SONY CORPORATION, SONY CORPORATION OF AMERICA, SONY ELECTRONICS INC., SONY MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS AB, AND SONY MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS (USA) INC.,

Defendants.

DECLARATION OF IULIANA TANASE IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY LITIGATION PENDING THE OUTCOME OF INTER PARTES REVIEW OF THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT

OF COUNSEL:

John Flock
Walter Hanley
Michelle Carniaux
Sheila Mortazavi
Iuliana Tanase
KENYON & KENYON LLP
One Broadway
New York, New York 10004-1050
(212) 425-7200

Chad M. Shandler (#3796)
Elizabeth R. He (#5345)
RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER, P.A.
One Rodney Square
920 N. King Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 651-7700
Shandler@rlf.com
He@rlf.com

Attorneys for Defendants Sony Corporation, Sony Corporation of America, Sony Electronics Inc., Sony Mobile Communications AB, Sony Mobile Communications (USA) Inc.



in this case on January 16, 2013. I make this certification in support of Defendants' Motion to Stay Litigation Pending the Outcome of *Inter Partes* Review of the Patents-in-Suit.

- 1. Attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of Complainant HumanEyes

 Technologies, Ltd.'s Memorandum In Support of Motion for Termination filed in ITC

 Investigation No. 337-TA-842 on September 21, 2012.
- 2. Attached as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of defendant Sony Corporation's Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 6,665,003 dated March 29, 2013.
- 3. Attached as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of defendant Sony Corporation's Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,477,284 dated March 29, 2013.
- 4. Attached as Exhibit D are true and correct copies of the Notice of Filing Date Accorded for Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 6,665,003 and Notice of Filing Date Accorded for Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,477,284, both dated April 3, 2013.
- 5. Attached as Exhibit E is a true and correct copy of HumanEyes Technologies, Ltd.'s Verified Complaint of HumanEyes Technologies, Ltd. Under Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as Amended.
- 6. Attached as Exhibit F is a true and correct copy of the Order filed in *Capriola Corp. v. LaRose Indus.*, Case No. 8:12-cv-2346-T-23TBM, Dkt. No. 49 (M.D. Fla. Mar. 11, 2013).

DOCKET
A L A R M Find auther

- 8. Attached as Exhibit H is a true and correct copy of the United States Patent and Trademark Office *Inter Partes* Reexamination Filing Data dated June 30, 2012.
- 9. Attached as Exhibit I is a true and correct copy, to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Patent Trial and Appeal Board's ("PTAB") decisions regarding instituting petitions for *inter partes* review from September 16, 2012 to April 18, 2013. This list was compiled by Sony's counsel from the PTAB patent review processing system publicly accessible at https://ptabtrials.uspoto.gov.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on this 19th day of April, 2013 in New York City, New York.

- Allie

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL

Steven J. Balick
Andrew Colin Mayo
Tiffany Geyer Lydon
Ashby & Geddes
500 Delaware Avenue, 8th Floor
P.O. Box 1150
Wilmington, DE 19899
sbalick@ashby-geddes.com
amayo@ashby-geddes.com
tlydon@ashby-geddes.com

Matthew D. Powers
Steven S. Cherensky
Paul T. Ehrlich
Stefani C. Smith
Robert L. Gerrity
TENSEGRITY LAW GROUP LLP
555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 360
Redwood Shores, CA 94065
matthew.powers@tensegritylawgroup.com
steven.cherensky@tensegritylawgroup.com
paul.ehrlich@tensegritylawgroup.com
stefani.smith@tensegritylawgroup.com
robert.gerrity@tensegritylawgroup.com

/s/ Elizabeth R. He Elizabeth R. He (#5345) he@rlf.com

