J	Case	3:21-cv-00448-DMS-KSC Document 1 Fil	ed 03/12/21 Pa	FILED
	1 2 3	Karen L. Willis, J.D. 220 West G Street, Suite E. San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 206-5311 Email: boringlegalwork@gmail.com		Mar 12 2021 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY s/ robert DEPUTY
	4	Pro se		
	5 6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
	7 8 9	HARLEM WEST MUSIC GROUP Plaintiff,	Case No.	'21CV448 DMS KSC
	10	VS.	COMPLA	INT FOR:
	11	YOUTUBE, L.L.C., a Delaware compan and DOES 1-10, inclusive.		yright Infringement
	12	Defendants.	(2) Vica Infri	rious Copyright ngement
	13		(3) Viol	ations of California's
•. 	14		Com	petition Law
	15		DEMANT	FOR JURY TRIAL
	16			
	17			
	18			
	19			
	20			
	21 22			,
	23			
	.24			
	25			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	26			
	27			
	20		Case No.	
		ET RM Find authenticated court documents with	nout watermarks at .	<u>docketalarm.com</u> .

-

#### INTRODUCTION

This case involves the well-publicized results of one of the first cases brought 2 to trial under section 203 of the 1976 Copyright Act. Plaintiff Harlem West Music 3 Group bring this complaint against Defendant YouTube, L.L.C., for damages. 4 Plaintiff alleges as follows: 5

6

1

1. In the case of Scorpio v. Willis (Southern District of California, Hon. Judge Ted Moskowitz), on or about 2011, Victor Willis ("Willis") served notices of 7 terminations (the "Notices") regarding grants to Can't Stop Productions ("Can't 8 Stop") and Scorpio Music ("Scorpio") of his copyrights to numerous Village People 9 compositions for which he wrote the lyrics. On or about 2012, Can't Stop brought 10 an action seeking to have the notices declared invalid. 11

2. On or about 2013, the Notices were declared VALID. On or about 2014, 12 a Jury trial was held for Willis who sought upwards of 50% ownership in the 13 compositions. The results (pursuant to the jury verdict) were that Willis recaptured 14 33% ownership in 20 compositions including "In the Navy" and "Go West," and 15 50% ownership in 13 compositions including the biggest and most iconic hit 16 "Y.M.C.A." 17

3. On or about September 15, 2016, Willis served notices of terminations of 18 grants or implied grants of copyrights on Universal Music Group, Can't Stop 19 Productions/Music and Scorpio Music with respect to all Village People recordings 20 wherein Willis is the featured vocalists, and all original music Videos, including the 21 original iconic "Y.M.C.A." music video. 22

4. Moreover, pursuant to section 203 of the 1976 Copyright Act, the 23 aforementioned Notices (master recordings, and music videos) were all filed in the 24 U.S. Copyright Office with subsequent receipt of official Certificates of 25 Recordation. 26

1

27 COMPLAINT

28

Case No.

5. This time, none of the parties challenged Willis's Notices of Terminations 1 in a court of law. Therefore, Willis recaptured 100% of his contributions to the 2 copyrights (master recordings and music videos) on the effective date of termination 3 pursuant to the Notices. 4

5

6

6. The effective date of termination for all the Notices (grants or implied grants of copyrights) was September 15, 2018.

7. Willis transferred all his rights in the recaptured copyrights to Harlem West 7 Music Group ("Harlem West") including the initial recaptured copyrights, the 8 master recordings, and music video rights as well. 9

8. Over several years, Harlem West has suffered and continued to suffer 10 irreparable harm, and financial losses as a direct consequence of YouTube's 11 deliberate and inexplicable failure to allow Harlem West to resume monetizing its 12 Village People music videos on its platform (as an owner of the copyrights) after the 13 very co-owners of the copyrights filed bogus takedown orders; all of which were 14 removed or retracted by them. 15

3

1

Ā

)

