DOCKET

STATE OF CONNECTICUT SUPERIOR COURT www.jud.ct.gov

COURT USE ONLY FMRPT

	ITIMORTGAGE, INC. V. TIEZZI, CHRISTINA M Docket Number		eturn Date 0/9/2018
Ju	dicial District Name of Mediator liddlesex Nastajha Ortiz	D	ate Mediation Held 7/19/2019
	Did the parties engage in conduct consistent with the objectives of the mediation		
	Plaintiff 🛛 Yes 🗍 No If no, explain:	1.2	
	Defendant 🕅 Yes 🦳 No If no, explain:		
	Did the parties possess the ability to mediate?		
	Plaintiff 🛛 Yes 🗌 No If no, explain:		
	Defendant 🛛 Yes 🗍 No If no, explain:		
	Did the mortgagor submit a complete financial package to the mortgagee?		🛛 Yes 🗍 No
	If no, explain:		
	What foreclosure alternative has the mortgagor requested?		
	☐ Repayment ☐ Reinstatement		
	Short Sale Deed-in-Lieu Modification of	sale date/law day	
4.	Has the mortgagor been previously evaluated for a similar request?		🗌 Yes 🔀 No
	If yes, prior to mediation 🗌 👘 In mediation 🗌		
	If yes, has there been a change in circumstances since that evaluation?		🗌 Yes 🔀 No
	If yes, explain:		
5.	Has the mortgagee responded to the mortgagor's request?	🗌 Yes 🛛 I	No 📋 Not Applicable
	Description of the response: Approval Denial		
	Explain: The mortgagee is currently reviewing the financial application.		
	Is the mediator aware of any material reason to disagree with that response?		🗌 Yes 🔀 No
	If yes, explain:		
6.	Has the mortgagor responded to the mortgagee's offer on a reasonably timely ba	asis? 🗌 Yes 🗌 I	No 🛛 Not Applicable
	Explain:		
7.	Has the mortgagee requested additional information from the mortgagor?		🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	If yes, what are the stated reasons for the request and by what date must the		
	information be submitted so that all financials will remain current?		
	The mortgagee has requested additional information to complete the revie 7/26/2019 so that all financials will remain current.	w. This information	
8.	Has the mortgagor supplied, on a reasonably timely basis, additional information	reasonably	📋 Yes 🛄 No
	requested by the mortgagee?		🛛 Not Applicable
	If no, reason:		
9.	Is information provided by the mortgagor still current for the mortgagee's review?	?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	If no, list the out-of date information and the reason it is no longer current:		
10	. Has the mortgagee provided a reasonable explanation of a denial for the forecl requested?	osure alternative	☐ Yes No ⊠ Not Applicable
	Is the mediator aware of any material reasons to disagree with the denial?		
	If yes, explain:		
11	. Has the mortgagee complied with the statutory time frames for responding to re	equests for decisions?	Yes 🗌 No
11	If no, explain:		

🕅 Yes 🗍 No

12. Did the parties satisfy the expectations set forth in the previous report?
--

- Plaintiff Yes No Not Applicable
- Yes No Not Applicable Defendant(s)
- If no, explain:
- Is a subsequent mediation session expected to occur?

Yes 🗍 No 📋 Don't Know

Describe the expectations for each party both prior to and for the next mediation session, if applicable:

- The defendant to provide the additional documentation
- The plaintiff to complete the review and decision the file.
- 13. Will the parties benefit from further mediation?

Additional comments:

This report was delivered to each party to the mediation on:

Mediator's Signature

7/19/19Date) 7/19/19(Date)

DOCKF Δ R Μ Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.