

SONY CORPORATION, SONY CORPORATION OF AMERICA, SONY ELECTRONICS INC., SONY MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS AB, AND SONY MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS (USA) INC.,

Defendants.

DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF DEVELOPMENTS IN INTER PARTES PROCEEDINGS

OF COUNSEL:

John Flock
Walter Hanley
Michelle Carniaux
Sheila Mortazavi
Iuliana Tanase
KENYON & KENYON LLP
One Broadway
New York, New York 10004-1050
(212) 425-7200

Chad M. Shandler (#3796)
Travis S. Hunter (#5350)
RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER, P.A.
One Rodney Square
920 N. King Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 651-7700
Shandler@rlf.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Sony Corporation,
Sony Corporation of America, Sony Electronics
Inc., Sony Mobile Communications AB, Sony
Mobile Communications (USA) Inc.*

attention the attached decisions instituting *inter partes* review of all of claims that plaintiff HumanEyes Technologies Ltd. (“HumanEyes”) has asserted against Sony to date. A copy of the decisions instituting *inter partes* review are attached as Exhibits A-D.

Prior to filing its motion to stay (D.I. 29), Sony petitioned for *inter partes* review of the claims of the patents-in-suit, U.S. Patent No. 6,665,003 (the “’003 patent”) and U.S. Patent No. 7,477,284 (the “’284 patent”), that HumanEyes had asserted in its ITC Complaint against Sony. On September 23, 2013, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“PTAB”) issued decisions instituting *inter partes* review of all of the petitioned claims of each of the patent-in-suits. *See* Exhibits A, B.

After HumanEyes identified new claims (that had not been asserted in the ITC Investigation) in its Opposition to Sony’s Motion to Stay (D.I. 34 at 13-14), Sony petitioned for *inter partes* review of these newly identified claims. On September 24, 2013, the PTAB issued decisions instituting *inter partes* review of all of these newly identified claims. *See* Exhibits C, D.

On September 23, 2013, the PTAB also set identical schedules for the proceedings instituted in accordance with the decisions issued on that date (*see* Exhibits E and F attached hereto), with oral argument set for June 18, 2014. On September 24, 2013, the PTAB granted Sony’s motions to join the *inter partes* reviews of the newly identified claims with the *inter partes* reviews of the claims in the initial petitions (*see* Exhibits G and H attached hereto).

John Flock
Walter Hanley
Michelle Carniaux
Sheila Mortazavi
Iuliana Tanase
KENYON & KENYON LLP
One Broadway
New York, New York 10004-1050
(212) 425-7200

RICHARDS, LATTIN & FINGER, P.A.
One Rodney Square
920 N. King Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 651-7700
Shandler@rlf.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Sony
Corporation, Sony Corporation of America,
Sony Electronics Inc., Sony Mobile
Communications AB, Sony Mobile
Communications (USA) Inc.*

Dated: September 26, 2013

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL

Steven J. Balick
Andrew Colin Mayo
Tiffany Geyer Lydon
Ashby & Geddes
500 Delaware Avenue, 8th Floor
P.O. Box 1150
Wilmington, DE 19899
sbalick@ashby-geddes.com
amayo@ashby-geddes.com
tlydon@ashby-geddes.com

Matthew D. Powers
Steven S. Cherensky
Paul T. Ehrlich
Stefani C. Smith
Robert L. Gerrity
TENSEGRITY LAW GROUP LLP
555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 360
Redwood Shores, CA 94065
matthew.powers@tensegritylawgroup.com
steven.cherensky@tensegritylawgroup.com
paul.ehrlich@tensegritylawgroup.com
stefani.smith@tensegritylawgroup.com
robert.gerrity@tensegritylawgroup.com

/s/ Travis S. Hunter
Travis S. Hunter (#5350)
hunter@rlf.com