

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS**

E-NUMERATE SOLUTIONS, INC., and  
E-NUMERATE, LLC

Plaintiff,

v.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.

No. 19-859 C

Judge Lydia Kay Griggsby

**DEFENDANT UNITED STATES OF  
AMERICA'S MOTION TO DISMISS  
UNDER RULE 12(b)(6)**

JOSEPH H. HUNT  
Assistant Attorney General

GARY L. HAUSKEN  
Director

Of Counsel:  
SHAHAR HAREL  
Department of Justice

RICHARD M. HUMES  
Associate General Counsel  
GEORGE C. BROWN  
Assistant General Counsel  
NELSON KUAN  
Senior Counsel  
Office of the General Counsel  
U.S. Securities and Exchange  
Commission

SCOTT BOLDEN  
Deputy Director  
Commercial Litigation Branch  
Civil Division  
U.S. Department of Justice  
Washington, DC 20530  
Email: [Scott.Bolden@USDOJ.gov](mailto:Scott.Bolden@USDOJ.gov)  
Telephone: (202) 307-0262  
Facsimile: (202) 307-0345

*COUNSEL FOR THE UNITED STATES OF  
AMERICA*

October 11, 2019

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	QUESTION PRESENTED .....	1
III.	STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	2
	A. Procedural Posture.....	2
	B. Related Proceedings .....	2
	C. Legal Background.....	3
	1. The <i>State Street</i> Standard for Patent Eligibility was Found Inadequate .....	3
	2. <i>Alice</i> Step One: Whether the Claims are Directed to an Ineligible Concept.....	4
	3. <i>Alice</i> Step Two: Whether the Claims have any Inventive Concept .....	5
	4. Patent Ineligibility May be Decided on a Motion to Dismiss .....	5
	D. The Asserted Patents and e-Numerate's Allegations .....	6
	E. e-Numerate's Allegations Against the Government, the SEC, and XBRL .....	9
IV.	ARGUMENT.....	10
	A. Abstract Claims for Manipulating and Reporting Financial Information on Computers are Not Patent Eligible.....	11
	B. The Asserted '355 Patent Claims are Ineligible Under 35 U.S.C. § 101 .....	14
	1. The Claims are Directed to the Abstract Idea of Applying a Macro to Tagged Numbers and Reporting the Results on a Computer .....	14
	2. The Claims Lack an Inventive Concept .....	17
	C. The Asserted '816 and '383 Patent Claims are Ineligible Under 35 U.S.C. § 101 ....	19
	1. The Claims are Directed to the Abstract Idea of Combining Two Sets of Data By Converting Them to a Common Format.....	19
	2. The Claims Lack an Inventive Concept .....	21
	D. The Asserted '748 Patent Claims 2-5, 10 and '842 Patent Claim 29 are Ineligible	

Under 35 U.S.C. § 101 .....	23
1. The Claims are Directed to the Abstract Idea of Validating Data Based on Rules 23	
2. The Claims Lack an Inventive Concept.....	25
E. The Asserted '384 Patent Claim 66, '748 Patent Claims 12-16, 20, and '337 Patent Claim 1 are Ineligible Under 35 U.S.C. § 101 .....	26
1. The Claims are Directed to the Abstract Idea of Generating Reports Based on Data 26	
2. The Claims Lack an Inventive Concept.....	28
F. The Complaint's Allegations Lack Merit.....	29
1. The Court Should Reject e-Numerate's Legal Conclusions Masquerading as Facts.....	29
2. The Court Should Reject e-Numerate's Expert Opinion Masquerading as Facts	31
3. Patent Eligibility of the Asserted Patent Claims Warrants Scrutiny .....	34
V. CONCLUSION .....	35

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases

<i>Aatrix Software, Inc. v. Green Shades Software, Inc.</i> , 882 F.3d 1121 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	35
<i>Affinity Labs of Texas, LLC v. DIRECTV, LLC</i> , 838 F.3d 1253 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	6
<i>Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int'l</i> , 573 U.S. 208 (2014).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Amdocs (Israel) Ltd. v. Openet Telecom, Inc.</i> , 841 F.3d 1288 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	14
<i>Athena Diagnostics, Inc. v. Mayo Collaborative Servs., LLC</i> , 915 F.3d 743 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	5, 6, 32
<i>BASCOM Global Internet Servs., Inc. v. AT&amp;T Mobility LLC</i> , 827 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	5
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	30, 31
<i>Berkheimer v. HP Inc.</i> , 881 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	17
<i>Bilski v. Kappos</i> , 561 U.S. 593 (2010).....	11
<i>Cellspin Soft, Inc. v. Fitbit, Inc.</i> , 927 F.3d 1306 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	34
<i>ChargePoint, Inc. v. SemaConnect, Inc.</i> , 920 F.3d 759 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	4, 19, 22
<i>Clarilogic, Inc. v. FormFree Holdings Corp.</i> , 681 Fed. Appx. 950 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	12
<i>Data Engine Techs. LLC v. Google LLC</i> , 906 F.3d 999 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	12
<i>DDR Holdings, LLC v. Hotels.com, L.P.</i> , 773 F.3d 1245 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	16, 30

<i>Denton v. Hernandez</i> , 504 U.S. 25 (1992).....	33
<i>Digitech Image Techs., LLC v. Elecs. for Imaging, Inc.</i> , 758 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	35
<i>Elec. Power Grp., LLC v. Alstom S.A.</i> , 830 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	18, 22, 26, 29
<i>Enfish, LLC v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 822 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	5, 30
<i>e-Numerate Solutions, Inc. et al. v. Mattress Firm Holding Corp. et al.</i> , D. Del. No. 17-cv-933.....	2, 3, 32
<i>FairWarning IP, LLC v. Iatric Sys., Inc.</i> , 839 F.3d 1089 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	6
<i>Finnavations LLC v. Payoneer, Inc.</i> , No. 1:18-CV-00444, 2018 WL 6168618 (D. Del. Nov. 26, 2018).....	15
<i>Honeywell Int'l, Inc. v. ITT Indus., Inc.</i> , 452 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	13
<i>In re Bilski</i> , 545 F.3d 943 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	4, 34, 35
<i>In re TLI Commc'ns LLC Patent Litig.</i> , 823 F.3d 607 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	4, 5, 6
<i>Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Capital One Financial Corp.</i> , 850 F.3d 1332 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Erie Indemnity Co.</i> , 850 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	12, 14, 19
<i>Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Symantec Corp.</i> , 838 F.3d 1304 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	5
<i>Luminara Worldwide, LLC v. Liown Electronics Co.</i> , 814 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	13
<i>Mayo Collaborative Servs. v. Prometheus Labs., Inc.</i> , 566 U.S. 66 (2012).....	<i>passim</i>

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.