Case 1:13-cv-00919-LPS Document 243-1 Filed 12/28/20 Page 1 of 3 PageID #: 8543

## EXHIBIT A

**DOCKET A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Page 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL. ATTORNEY EYES ONLY 1 2 DISCLAIMER: This is a draft transcript. It is scan 3 edited only and may contain some proofreading errors. 4 This is not a legal document and may contain 5 6 copyrighted, privileged or confidential information. This file should not be disclosed, this 7 realtime draft transcript in any form (written or 8 9 electronic). This is an unofficial transcript which should NOT be relied upon for purposes of verbatim 10 citation. 11 12 This is NOT a certified transcript, and 13 as such, it may contain computer generated 14 mistranslations of stenotype code or electronic 15 transmission errors, resulting in inaccurate or nonsensical word combinations, or untranslated 16 stenotype symbols which cannot be deciphered by 17 18 non-stenotypists. Corrections will be made in the 19 20 preparation of the certified transcript, resulting in differences in content, page and line numbers, 21 22 punctuation, and formatting. This realtime unedited transcript contains no appearance page, certificate 23 24 page, index, or certification. \* \* \* \* 25

Case 1:13-cv-00919-LPS Document 243-1 Filed 12/28/20 Page 3 of 3 PageID #: 8545

Page 274 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL. ATTORNEY EYES ONLY 2 Okay cup cent I you understand Dr. Snelled Ο. areply report reflect, his opinions, just like his 3 first infringement report reflect his opinions, and 4 you believe that your report fully responds to his 5 6 opinions in? 7 MR. LAURENZI: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Fully respond, I don't 8 9 knoll how to answer that question. Other than to 10 say -- um -- ^ it's my understanding that my purpose in this litigation, my role in the litigation is she 11 spond to the allegations presented if the first 12 Smedley report, and appendix. I have done so. 13 My expert report contains my opinion, and the appendices 14 of course there to, and it's also my understanding 15 it's improper for Dr. Smedley to intrins disnew 16 opinions in miss second report. I am not seeing 17 anything right now that I am not understanding 18 19 anything concrete right now that would cause me to go 20 off and supplement something new, but it's very plausible that something in the future can cause that 21 22 to happen. Do you have in mind specific sections of 23 Ο. 24 Dr. Smedley's reply report that you believe are new as

> TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

you use that term in your last response?

25