

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

ARENDI S.A.R.L.,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	C.A. No. 13-919-LPS
)	
GOOGLE LLC,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

**PLAINTIFF’S OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF
ADDRESSING U.S. PATENT NUMBERS 7,496,854 AND 7,921,356**

Of Counsel:

SMITH, KATZENSTEIN & JENKINS LLP

Stephen Susman
Seth Ard
Max Straus
SUSMAN GODFREY, LLP
1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor
New York, New York 10019
Tel: (212) 336-8330
ssusman@susmangodfrey.com
sard@susmangodfrey.com
mstraus@susmangodfrey.com

Neal C. Belgam (No. 2721)
Eve H. Ormerod (No. 5369)
Beth A. Swadley (No. 6331)
1000 West Street, Suite 1501
Wilmington, DE 19801
Tel: (302) 652-8400
nbelgam@skjlaw.com
eormerod@skjlaw.com
bswadley@skjlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Arendi S.A.R.L.

John Lahad
SUSMAN GODFREY, LLP
1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100
Houston, Texas 77002-5096
Tel: (713) 651-9366
jlahad@susmangodfrey.com

Dated: June 19, 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. DISPUTED TERMS.....	1
A. '356 Patent Claim Terms	1
1. “providing an input device configured by the document editing program” ('356 Patent, cl. 1, 12)	1
B. '854 Patent Claim Terms	2
1. “first application program”	2
2. “second application program”	5
3. Indefiniteness Arguments	5
a. “means for marking without user intervention the first information to alert the user that the first information can be utilized in the second application program” (cl. 13, 31).....	7
b. “means for identifying without user intervention or designation the first information” (cl. 50, 79)	7
c. “[means for/computer readable medium ... including program instructions for] using a first computer program to analyze the document, without direction from the operator, to identify text in the document that can be used to search for related information” (cl. 98, 101).....	7
d. “means for responding to a user selection by inserting a second information into the document, the second information associated with the first information from a second application program” (cl. 13, 50).....	9
e. “[means for/computer readable medium ... including program instructions for] inserting the information located in (2) into the document” (cl. 98, 101)	9

f. “wherein the means for inserting the second information into the document further comprises means for adding the second information to the first information in the document” (cl. 53)12

g. “means for initializing the second application program” (cl. 15).....12

h. “means for responding to a user selection by performing an operation related to a second information, the second information associated with the first information from the second application program” (cl. 31, 79).....14

C. “document” and “computer program”15

III. CONCLUSION.....15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Akamai Techs., Inc. v. Limelight Networks, Inc.</i> , 805 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	4
<i>AllVoice Computing PLC v. Nuance Comm'cns, Inc.</i> , 504 F.3d 1236 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	11
<i>BASF Corp. v. Johnson Matthey Inc.</i> , 875 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	6
<i>Curtis-Wright Flow Control Corp. v. Velan, Inc.</i> , 438 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	3
<i>Endoheart AG v. Edwards Lifesciences Corp.</i> , No. 14-CV-1473-LPS, 2016 WL 1270127 (D. Del. Mar. 31, 2016)	6
<i>Enfish, LLC v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 822 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	11, 12
<i>Epcon Gas Sys., Inc. v. Bauer Compressors, Inc.</i> , 279 F.3d 1022 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	10
<i>GPNE Corp. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 830 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	3
<i>Hill-Rom Servs., Inc. v. Stryker Corp.</i> , 755 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	3, 4
<i>Liebel-Flarsheim Co. v. Medrad, Inc.</i> , 358 F.3d 898 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	4
<i>Net MoneyIN, Inc. v. VeriSign, Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	6
<i>Noah Sys., Inc. v. Intuit Inc.</i> , 675 F.3d 1302 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	6
<i>O.I. Corp. v. Tekmar Co., Inc.</i> , 115 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....	9, 10
<i>One-E-Way, Inc. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n</i> , 859 F.3d 1059 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	10

Raindance Techs., Inc. v. 10X Genomics, Inc.,
No. 15-CV-152-RGA, 2017 WL 382235 (D. Del. Jan. 26, 2017).....5

Seachange Int'l, Inc. v. C-COR, Inc.,
413 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2005)3

TecSec, Inc. v. IBM Corp.,
731 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....8, 9, 13

Thorner v. Sony Computer Ent. Am. LLC,
669 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2012)4

Unwired Planet, LLC v. Apple Inc.,
829 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....4

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.