3

9. Though there are presently no claims or takedown request on Harlem 16 West's Victor Willis Music Channel (and none would be valid under the 17 circumstances) YouTube continues to deny Harlem West's Victor Willis Music 18 Channel competing monetization of its Village People music videos. Instead, 19 YouTube only allows Harlem West's co-owners of the copyrights to monetize the 20 music videos therein stifling competitive use of the copyrights amongst the 21 copyright owners, facilitating and/or directly engaging in unfair competition. 22

23

10. When Plaintiff filed takedown requests for the removal of certain videos uploaded without permission and which constitutes copyright infringement, 24 YouTube refused to remove the videos. 25

26 27

28

11. YouTube's failure takedown the offending videos as requested, as well as

2

COMPLAINT

Case No.

18. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the third claim (state 1 claim) for relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367 because the claim arises out of the 2 same nucleus of the operative federal claim. 3

19. Venue is proper in the Southern District of California pursuant to 28 4 U.S.C. § 13919 (b) because Plaintiff reside and operate her business within the 5 Southern District of California. 6

7

## FACTURAL ALLEGATIONS

20. On or about September 11, 2017, 2018, Plaintiff filed a counter-8 takedown notice with YouTube related a Universal Music Group ("UMG) 9 takedown request of Plaintiff's videos. Plaintiff demanded that YouTube provide 10 her with contact information on the person or persons acting on behalf of UMG 11 requesting the takedown of her videos. Defendant YouTube refused to provide 12 Plaintiff with the requested information. However, had the requested contact 13 information been provided, Plaintiff could have made direct contact with UMG 14 and quickly had the issue resolved. 15

Plaintiff then put YouTube on notice that, since UMG was an owner music 16 video but not an owner of the compositions whatsoever but which Plaintiff owns, 17 she would file takedown notices of all UMG Village People music videos because 18 she would had not and will not issue UMG the required composition license 19 considering that UMG had filed takedown requests on her videos. This means that 20 UMG, at the most, could display the videos without sound (the music 21 compositions). 22

21. On or about November 2018, Plaintiff demanded that YouTube 23 takedown all UMG relevant Village People music videos for copyright 24 infringement as Plaintiff had not and would not grant UMG a license for use of the 25 26 27 4

COMPLAINT

28

Case No.

compositions at YouTube (especially "Y.M.C.A.") in conjunction with their partial 1 ownership in Village People music videos. 2

22. On or about November 2018, YouTube responded that it could not 3 takedown the UMG music videos because YouTube has a special relationship with 4 companies like UMG through Content ID. The same Content ID that YouTube 5 repeatedly denied Plaintiff of course. Plaintiff responded by reminding YouTube 6 that were it to fail to remove the videos or disable the sound, it would have waived 7 its protection under the safe harbour provision of the Digital Millennium 8 Copyright Act (DMCA), and Plaintiff would eventually sue. 9

23. YouTube also failed to act to take down numerous other, unrelated, 10 takedown requests of offending videos engaged in copyright infringement against 11 Plaintiff. 12

13

25

26

27

28

24. Victor Willis Music Channel is a well-established video music channel at YouTube that has operated since 2013 with over 6.2 million views. 14

25. YouTube approved monetization for the channel in 2014. Upon 15 Monetization, Harlem West earned in excess of \$700-\$1,200 per month for 16 YouTube's placements of its ads. 17

26. In 2015, Victor Willis Music Channel started receiving false copyright 18 claims on its account. False or bogus claims to copyrights in efforts to 19 commandeer monetization of popular music videos is quite common at YouTube. 20

27. In Plaintiff's case, each time a bogus copyright claim was filed, Plaintiff 21 filed the required counter-takedown notification and the false claims were 22 withdrawn after the claimant discovered they'd filed a claim against one of the 23 actual owners of the copyright. 24

28. In fact, this issue is such a nuisance with major music copyright owners COMPLAINT 5 Case No.

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.