

FORECLOSURE MEDIATOR'S REPORT

JD-CV-89 Rev. 7-13
C.G.S. § 49-31n; P.A. 13-136

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT
www.jud.ct.gov

COURT USE ONLY
FMRPT



Name of Case M&T BANK V. POMPILLI, BRADFORD K.		Docket Number AANCV166021495S	Return Date 9/13/2016
Judicial District Ansonia-Milford	Name of Mediator Jennifer Lyke		Date Mediation Held 6/22/2018

- Did the parties engage in conduct consistent with the objectives of the mediation program?
 - Plaintiff Yes No If no, explain:
 - Defendant Yes No If no, explain: The Court (Moran, J.) issued an order at the previous mediation in regards to document submission. The order was partially complied with.
 - Did the parties possess the ability to mediate?
 - Plaintiff Yes No If no, explain:
 - Defendant Yes No If no, explain: The Court (Moran, J.) issued an order at the previous mediation in regards to document submission. The order was partially complied with.
- Did the mortgagor submit a complete financial package to the mortgagee? Yes No
If no, explain: See Q1.
- What foreclosure alternative has the mortgagor requested?
 - Loan modification Repayment Reinstatement
 - Short Sale Deed-in-Lieu Modification of sale date/law day
- Has the mortgagor been previously evaluated for a similar request? Yes No
If yes, prior to mediation In mediation
If yes, has there been a change in circumstances since that evaluation? Yes No
If yes, explain:
- Has the mortgagee responded to the mortgagor's request? Yes No Not Applicable
Description of the response: Approval Denial
Explain: File was closed out due to not receiving documents in a timely fashion.
Is the mediator aware of any material reason to disagree with that response? Yes No
If yes, explain:
- Has the mortgagor responded to the mortgagee's offer on a reasonably timely basis? Yes No Not Applicable
Explain:
- Has the mortgagee requested additional information from the mortgagor? Yes No
If yes, what are the stated reasons for the request and by what date must the information be submitted so that all financials will remain current?
The mortgagee will need a new financial package to review for available loss mitigation options.
- Has the mortgagor supplied, on a reasonably timely basis, additional information reasonably requested by the mortgagee? Yes No
 Not Applicable
If no, reason: See Q1.
- Is information provided by the mortgagor still current for the mortgagee's review? Yes No
If no, list the out-of date information and the reason it is no longer current: See Q1.
- Has the mortgagee provided a reasonable explanation of a denial for the foreclosure alternative requested? Yes No
 Not Applicable
Is the mediator aware of any material reasons to disagree with the denial? Yes No
If yes, explain:
- Has the mortgagee complied with the statutory time frames for responding to requests for decisions? Yes No

2018 JUN 26 PM 11:06
 JD CLERK'S OFFICE
 SUPERIOR COURT
 MILFORD

If no, explain:

12. Did the parties satisfy the expectations set forth in the previous report?

Plaintiff Yes No Not Applicable

Defendant(s) Yes No Not Applicable

If no, explain: See Q1.

Is a subsequent mediation session expected to occur? Yes No Don't Know

Describe the expectations for each party both prior to and for the next mediation session, if applicable:

Mediator to follow up with the Court (Moran, J.) about prior document submission orders.

13. Will the parties benefit from further mediation? Yes No

Additional comments:

This report was delivered to each party to the mediation on:

6/26/18
(Date)



Mediator's Signature

6/26/18
(Date)