

1 **MARTIN D. SINGER (BAR NO. 78166)**  
2 **DAVID B. JONELIS (BAR NO. 265235)**  
3 **LAVELY & SINGER**  
4 **PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION**  
5 2049 Century Park East, Suite 2400  
6 Los Angeles, California 90067-2906  
7 Telephone: (310) 556-3501  
8 Facsimile: (310) 556-3615  
9 Email: [mdsinger@lavelysinger.com](mailto:mdsinger@lavelysinger.com)  
10 [djonelis@lavelysinger.com](mailto:djonelis@lavelysinger.com)

11 Attorneys for Defendants  
12 LARCO PRODUCTIONS, INC.

13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
14 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

15 CORDELL, LLC, a California Limited Liability Company, ) CASE NO. 3:23-cv-01264-AGS-DEB  
16 )

17 Plaintiff, )

18 **DEFENDANT’S ANSWER**  
19 **TO COMPLAINT**

20 vs. )

21 LARCO PRODUCTIONS, INC., a )  
22 New York Corporation; and DOES 1- )  
23 10, )

24 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

25 Defendants. )  
26 )  
27 )  
28 )

1 Defendant LARCO PRODUCTIONS, INC. (“Defendant”) hereby answers  
2 the Complaint of Plaintiff CORDELL, LLC (“Plaintiff”) as follows.

3 **PARTIES**

4 1. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief  
5 about the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph and on that basis  
6 denies those allegations.

7 2. Defendant admits the allegations contained in this paragraph.

8 3. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief  
9 about the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph and on that basis  
10 denies those allegations.

11 4. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief  
12 about the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph and on that basis  
13 denies those allegations.

14 **BACKGROUND**

15 5. Defendant admits that the 1988 motion picture *Maniac Cop* was  
16 written by the late Lawrence G. Cohen. Except as so admitted, Defendant denies  
17 the allegations contained in this paragraph.

18 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

19 6. Defendant admits that Plaintiff’s Complaint alleges a claim that  
20 involves a federal question. Defendant denies that Plaintiff’s Complaint asserts  
21 any state law claims.

22 7. Defendant admits that it is subject to this Court’s personal  
23 jurisdiction.

24 8. Defendants admits that this action was filed in the proper venue.  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**FACTUAL BACKGROUND**  
**FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS**  
**Chain of Title For *Maniac Cop***

9. Defendant admits that a copyright registration for the 1988 motion picture *Maniac Cop* is attached as Exhibit 1 to the Complaint, which registration speaks for itself.

10. Defendant admits that it is a signatory to a July 1, 1987 Motion Picture Financing, Production, and Distribution Agreement with Marble Hall Productions, Inc. (the “FPD Agreement”), which is attached as Exhibit 2 to the Complaint, and which agreement speaks for itself.

11. Defendant admits the allegations contained in this paragraph.

12. Defendant admits that an “Exhibit 1” is attached to the FPD Agreement, which document speaks for itself.

13. Defendant admits that the motion picture *Maniac Cop* was released worldwide in 1988, and further admits that Defendant received compensation pursuant to the terms of the FPD Agreement.

14. Defendant admits that a copyright registration for the 1988 motion picture *Maniac Cop* is attached as Exhibit 1 to the Complaint, which registration speaks for itself.

15. Defendant admits the allegations contained in this paragraph.

16. Defendant admits that Exhibits 2 through 7 are attached to the Complaint, which documents speak for themselves. Except as so admitted, Defendant denies the allegations contained in this paragraph. This paragraph also consists of legal contentions to which no response is required. However, to the extent a response is required, Defendant denies the legal contentions.

17. Defendant admits that the document attached as Exhibit 4 to the Complaint speaks for itself. Except as so admitted, Defendant denies the allegations contained in this paragraph. This paragraph also consists of legal

1 contentions to which no response is required. However, to the extent a response is  
2 required, Defendant denies the legal contentions.

3 18. Defendant admits that the document attached as Exhibit 4 to the  
4 Complaint speaks for itself. Except as so admitted, Defendant denies the  
5 allegations contained in this paragraph. This paragraph also consists of legal  
6 contentions to which no response is required. However, to the extent a response is  
7 required, Defendant denies the legal contentions.

8 19. Defendant admits that Larry Cohen died on March 23, 2019, and  
9 further admits that the document attached as Exhibit 4 to the Complaint speaks  
10 for itself. Except as so admitted, Defendant denies the allegations contained in  
11 this paragraph. This paragraph also consists of legal contentions to which no  
12 response is required. However, to the extent a response is required, Defendant  
13 denies the legal contentions.

14 20. Defendant admits that the document attached as Exhibit 4 to the  
15 Complaint speaks for itself. Except as so admitted, Defendant denies the  
16 allegations contained in this paragraph. This paragraph also consists of legal  
17 contentions to which no response is required. However, to the extent a response is  
18 required, Defendant denies the legal contentions.

19 21. Defendant denies the allegations contained in this paragraph. This  
20 paragraph also consists of legal contentions to which no response is required.  
21 However, to the extent a response is required, Defendant denies the legal  
22 contentions.

23 22. Defendant admits that it is a a signatory to the FPD Agreement,  
24 which is attached as Exhibit 2 to the Complaint, and which agreement speaks for  
25 itself. Except as so admitted, Defendant denies the allegations contained in this  
26 paragraph. This paragraph also consists of legal contentions to which no response  
27 is required. However, to the extent a response is required, Defendant denies the  
28 legal contentions.

1 23. Defendant admits that the document attached as Exhibit 4 to the  
2 Complaint speaks for itself. Except as so admitted, Defendant denies the  
3 allegations contained in this paragraph. This paragraph also consists of legal  
4 contentions to which no response is required. However, to the extent a response is  
5 required, Defendant denies the legal contentions.

6 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

7 **(Declaratory Relief Re: Copyright Ownership As A Result Of Work Made**  
8 **For Hire)**

9 24. Defendant restates, re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference  
10 its responses contained in Paragraphs 1 through 23, inclusive, as if fully set forth  
11 herein.

12 25. Defendant denies the allegations contained in this paragraph. This  
13 paragraph also consists of legal contentions to which no response is required.  
14 However, to the extent a response is required, Defendant denies the legal  
15 contentions.

16 26. Defendant denies the allegations contained in this paragraph.

17 27. Defendant denies the allegations contained in this paragraph.

18 28. Defendant denies the allegations contained in this paragraph.

19 29. This paragraph is unintelligible as phrased, and thus Defendant lacks  
20 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the  
21 allegations contained in this paragraph and on that basis denies those allegations.

22 30. Defendant admits that Plaintiff is seeking a judicial determination.

23 31. This paragraph consists of legal contentions to which no response is  
24 required. However, to the extent a response is required, Defendant denies the  
25 legal contentions.

26  
27  
28

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.