

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS

E-NUMERATE SOLUTIONS, INC., and  
E-NUMERATE, LLC

Plaintiff,

v.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.

No. 19-859 C

Judge Ryan T. Holte

**DEFENDANT'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF  
MOTION TO DISMISS UNDER RULE 12(b)(6)**

JOSEPH H. HUNT  
Assistant Attorney General

GARY L. HAUSKEN  
Director

Of Counsel:  
SHAHAR HAREL  
Department of Justice

RICHARD M. HUMES  
Associate General Counsel  
GEORGE C. BROWN  
Assistant General Counsel  
NELSON KUAN  
Senior Counsel  
Office of the General Counsel  
U.S. Securities and Exchange  
Commission

SCOTT BOLDEN  
Deputy Director  
Commercial Litigation Branch  
Civil Division  
U.S. Department of Justice  
Washington, DC 20530  
Email: [Scott.Bolden@USDOJ.gov](mailto:Scott.Bolden@USDOJ.gov)  
Telephone: (202) 307-0262  
Facsimile: (202) 307-0345

*COUNSEL FOR THE UNITED STATES OF  
AMERICA*

January 24, 2020

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

INTRODUCTION ..... 1

ARGUMENT ..... 1

    I.    Dismissal of Ineligible Claims is Appropriate at this Time..... 4

        A.    e-Numerate does not Raise a Genuine Dispute as to Claim Construction..... 4

        B.    e-Numerate does not Raise any Plausible Fact Issues ..... 7

    II.   Plaintiffs’ Legal Theories are Flawed and Misleading ..... 9

        A.    The Federal Circuit Said Berkheimer and Aatrix Are “Narrow” ..... 9

        B.    e-Numerate’s Patents Raise a Rebuttable Presumption of Eligibility ..... 10

        C.    A Claim for a New Abstract Idea is Still an Ineligible Abstraction ..... 10

        D.    Enfish does not Support Plaintiffs ..... 11

        E.    University of Florida is Relevant and Applicable..... 12

    III.  The Asserted Claims are Ineligible..... 12

        A.    Claim Group 1 – The ’355 Patent Claims are Ineligible ..... 12

            1.    Claim 2 of the ’355 Patent is Representative of Claim Group 1 ..... 13

            2.    Claim Group 1 is Directed to an Abstract Idea..... 13

            3.    Claim Group 1 Lacks an Inventive Concept..... 15

        B.    Claim Group 2 – The ’816 and ’383 Patent Claims are Ineligible ..... 16

            1.    Claim 3 of the ’816 Patent is Representative of Claim Group 2 ..... 17

            2.    Claim Group 2 is Directed to an Abstract Idea..... 17

            3.    Claim Group 2 Lacks an Inventive Concept..... 19

        C.    Claim Group 3 – The ’748 and ’842 Patent Claims are Ineligible ..... 19

- 1. Claim 2 of the '748 Patent is Representative of Claim Group 3 ..... 20
- 2. Claim Group 3 is Directed to an Abstract Idea..... 20
- 3. Claim Group 3 Lacks an Inventive Concept..... 21
- D. Claim Group 4 – The '384, '748, and '337 Patent Claims are Ineligible ..... 22
  - 1. Claim 1 of the '337 Patent is Representative of Claim Group 4 ..... 23
  - 2. Claim Group 4 is Directed to an Abstract Idea..... 23
  - 3. Claim Group 4 Lacks an Inventive Concept..... 24
- CONCLUSION..... 24

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases

<u>Aatrix Software, Inc. v. Green Shades Software, Inc.</u> , 882 F.3d 1121 (Fed. Cir. 2018) .....	<i>passim</i>
<u>Affinity Labs of Texas, LLC v. DIRECTV, LLC</u> , 838 F.3d 1253 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	19
<u>Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int’l</u> , 573 U.S. 208 (2014).....	<i>passim</i>
<u>Apple, Inc. v. Ameranth, Inc.</u> , 842 F.3d 1229 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	23
<u>ART+ COM Innovation Pool GmbH v. Google Inc.</u> , 183 F. Supp. 3d 552 (D. Del. 2016).....	21
<u>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</u> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009).....	7
<u>Athena Diagnostics, Inc. v. Mayo Collaborative Services, LLC</u> , 915 F.3d 743 (Fed. Cir. 2019) .....	4, 9
<u>Bancorp Servs., LLC v. Sun Life Assurance Co. of Canada (U.S.)</u> , 687 F.3d 1266 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	4
<u>Berkheimer v. HP Inc.</u> , 881 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2018) .....	<i>passim</i>
<u>Berkheimer v. HP Inc.</u> , 890 F.3d 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2018) .....	9
<u>Bridge and Post, Inc. v. Verizon Comm’s, Inc.</u> , 778 F. App’x 882 (Fed. Cir. 2019) .....	<i>passim</i>
<u>BSG Tech. LLC v. Buyseasons, Inc.</u> , 899 F.3d 1281 (Fed. Cir. 2018) .....	21
<u>Cellspin Soft, Inc. v. Fitbit, Inc.</u> , 927 F.3d 1306 (Fed. Cir. 2019) .....	10
<u>ChargePoint, Inc. v. SemaConnect, Inc.</u> , 920 F.3d 759 (Fed. Cir. 2019) .....	2, 24

<u>Clarilogic, Inc. v. FormFree Holdings Corp.,</u> 681 F. App'x 950 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .....	20, 23
<u>Content Extraction and Transmission LLC v. Wells Fargo Bank,</u> 776 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	1, 4
<u>DDR Holdings, LLC v. Hotels.com, LP,</u> 773 F.3d 1245 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	14, 18
<u>Digitech Image Techs., LLC v. Elecs. for Imaging, Inc.,</u> 758 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	17, 19
<u>Elec. Power Group, LLC v. Alstom S.A.,</u> 830 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	15, 23
<u>Enfish, LLC v. Microsoft Corp.,</u> 822 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	2, 11, 14, 18
<u>e-Numerate Solutions, Inc. v. Mattress Firm Holding Corp.,</u> D. Del. No. 17-cv-933 .....	7
<u>FairWarning IP, LLC v. Iatric Sys., Inc.,</u> 839 F.3d 1089 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	2
<u>First-Class Monitoring v. UPS,</u> 389 F. Supp. 3d 456 (E.D. Tex. 2019).....	4
<u>Fox Group, Inc. v. Cree, Inc.,</u> 700 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	20
<u>Genetic Techs. Ltd. v. Merial LLC,</u> 818 F.3d 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	4, 7
<u>In re TLI Comm's LLC Patent Litig.,</u> 823 F.3d 607 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	14
<u>Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Capital One Financial Corp.,</u> 850 F.3d 1332 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .....	<i>passim</i>
<u>Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Erie Indemn. Co.,</u> 850 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .....	2, 14, 24
<u>Messaging Gateway Solutions, LLC v. Amdocs, Inc.,</u> 2015 WL 1744343, No. 14-732-RGA (D. Del. Apr. 15, 2015).....	22

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.