

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ROBERT BOSCH LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	C.A. No. 12-574 (LPS)(CJB)
)	(CONSOLIDATED)
ALBEREE PRODUCTS, INC., API KOREA)	
CO., LTD., SAVER AUTOMOTIVE PROD-)	REDACTED PUBLIC VERSION
UCTS, INC., and COSTCO WHOLESALE)	FILED 5/1/2015
CORPORATION,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

**OPENING BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF COSTCO'S MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT AS TO THE GOODYEAR HYBRID
WIPER PRODUCT AND REGARDING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION**

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP
Mary B. Graham (#2256)
Thomas Curry (#5877)
1201 N. Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899-1347
(302) 658-9200
mgraham@mnat.com
tcurry@mnat.com
Attorneys for Costco Wholesale Corporation

OF COUNSEL:

James W. Dabney
Diane E. Lifton
Walter M. Egbert, III
Richard M. Koehl
Stephen Kenny
Greta A. Fails
Erik Huestis
Stefanie Lopatkin
HUGHES HUBBARD & REED LLP
One Battery Park Plaza
New York, NY 10004-1482
(212) 837-6000

April 24, 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iii
NATURE AND STAGE OF THE PROCEEDINGS	1
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	3
STATEMENT OF FACTS	5
ARGUMENT	10
I. SAC COUNT SIX ('926 PATENT) SHOULD BE DISMISSED AS TO THE GOODYEAR HYBRID PRODUCT	13
II. SAC COUNTS THREE ('607 PATENT) AND EIGHTEEN ('096 PATENT) SHOULD BE DISMISSED AS TO THE GOODYEAR HYBRID PRODUCT.....	18
A. Bosch's Rights in the '607 and '096 Patents are Exhausted as to Original Equipment Wiper Systems in Various [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Vehicles That Were Sold in the United States With Bosch's Authorization.....	18
B. The Goodyear Hybrid Product Lacks Features That the '607 and '096 Patents Describe as Elements of the Claimed Invention.	22
III. SAC COUNT EIGHT ('698 PATENT) SHOULD BE DISMISSED AS TO THE GOODYEAR HYBRID PRODUCT.....	25
IV. THE SAC DOES NOT ASSERT THE '988 PATENT AGAINST THE GOODYEAR HYBRID PRODUCT AND THE COURT SHOULD DENY BOSCH'S BELATED AND FUTILE ATTEMPT TO RAISE SUCH A CLAIM AT THIS LATE STAGE.....	25
V. SUBJECT MATTER THAT SELECTED PATENT CLAIM WORDS AND PHRASES MAY DESCRIBE OR DENOTE.....	29
A. "Means for Securing" in the '607 Patent	30
B. "Securing Means (60)" in the '607 Patent	31
C. "Coupling Part (20)" in the '988 Patent.....	31

D. “Means for Maintaining the Clearance” in the ’419 Patent.....32

E. “Spherically Curved Window” in the ’698 Patent.....33

F. “Support Means (58, 144)” in the ’588, ’264, and ’823 Patents.....33

G. “Covering Cap (16)” in the ’096 Patent.....34

H. Bosch’s Proposed Verbal Abstractions.....34

CONCLUSION.....35

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int’l</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014).....	12
<i>Aro Mfg. Co. v. Convertible Top Replacement Co.</i> , 365 U.S. 336 (1961).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Bowman v. Monsanto Co.</i> , 133 S. Ct. 1761 (2013).....	19-20
<i>Eibel Process Co. v. Minnesota & Ontario Paper Co.</i> , 261 U.S. 45 (1923).....	10, 25
<i>Everpure, Inc. v. Cuno</i> , 875 F.2d 300 (Fed. Cir. 1989).....	21
<i>Festo Corp. v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Co.</i> , 535 U.S. 722 (2002).....	4, 11, 16, 30
<i>Graver Tank & Mfg. Co. v. Linde Air Prods. Co.</i> , 336 U.S. 271 (1949).....	11
<i>Husky Injection Molding Sys. Ltd. v. R & D Tool & Eng’g Co.</i> , 291 F.3d 780 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	21
<i>Lava Trading, Inc. v. Sonic Trading Mgmt., LLC</i> , 445 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	4, 29
<i>Liebel-Flarsheim Co. v. Medrad, Inc.</i> , 481 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	34
<i>Limelight Networks, Inc. v. Akamai Techs., Inc.</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2111 (2014).....	19
<i>Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.</i> , 517 U.S. 370 (1996).....	11-12
<i>Porter v. Farmers Supply Serv., Inc.</i> , 790 F.2d 882 (Fed. Cir. 1986).....	21
<i>Retractable Techs., Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson & Co.</i> , 653 F.3d 1296 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	12

Robert Bosch LLC v. Trico Prods. Corp.,
No. 12 CV 437 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 14, 2012), D.I. 62..... 13-14, 28

Teva Pharms. USA, Inc. v. Sandoz, Inc.,
135 S. Ct. 831 (2015).....4, 30

Union Paper-Bag Machine Co. v. Murphy,
97 U.S. 120 (1877).....11

Warner-Jenkinson Co. v. Hilton Davis Chem. Co.,
520 U.S. 17 (1997).....10, 14

Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co. v. Formica Insulation Co.,
266 U.S. 342 (1924).....10

Winans v. Denmead,
56 U.S. (15 How.) 330 (1853)12

Rules and Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 112(a)4, 30

35 U.S.C. § 154(a)(1) (2012)11

35 U.S.C. §§ 271(b) and (c)18

35 U.S.C. § 271(a) *passim*

Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(c)(1).....25

Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6).....20

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.