

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

MOBILEMEDIA IDEAS LLC,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	C.A. No. 10-258 (SLR)(MPT)
	)	
APPLE INC.,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

**MOBILEMEDIA IDEAS LLC'S PROPOSED VERDICT SHEET**

**November 28, 2012**

We, the jury, unanimously find as follows:

**I. U.S. Patent No. 6,070,068 (the “068 Patent”)**

**A. Direct Infringement**

1. Has MobileMedia proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that each and every limitation of either Claim 23 or Claim 24 of the '068 Patent is found in the accused Apple iPhones (hereinafter, “Apple’s iPhones”)?

*Checking “yes” below indicates a finding for MobileMedia.  
Checking “no” below indicates a finding for Apple.*

Claim 23	Yes _____	No _____
Claim 24	Yes _____	No _____

**B. Indirect Infringement – Inducing Infringement**

2. Has MobileMedia proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Apple induced infringement of either Claim 23 or Claim 24 of the '068 Patent?

*Checking “yes” below indicates a finding for MobileMedia.  
Checking “no” below indicates a finding for Apple.*

Claim 23	Yes _____	No _____
Claim 24	Yes _____	No _____

**C. Invalidity**

3. Has Apple proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that each and every limitation of the following claims of the '068 Patent was found in a single prior art reference prior to March 19, 1996, and thus, the claim was anticipated?

*Checking “yes” below indicates a finding for Apple.  
Checking “no” below indicates a finding for MobileMedia.*

Claim 23	Yes _____	No _____
Claim 24	Yes _____	No _____

If your answer is “yes” for Claim 23, identify the prior art reference(s):

---

If your answer is “yes” for Claim 24, identify the prior art reference(s):

---

- 4. Has Apple proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that the following claims of the '068 Patent would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art as of March 19, 1996, and thus, the claim is invalid as obvious?

*Checking "yes" below indicates a finding for Apple.  
 Checking "no" below indicates a finding for MobileMedia.*

Claim 23    Yes \_\_\_\_\_                      No \_\_\_\_\_  
 Claim 24    Yes \_\_\_\_\_                      No \_\_\_\_\_

If your answer is "yes" for Claim 23, identify the combination of prior art references:

---

If your answer is "yes" for Claim 24, identify the combination of prior art references:

---

**II. U.S. Patent No. 6,253,075 (the "075 Patent")**

**A. Direct Infringement**

- 5. Has MobileMedia proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that each and every limitation of either Claim 5, Claim 6 or Claim 10 of the '075 Patent is found in Apple's iPhones?

*Checking "yes" below indicates a finding for MobileMedia.  
 Checking "no" below indicates a finding for Apple.*

Claim 5      Yes \_\_\_\_\_                      No \_\_\_\_\_  
 Claim 6      Yes \_\_\_\_\_                      No \_\_\_\_\_  
 Claim 10    Yes \_\_\_\_\_                      No \_\_\_\_\_

**B. Indirect Infringement – Inducing Infringement**

- 6. Has MobileMedia proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Apple induced infringement of either Claim 5 or Claim 6 of the '075 Patent?

*Checking "yes" below indicates a finding for MobileMedia.  
 Checking "no" below indicates a finding for Apple.*

Claim 5      Yes \_\_\_\_\_                      No \_\_\_\_\_  
 Claim 6      Yes \_\_\_\_\_                      No \_\_\_\_\_

**C. Invalidity**

7. Has Apple proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that the following claims of the '075 Patent would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art as of May 19, 1998, and thus, the claim is invalid as obvious?

*Checking "yes" below indicates a finding for Apple.  
Checking "no" below indicates a finding for MobileMedia.*

Claim 5	Yes _____	No _____
Claim 6	Yes _____	No _____
Claim 10	Yes _____	No _____

If your answer is "yes" for Claim 5, identify the combination of prior art references:

---

If your answer is "yes" for Claim 6, identify the combination of prior art references:

---

If your answer is "yes" for Claim 10, identify the combination of prior art references:

---

8. Has Apple proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that the following claims of the '075 Patent are invalid for failing to satisfy the written description requirement?

*Checking "yes" below indicates a finding for Apple.  
Checking "no" below indicates a finding for MobileMedia.*

Claim 5	Yes _____	No _____
Claim 6	Yes _____	No _____
Claim 10	Yes _____	No _____

- 9. Has Apple proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that the following claims of the '075 Patent are invalid for failing to satisfy the enablement requirement?

*Checking "yes" below indicates a finding for Apple.  
 Checking "no" below indicates a finding for MobileMedia.*

Claim 5	Yes _____	No _____
Claim 6	Yes _____	No _____
Claim 10	Yes _____	No _____

**III. U.S. Patent No. 6,427,078 (the "078 Patent")**

**A. Direct Infringement**

- 10. Has MobileMedia proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that each and every limitation of Claim 73 of the '078 Patent is found in Apple's iPhones?

*Checking "yes" below indicates a finding for MobileMedia.  
 Checking "no" below indicates a finding for Apple.*

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

**B. Invalidity**

- 11. Has Apple proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that each and every limitation of Claim 73 of the '078 Patent was found in a single prior art reference prior to May 19, 1994, and thus, the claim was anticipated?

*Checking "yes" below indicates a finding for Apple.  
 Checking "no" below indicates a finding for MobileMedia.*

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

If your answer is "yes" for Claim 73, identify the prior art reference(s):

---

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.