straumann Co.</i> , 441 F.3d 945 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	26
<i>Celotex Corp. v. Catrett</i> , 477 U.S. 317 (1986).....	15
<i>Finjan v. Secure Computing Corp.</i> , 626 F.3d 1197 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	16
<i>Inpro II Licensing, S.A.R.L. v. T-Mobile U.S.A., Inc.</i> , 450 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	15
<i>Novartis Corp. v. Ben Venue Labs., Inc.</i> , 271 F.3d 1043 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	15
<i>Polaroid Corp. v. Eastman Kodak Co.</i> , 789 F.2d 1556 (Fed. Cir. 1986).....	7
<i>St. Clair Intellectual Property Consultants, Inc. v. Apple, Inc.</i> , C.A. No. 10-982-LPS, 2012 WL 3238252 (D. Del. Aug. 7, 2012).....	26
<i>Warner-Jenkinson Co. v. Hilton Davis Chem. Co.</i> , 520 U.S. 17 (1997).....	25

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.