## **FORECLOSURE MEDIATOR'S**

REPORT
JD-CV-89 Rev. 7-13
C.G.S. 

49-31n; P.A. 13-136

## STATE OF CONNECTICUT SUPERIOR COURT www.jud.ct.gov



F	RIMARY RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE INC V. SHEEHY, AYSON L	Docket Number FBTCV186070687S	Return Date 2/13/2018						
Ji	dicial District Name of Mediator airfield at Bridgeport Joe Dimech		Date Mediation Held 7/27/2018						
_	1. Did the parties engage in conduct consistent with the objectives of the mediation program?								
	Plaintiff X Yes No If no, explain:								
	Defendant X Yes No If no, explain:								
	Did the parties possess the ability to mediate?								
	Plaintiff X Yes No If no, explain:								
	Defendant X Yes No If no, explain:								
2.	Did the mortgagor submit a complete financial package to the mortga	agee?	∑ Yes [	☐ No					
	If no, explain:								
3.	3. What foreclosure alternative has the mortgagor requested?								
	Short Sale Deed-in-Lieu Moo	dification of sale date law day							
4.	Has the mortgagor been previously evaluated for a similar request?		💆 🗌 Yes 🛭	⊠ No					
	If yes, prior to mediation 🗌 In mediation 🗌								
	If yes, has there been a change in circumstances since that evaluation	on? <b>AUG 0 1 2</b> 013	Yes [	☐ No					
	If yes, explain:								
5.	Has the mortgagee responded to the mortgagor's request?	SUPERIORYCOT		pplicable					
	Description of the response:	BRIDGEPOR	·						
	Explain: need ex husband to participate								
	Is the mediator aware of any material reason to disagree with that re-	☐ Yes [	⊠ No						
	If yes, explain:								
6.	Has the mortgagor responded to the mortgagee's offer on a reasonal	bly timely basis?	] No 🔀 Not A	pplicable					
	Explain:	·							
7.	Has the mortgagee requested additional information from the mortgal lf yes, what are the stated reasons for the request and by what date		⊠ Yes [	No					
	information be submitted so that all financials will remain current?								
	<ul> <li>2 weeks, a new pkg.</li> <li>8. Has the mortgagor supplied, on a reasonably timely basis, additional information reasonably</li></ul>								
8.		No							
	requested by the mortgagee?		∐ Not A	pplicable					
	If no, reason:  Is information provided by the mortgagor still current for the mortgagor.			·					
9.	∐ Yes [	⊠ No							
	If no, list the out-of date information and the reason it is no longer current:  10. Has the mortgagee provided a reasonable explanation of a denial for the foreclosure alternative    Yes  No								
10	∑ Yes [								
	requested?		<del></del>	\pplicable					
	Is the mediator aware of any material reasons to disagree with the denial?								
If yes, explain:  11. Has the mortgagee complied with the statutory time frames for responding to requests for decisions?									
11	s? 🛚 Xes [	NO							
	If no, explain:								



JD-CV-89 Rev. 7-13

12. Did the parties satisf	fy the expectations se	et forth in the previous	report?					
Plaintiff	🛛 Yes 🗌 No	☐ Not Applicable						
Defendant(s)	🛛 Yes 🗌 No	Not Applicable						
If no, explain:			•					
Is a subsequent med	diation session exped	ted to occur?	•	🛛 Yes 🗌 No	☐ Don't Know			
Describe the expect	Describe the expectations for each party both prior to and for the next mediation session, if applicable:							
Borrower to send in	Borrower to send in a pkg in 2 weeks, with the ex husband's cooperation, bank to review within 35 days of receipt							
13. Will the parties bene	efit from further media	tion?		•	🛛 Yes 🗌 No			
Additional comments:								
This report was delivere	ed to each party to the	e mediation on:	(Bate)		-			
	Mediator's Signature		(Date)					