

FORECLOSURE MEDIATOR'S REPORT

JD-CV-89 Rev. 7-13
C.G.S. § 49-31n; P.A. 13-136

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT
www.jud.ct.gov

COURT USE ONLY
FMRPT



Name of Case WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION AS TRUSTEE V. PETION, FRANTZ		Docket Number UWYCV186039932S	Return Date 4/22/2018
Judicial District Waterbury	Name of Mediator Palmer Epler	Date Mediation Held 4/30/2018	Time 1 PM 4 39

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
 SUPERIOR COURT
 JUDICIAL DISTRICT
 WATERBURY

- Did the parties engage in conduct consistent with the objectives of the mediation program?
 - Plaintiff Yes No If no, explain:
 - Defendant Yes No If no, explain:
 - Did the parties possess the ability to mediate?
 - Plaintiff Yes No If no, explain:
 - Defendant Yes No If no, explain:
- Did the mortgagor submit a complete financial package to the mortgagee? Yes No
If no, explain:
- What foreclosure alternative has the mortgagor requested?
 - Loan modification Repayment Reinstatement
 - Short Sale Deed-in-Lieu Modification of sale date/law day
- Has the mortgagor been previously evaluated for a similar request? Yes No
If yes, prior to mediation In mediation
If yes, has there been a change in circumstances since that evaluation? Yes No
If yes, explain: ????????????
- Has the mortgagee responded to the mortgagor's request? Yes No Not Applicable
Description of the response: Approval Denial
Explain:
Is the mediator aware of any material reason to disagree with that response? Yes No
If yes, explain:
- Has the mortgagor responded to the mortgagee's offer on a reasonably timely basis? Yes No Not Applicable
Explain:
- Has the mortgagee requested additional information from the mortgagor? Yes No
If yes, what are the stated reasons for the request and by what date must the information be submitted so that all financials will remain current?
- Has the mortgagor supplied, on a reasonably timely basis, additional information reasonably requested by the mortgagee? Yes No Not Applicable
If no, reason:
- Is information provided by the mortgagor still current for the mortgagee's review? Yes No
If no, list the out-of date information and the reason it is no longer current:
- Has the mortgagee provided a reasonable explanation of a denial for the foreclosure alternative requested? Yes No Not Applicable
Is the mediator aware of any material reasons to disagree with the denial? Yes No
If yes, explain:
- Has the mortgagee complied with the statutory time frames for responding to requests for decisions? Yes No
If no, explain:

12. Did the parties satisfy the expectations set forth in the previous report?

Plaintiff Yes No Not Applicable
Defendant(s) Yes No Not Applicable

If no, explain:

Is a subsequent mediation session expected to occur? Yes No Don't Know

Describe the expectations for each party both prior to and for the next mediation session, if applicable:

13. Will the parties benefit from further mediation?

Yes No

Additional comments:

Next med about 45 days. The parties disagreed as to the total amount owed because there was a prior settlement agreement that the borrower said had gone into effect, but Lender said it had not. Borrower had paid 3 years on the agreement. Borrower to consult with an attorney.

This report was delivered to each party to the mediation on:

7/31/18
(Date)

7/31/18
(Date)


Mediator's Signature

pet