

**FORECLOSURE MEDIATOR'S
REPORT**JD-CV-89 Rev. 7-13
C.G.S. § 49-31n; P.A. 13-136**STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT**
www.jud.ct.gov

COURT USE ONLY

FMRPT



Name of Case M&T BANK V. POMPILLI, BRADFORD K.		Docket Number AANCV166021495S	Return Date 9/13/2016
Judicial District Ansonia-Milford	Name of Mediator Jennifer Lyke		Date Mediation Held 6/22/2018

1. Did the parties engage in conduct consistent with the objectives of the mediation program?
- Plaintiff ☒ Yes ☐ No If no, explain:
- Defendant ☐ Yes ☒ No If no, explain: The Court (Moran, J.) issued an order at the previous mediation in regards to document submission. The order was partially complied with.
- Did the parties possess the ability to mediate?
- Plaintiff ☒ Yes ☐ No If no, explain:
- Defendant ☐ Yes ☒ No If no, explain: The Court (Moran, J.) issued an order at the previous mediation in regards to document submission. The order was partially complied with.
2. Did the mortgagor submit a complete financial package to the mortgagee? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- If no, explain: See Q1.
3. What foreclosure alternative has the mortgagor requested?
- ☒ Loan modification ☐ Repayment ☐ Reinstatement
- ☐ Short Sale ☐ Deed-in-Lieu ☐ Modification of sale date/law day
4. Has the mortgagor been previously evaluated for a similar request? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- If yes, prior to mediation ☐ In mediation ☐
- If yes, has there been a change in circumstances since that evaluation? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- If yes, explain:
5. Has the mortgagee responded to the mortgagor's request? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable
- Description of the response: ☐ Approval ☒ Denial
- Explain: File was closed out due to not receiving documents in a timely fashion.
- Is the mediator aware of any material reason to disagree with that response? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- If yes, explain:
6. Has the mortgagor responded to the mortgagee's offer on a reasonably timely basis? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
- Explain:
7. Has the mortgagee requested additional information from the mortgagor? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- If yes, what are the stated reasons for the request and by what date must the information be submitted so that all financials will remain current?
- The mortgagee will need a new financial package to review for available loss mitigation options.
8. Has the mortgagor supplied, on a reasonably timely basis, additional information reasonably requested by the mortgagee? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- ☐ Not Applicable
- If no, reason: See Q1.
9. Is information provided by the mortgagor still current for the mortgagee's review? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- If no, list the out-of date information and the reason it is no longer current: See Q1.
10. Has the mortgagee provided a reasonable explanation of a denial for the foreclosure alternative requested? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- ☐ Not Applicable
- Is the mediator aware of any material reasons to disagree with the denial? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- If yes, explain:
11. Has the mortgagee complied with the statutory time frames for responding to requests for decisions? ☒ Yes ☐ No

2018 JUL 26 PM 11:06
JD CLERK'S OFFICE
SUPERIOR COURT
MILFORD
JENNIFER LYKE

If no, explain:

12. Did the parties satisfy the expectations set forth in the previous report?

Plaintiff ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable

Defendant(s) ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable

If no, explain: See Q1.

Is a subsequent mediation session expected to occur?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Don't Know

Describe the expectations for each party both prior to and for the next mediation session, if applicable:

Mediator to follow up with the Court (Moran, J.) about prior document submission orders.

13. Will the parties benefit from further mediation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Additional comments:

This report was delivered to each party to the mediation on:

6/26/18
(Date)



Mediator's Signature

6/26/18
(Date)