

FORECLOSURE MEDIATOR'S REPORT

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT
www.jud.ct.gov

COURT USE ONLY
FMRPT



JD-CV-89 Rev. 7-13
C.G.S. § 49-31n; P.A. 13-136

Name of Case GMAT LEGAL TITLE TRUST 2014-1, U.S. BANK, NATIONAL V. CATALE, VITO		Docket Number FBTCV176066173S	Return Date 8/22/2017
Judicial District Fairfield at Bridgeport	Name of Mediator Shaun Mcgrath		Date Mediation Held 4/19/2018

1. Did the parties engage in conduct consistent with the objectives of the mediation program?

Plaintiff Yes No If no, explain:

Defendant Yes No If no, explain:

Did the parties possess the ability to mediate?

Plaintiff Yes No If no, explain:

Defendant Yes No If no, explain:

FILED

APR 20 2018

2. Did the mortgagor submit a complete financial package to the mortgagee?

If no, explain:

**SUPERIOR COURT
BRIDGEPORT**

Yes No

3. What foreclosure alternative has the mortgagor requested?

- Loan modification Repayment Reinstatement
 Short Sale Deed-in-Lieu Modification of sale date/law day

4. Has the mortgagor been previously evaluated for a similar request?

Yes No

If yes, prior to mediation In mediation

If yes, has there been a change in circumstances since that evaluation?

Yes No

If yes, explain:

5. Has the mortgagee responded to the mortgagor's request?

Yes No Not Applicable

Description of the response: Approval Denial

Explain: Lender has declined borrower's short payoff offer but has proposed a counter offer.

Is the mediator aware of any material reason to disagree with that response?

Yes No

If yes, explain:

6. Has the mortgagor responded to the mortgagee's offer on a reasonably timely basis?

Yes No Not Applicable

Explain: Borrower is evaluating lender's counter short payoff offer.

7. Has the mortgagee requested additional information from the mortgagor?

Yes No

If yes, what are the stated reasons for the request and by what date must the information be submitted so that all financials will remain current?

8. Has the mortgagor supplied, on a reasonably timely basis, additional information reasonably requested by the mortgagee?

Yes No
 Not Applicable

If no, reason:

9. Is information provided by the mortgagor still current for the mortgagee's review?

Yes No

If no, list the out-of date information and the reason it is no longer current: NA

10. Has the mortgagee provided a reasonable explanation of a denial for the foreclosure alternative requested?

Yes No
 Not Applicable

Is the mediator aware of any material reasons to disagree with the denial?

Yes No

If yes, explain:

11. Has the mortgagee complied with the statutory time frames for responding to requests for decisions?

Yes No

If no, explain: NA

12. Did the parties satisfy the expectations set forth in the previous report?

Plaintiff Yes No Not Applicable

Defendant(s) Yes No Not Applicable

If no, explain:

Is a subsequent mediation session expected to occur? Yes No Don't Know

Describe the expectations for each party both prior to and for the next mediation session, if applicable:

The parties will continue to negotiate towards a short payoff agreement.

13. Will the parties benefit from further mediation?

Yes No

Additional comments:

This report was delivered to each party to the mediation on:

4/20/18
(Date)



Mediator's Signature

4/20/18
(Date)