

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

IN RE: BRIDGEPORT ASBESTOS LITIGATION
--

DOCKET NO.: FBT-CV-23-6120092-S : SUPERIOR COURT
:
CONRAD JOHNS and ELIZABETH JOHNS : JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF
: FAIRFIELD
v. :
: AT BRIDGEPORT
ALFA LAVAL, INC., et al. :
: FEBRUARY 2, 2023

**ANSWER AND SPECIAL DEFENSES OF
DEFENDANT York INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION**

Defendant York International Corporation (hereafter referred to as “York” or “Defendant”), hereby files its Answer and Special Defenses to plaintiffs, Conrad Johns and Elizabeth Johns (“Plaintiffs”), Complaint dated December 13, 2022 (“Complaint”).

ANSWER
COUNT I

1. York is without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 of the Complaint and those allegations are thus denied.
2. York is without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 of the Complaint and those allegations are thus denied.
3. York denies the allegations in Paragraph 3 of the Complaint to the extent the allegations relate to York. York is without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to other Defendants and those allegations are thus denied.
4. York is without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 4 of the Complaint and those allegations are thus denied.

5. York is without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 5 of the Complaint and those allegations are thus denied.

6. York denies the allegations in Paragraph 6 of the Complaint to the extent the allegations relate to York. York is without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to other Defendants and those allegations are thus denied.

7. York denies the allegations in Paragraph 7 of the Complaint to the extent the allegations relate to York. York is without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to other Defendants and those allegations are thus denied.

8. York is without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 8 of the Complaint and those allegations are thus denied.

9. York denies the allegations in Paragraph 9 of the Complaint to the extent the allegations relate to York. York is without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to other Defendants and those allegations are thus denied.

10. York denies the allegations in Paragraph 10 of the Complaint to the extent the allegations relate to York. York is without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to other Defendants and those allegations are thus denied.

11. York denies the allegations in Paragraph 11 of the Complaint to the extent the allegations relate to York. York is without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief

as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to other Defendants and those allegations are thus denied.

12. York denies the allegations in Paragraph 12 of the Complaint to the extent the allegations relate to York. York is without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to other Defendants and those allegations are thus denied.

13. York denies the allegations in Paragraph 13 of the Complaint to the extent the allegations relate to York. York is without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to other Defendants and those allegations are thus denied.

14. York denies the allegations in Paragraph 14 of the Complaint to the extent the allegations relate to York. York is without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to other Defendants and those allegations are thus denied.

15. The allegations in Paragraph 15 of the Complaint contain conclusions of law to which no responsive pleading is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, York denies the allegations in Paragraph 15 to the extent these allegations relate to York. York is without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to other Defendants and those allegations are thus denied.

16. The allegations in Paragraph 16 (and all subparagraphs) of the Complaint contain conclusions of law to which no responsive pleading is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, York denies the allegations in Paragraph 16 (and all subparagraphs) to the extent these allegations relate to York. York is without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief

as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to other Defendants and those allegations are thus denied.

17. York denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 17 of the Complaint to the extent the allegations relate to York. York is without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to other Defendants and those allegations are thus denied.

18. The allegations in Paragraph 18 of the Complaint contain conclusions of law to which no responsive pleading is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, York denies the allegations in Paragraph 18 to the extent these allegations relate to York. York is without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to other Defendants and those allegations are thus denied.

19. York denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 19 of the Complaint to the extent the allegations relate to York. York is without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to other Defendants and those allegations are thus denied.

20. York is without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 20 of the Complaint and those allegations are thus denied.

21. York is without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 21 of the Complaint and those allegations are thus denied.

22. The allegations in Paragraph 22 of the Complaint contain conclusions of law to which no responsive pleading is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, York denies the allegations in Paragraph 22 to the extent these allegations relate to York. York is

without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to other Defendants and those allegations are thus denied.

23. The allegations in Paragraph 23 of the Complaint contain conclusions of law to which no responsive pleading is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, York denies the allegations in Paragraph 23 to the extent these allegations relate to York. York is without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to other Defendants and those allegations are thus denied.

COUNT II

1-23. York's answers to Paragraphs 1 through 23 above are hereby made answers to this Count II as if fully set forth herein.

24. York denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 24 of the Complaint to the extent the allegations relate to York. York is without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to other Defendants and those allegations are thus denied.

25. York denies the allegations in Paragraph 25 of the Complaint to the extent the allegations relate to York. York is without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to other Defendants and those allegations are thus denied.

26. York denies the allegations in Paragraph 26 of the Complaint to the extent the allegations relate to York. York is without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they relate to other Defendants and those allegations are thus denied.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.