

DOCKET NO: AANCV196033825S

SUPERIOR COURT

HIRTH, JUSTIN
V.
DOUGHTY, AMBER Et Al

JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF ANSONIA/
MILFORD
AT MILFORD

11/25/2019

ORDER

ORDER REGARDING:

11/22/2019 130.00 SCHEDULING ORDER BY AGREEMENT C50,T02,T03,T11,T12,T61,V01,V04,
V09 CASES

The foregoing, having been considered by the Court, is hereby:

ORDER:

SCHEDULING ORDER

The parties' proposed scheduling order is hereby approved and adopted as follows. Failure to comply with these orders may result in sanction, which may include fine, exclusion of evidence at trial, dismissal, default or non-suit.

It is hereby ordered that by the agreed dates: all dispositive motions shall be filed, a certificate of closed pleadings shall be filed, and all discovery shall be completed. The court may decline to entertain any issues regarding discovery after the discovery cut off date.

Caseflow is directed to schedule trial based on the proposed dates and the availability of the proposed dates on the court's trial docket. Caseflow is also directed to schedule a final trial management/ settlement conference at least two weeks before the trial date. All counsel and self-represented parties shall appear at the conference and be prepared to engage in settlement negotiations. All clients (and insurance adjusters as applicable) shall also appear or be immediately available by telephone. Any party may request an earlier judicial pretrial through the clerk's office by filing a caseflow request form.

The Court Also Issues the Following Additional Orders.

The Dates for Filing of Dispositive Motions, for Completing Discovery, and for Commencement of Trial CANNOT Be Modified Absent Court Order, UNLESS the modification of those dates do not affect the scheduled trial date(s). Motions to Continue a Trial Date Must Be Supported by Good Cause Because Any Rescheduled Date May Substantially Delay the Trial. Thus, the Parties Are Required to Bring Any Problems with the Scheduling or the Completion of Discovery to the Court's Attention Promptly Through Appropriate Motion or Conference Request.

The Parties Shall Make Good Faith Efforts to Resolve Discovery Disputes Before Filing Any Motions or Objections Regarding Same. In Accordance with P.B. Sec. 13-8(b) and Sec. 13-10(c), No Objections Regarding Interrogatories or Requests for Production Shall Be Placed on the Short Calendar List Unless an Affidavit Is Filed Certifying That Bona Fide Efforts Have Been Made to Resolve the Dispute.

The Parties Are Ordered to Resolve All Discovery Disputes Through Motions or Objections Available under Chapter 13 of the Practice Book Before the Date for Completion of Discovery. Motions in Limine, and Motions to Preclude Filed at the Time of Trial May Not Be Entertained by the Court When Directed at Discovery Issues That Could Have Been Addressed and Resolved Through Pretrial Remedies Available under Chapter 13 of the Practice Book.

Judicial Notice (JDNO) was sent regarding this order.

422677

Judge: THEODORE R TYMA

This document may be signed or verified electronically and has the same validity and status as a document with a physical (pen-to-paper) signature. For more information, see Section I.E. of the *State of Connecticut Superior Court E-Services Procedures and Technical Standards* (<https://jud.ct.gov/external/super/E-Services/e-standards.pdf>), section 51-193c of the Connecticut General Statutes and Connecticut Practice Book Section 4-4.