## FORECLOSURE MEDIATOR'S REPORT JD-CV-89 Rev. 7-13 C.G.S. | 49-31n; P.A. 13-136

## STATE OF CONNECTICUT SUPERIOR COURT www.jud.ct.gov

COURT USE ONLY FMRPT



·		Return Date
Name of Case U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, AS TRUSTEE, FOR RE V. ENCARNACION, JOSUE D.	OR NNHCV186077112S	1/30/2018
Judicial District Name of Mediator New Haven Mario Dimonaco		Date Mediation Held 10/10/2018
1. Did the parties engage in conduct consistent with the objective	es of the mediation program?	•
Plaintiff Yes No If no, explain:		
Defendant X Yes No If no, explain:		
Did the parties possess the ability to mediate?		
Plaintiff ⊠ Yes ☐ No If no, explain:		•
Defendant X Yes No If no, explain:	·	•
2. Did the mortgagor submit a complete financial package to the	mortgagee?	🗌 Yes 🔃 No
If no, explain: Not applicable. Mortgagor submitted an applicat	tion to CHFA for EMAP.	
3. What foreclosure alternative has the mortgagor requested?		•
☐ Loan modification ☐ Repayment ☐	Reinstatement	
☐ Short Sale ☐ Deed-in-Lieu ☐	Modification of sale date/law day	
4. Has the mortgagor been previously evaluated for a similar rec	quest?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
If yes, prior to mediation In mediation		
If yes, has there been a change in circumstances since that e	valuation?	☐ Yes ☐ No
If yes, explain:		TALL SZ Nick Applicable
5. Has the mortgagee responded to the mortgagor's request?	Yes _	☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
Description of the response:	Denial	
Explain:		. □ Vas □ Na
Is the mediator aware of any material reason to disagree with	that response?	☐ Yes ☐ No
If yes, explain:	Van V	☐ No   Not Applicable
6. Has the mortgagor responded to the mortgagee's offer on a re	easonably timely basis? Yes _	☐ No   Not Applicable
Explain:		☐ Yes ⊠ No
7. Has the mortgagee requested additional information from the		
If yes, what are the stated reasons for the request and by what		
information be submitted so that all financials will remain curr	ent:	
8. Has the mortgagor supplied, on a reasonably timely basis, ad	iditional information reasonably	☐ Yes ☐ No
requested by the mortgagee?	idiasina inicination (cascination)	Not Applicable     ■
If no, reason:  9. Is information provided by the mortgagor still current for the n	nortgagee's review?	☐ Yes ☐ No
If no, list the out-of date information and the reason it is no lo		
10. Has the mortgagee provided a reasonable explanation of a	denial for the foreclosure alternative	Yes 🔲 No
requested?		Not Applicable
Is the mediator aware of any material reasons to disagree w	vith the denial?	☐ Yes ☐ No
If yes, explain:	•	
11. Has the mortgagee complied with the statutory time frames	for responding to requestibilismid ecities	nstaven Yes No
If no, explain: <u>Not applicable.</u>	SUPERIOR CO FILED	UKI
JD-CV-89 Rev. 7-13 Page	e 1 of 2 OCT 10 201	Q



12. Did the parties satisfy the expectations se	et forth in the previous	report?	
Plaintiff ⊠ Yes ☐ No			
Defendant(s) ⊠ Yes ☐ No	Not Applicable		
If no, explain:	•		:
Is a subsequent mediation session exped	cted to occur?	☐ Yes ☐ No	□ Don't Know
Describe the expectations for each party	both prior to and for th	ne next mediation session, if applicable:	
Mortgagor will follow up with CHFA on th	e EMAP application. N	<u>Mortgagee will provide reinstatement figure</u>	es upon demand
13. Will the parties benefit from further media	ation?	·	Yes □ No
Additional comments:			
This report was delivered to each party to the	e mediation on:	Lolco/18	
4 s		10/10/18	
Mediator's Signature		(Date)	