

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

ARENDI S.A.R.L.,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	C.A. No. 13-919-LPS
)	
GOOGLE LLC,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

**PLAINTIFF’S OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF
ADDRESSING U.S. PATENT NUMBERS 7,917,843 AND 8,306,993**

Of Counsel:

SMITH, KATZENSTEIN & JENKINS, LLP

Stephen Susman
Seth Ard
Max Straus
SUSMAN GODFREY, LLP
1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor
New York, New York 10019
Tel: (212) 336-8330
ssusman@susmangodfrey.com
sard@susmangodfrey.com
mstraus@susmangodfrey.com

Neal C. Belgam (No. 2721)
Eve H. Ormerod (No. 5369)
Beth A. Swadley (No. 6331)
1000 West Street, Suite 1501
Wilmington, DE 19801
Tel: (302) 652-8400
nbelgam@skjlaw.com
eormerod@skjlaw.com
bswadley@skjlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Arendi S.A.R.L.

John Lahad
SUSMAN GODFREY, LLP
1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100
Houston, Texas 77002-5096
Tel: (713) 651-9366
jlahad@susmangodfrey.com

Dated: June 19, 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. SUMMARY OF THE PATENTED TECHNOLOGY 2

III. LEGAL PRINCIPLES OF CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 3

IV. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART 4

V. DISPUTED TERMS..... 4

 A. Terms Common to Both Patents 4

 1. “document” (all claims) 4

 B. ’843 Patent Claim Terms 11

 1. “first information” (’843 Patent, cl. 1, 8, 23, 30)..... 11

 2. “computer program”/“first computer program”/“second computer program” 12

 3. “providing an input device, configured by the first computer program” (’843 Patent, cl. 1, 23) 15

 4. “that allows a user to enter a user command to initiate an operation”(’843 Patent, cl. 1, 23)..... 16

 5. “to determine if the first information is at least one of a plurality of types of information that can be searched for” (’843 Patent, cl. 1, 23) 18

 C. ’993 Patent Claim Terms 21

 1. “while it is electronically displayed” (’993 Patent, cl. 1, 9, 17) 21

 2. “providing for the user an input device configured so that a single execute command from the input device is sufficient to cause the performing” (’993 Patent, cl. 1, 9, 17) 22

VI. CONCLUSION..... 25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Adams Respiratory Therapeutics, Inc. v. Perrigo Co.</i> , 616 F.3d 1283, 1290 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	18
<i>Akamai Techs., Inc. v. Limelight Networks, Inc.</i> , 805 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	6, 10
<i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 744 F.3d 732 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	10
<i>Augme Techs., Inc. v. Yahoo! Inc.</i> , 755 F.3d 1326 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	20
<i>BASF Corp. v. Johnson Matthey Inc.</i> , 875 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	4, 20
<i>Berkheimer v. HP Inc.</i> , 881 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	19
<i>Blackbird Tech LLC v. ELB Elecs., Inc.</i> , 895 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	10, 11
<i>Cox Commc’ns, Inc., v. Sprint Commc’n Co. LP</i> , 838 F.3d 1224 (Fed Cir. 2016).....	20
<i>Curtis-Wright Flow Control Corp. v. Velan, Inc.</i> , 438 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	14
<i>Cybor Corp. v. FAS Techs., Inc.</i> , 138 F.3d 1448 (Fed. Cir. 1998) (en banc).....	3
<i>Endoheart AG v. Edwards Lifesciences Corp.</i> , No. 14-CV-1473-LPS, 2016 WL 1270127 (D. Del. Mar. 31, 2016).....	4, 16
<i>Epos Techs. Ltd. v. Pegasus Techs. Ltd.</i> , 766 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	6
<i>GPNE Corp. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 830 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	15
<i>Hill-Rom Servs., Inc. v. Stryker Corp.</i> , 755 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	<i>passim</i>

HTC Corp. v. IP Com GmbH & Co., KG,
667 F.3d 1270 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....25

Imaginal Systematic, LLC v. Leggett & Platt, Inc.,
805 F.3d 1102 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....7

Info-Hold, Inc. v. Applied Media Techs. Corp.,
783 F.3d 1262 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....7

Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. AT&T Mobility LLC,
No. 12-cv-193-LPS, 2015 WL 1393386 (D. Del. Mar. 24, 2015).....18

Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. T-Mobile USA, Inc.,
902 F.3d 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....19

Liebel-Flarsheim Co. v. Medrad, Inc.,
358 F.3d 898, 904 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....6, 7

Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.,
52 F.3d 967 (Fed. Cir. 1995) (en banc), *aff'd*, 517 U.S. 370 (1996)3, 13

Microprocessor Enhancement Corp. v. Texas Instruments Inc.,
520 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....25

Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.,
134 S. Ct. 2120 (2014).....19, 20

One-E-Way, Inc. v. Int’l Trade Comm’n,
859 F.3d 1059 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....4

Phillips v. AWH Corp.,
415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....3

Pragmatus Mobile, LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc.,
No. 14-CV-436-LPS, 2015 WL 6348221 (D. Del. Oct. 16, 2015).....7

Praxair, Inc. v. ATMI, Inc.,
543 F.3d 1306 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....11

Raindance Techs., Inc. v. 10X Genomics, Inc.,
No. 15-CV-152-RGA, 2017 WL 382235 (D. Del. Jan. 26, 2017).....8

SciMed Life Sys., Inc. v. Advanced Cardiovascular Sys.,
242 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....3

Seachange Int’l, Inc. v. C-COR, Inc.,
413 F.3d 1361, 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....15

Thorner v. Sony Comput. Ent. Am.,
669 F.3d 1362, 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....7, 8

UltimatePointer, L.L.C. v. Nintendo Co., Ltd.,
816 F.3d 816 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....25

Unwired Planet, LLC v. Apple Inc.,
829 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....7, 9, 10, 11

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.