

EXHIBIT 1

FILED UNDER SEAL

EXHIBIT 2

FILED UNDER SEAL

Exhibit 3

Exhibit C-11A**Claim Chart Applying CyberDesk Against the '843 Patent**

CyberDesk was known and/or publicly used in the United States at least by 1997. It therefore constitutes prior art under at least pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. § 102(a). As shown below, CyberDesk anticipates and/or renders obvious claims 1, 8, 13, 15, 17, 18, 19, 23, and 30 of the '843 patent, at least as CyberDesk was known, used, and described in (1) Dey, Anind et al., *CyberDesk: A Framework for Providing Self-Integrating Ubiquitous Software Services*, Technical Report, GVU Center, Georgia Institute of Technology, GIT-GVU-97-10, June 1997 (“CyberDesk Technical Report”); (2) Dey, Anind et al., *CyberDesk: A Framework for Providing Self-Integrating Ubiquitous Software Services*, UIST 97, ACM 0-89791-881-9/97/10 (“CyberDesk Summary”); and (3) Wood, Andrew et al., *CyberDesk: Automated Integration of Desktop and Network Services*, CHI 97, Atlanta GA, Mar. 22-27, 1997, ACM 0-89791-802-9/97/03 (“CyberDesk Technical Note”). If the Judge or Jury finds that CyberDesk does not anticipate a particular claim, then CyberDesk still renders the claim obvious for the reasons discussed in Exhibit F.

'843 Patent Claims	Disclosure
Claim 1	
<p>A computer-implemented method for finding data related to the contents of a document using a first computer program running on a computer, the method comprising:</p>	<p>CyberDesk discloses a computer-implemented method for finding data related to the contents of a document using a first computer program running on a computer.</p> <p>See, e.g., CyberDesk Technical Report at 1, col. 1: “Current software suites suffer from problems due to poor integration of their individual tools. They require the designer to think of all possible integrating behaviours and leave little flexibility to the user. In this paper, we discuss CyberDesk, a component software framework that automatically integrates desktop and network services, requiring no integrating decisions to be made by the tool designers and giving total control to the user.”</p> <p>See, e.g., CyberDesk Technical Report at 1, col. 1: “In response, software companies have been adopting the notion of component software: using small software modules as building blocks for a larger application. While there are many competing standards (OLE [11], Active X [10], Java Beans [6], OpenDoc [1]), the prevailing view is to provide a framework which programmers and sophisticated users can build upon to create desired application suites.”</p> <p>See, e.g., CyberDesk Technical Report at 1, col. 2: “In this paper, we present CyberDesk system, a component software framework that relieves most of the burden of integrating services from both the designer of individual services and the end user, provides greater flexibility to the user, and automatically suggests how independent services can be integrated in interesting ways.”</p>

Exhibit C-11A

'843 Patent Claims	Disclosure
	<p>See, e.g., CyberDesk Technical Report at 1, col. 2: “CyberDesk is a component-based framework written in Java, that supports automatic integration of desktop and network services [16]. The framework is flexible, and can be easily customized and extended.”</p> <p>See, e.g., CyberDesk Technical Report at 1, col. 2—2, col. 1 (including figures): “The user walks to a grocery store, and the system asks if he wants to see his shopping list, get more information about the grocery store, or get directions to his house. The user chooses the grocery list and goes shopping. He walks to a friend’s house but nobody is home. The system asks if he wants to check his friend’s calendar, contact him via e-mail or phone, or get directions to go home. The user chooses the first option and the system tells him that his friend is at work. So, he chooses the second option, sends his friend an email saying that he stopped by, and starts walking home. On the way home, the system notifies him that he has received an e-mail from his friend. The user reads the e-mail (see Figure 1 below) which has information on a new book written by his favourite author. The e-mail contains a Web site address and an e-mail address for the author. The user highlights the e-mail address (a) and the system gives him some suggestions (b) on what he can do: search for more information on the author, put the author’s contact information in the contact manager, call the author, or send an e-mail to the author.”</p> <p>See, e.g., CyberDesk Summary at 75 (including fig. 1): “The user receives an e-mail message (see Figure 1) with the name Andy Wood in it. She highlights the name with her mouse (a) and is shown a list of suggested actions she can perform (b). This list includes searching for the selected text using the AltaVista web search service, looking up a phone number for the selected name using the Switchboard web service, or looking up the selected name in the desktop contact manager. The user chooses the second option and retrieves Andy’s phone number and mailing address from the web (c). She wants to update her contact information for Andy, so she chooses the last option which loads Andy Wood’s contact information in the contact manager (d).”</p> <p>See, e.g., CyberDesk Technical Report at 4, cols. 1-2: “All of the desktop applets currently being used in CyberDesk (2 e-mail browsers, contact manager, 2 calendar managers/schedulers, scratchpad) were previously written by other Georgia Tech students.”</p> <p>See, e.g., CyberDesk Technical Report at 5, col. 2—6, col. 1 (including fig. 3): “The example below is the wrapper for the Contact Manager (see Figure 3), and it extends the ContactApplet class (the original application class). . . . Lookup an entry for the name in the</p>

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.