- 1	
1	HILGERS GRABEN PLLC
2	MICHAEL MERRIMAN (SBN 234663)
3	mmerriman@hilgersgraben.com 655 West Broadway, Suite 900
4	San Diego, CA 92101
5	Telephone: 619-369-6232 Facsimile: 402-413-1880
	raesinine. 402-413-1660
6	TRENT TANNER (pro hac vice)
7	ttanner@hilgersgraben.com 575 Fallbrook Blvd. Suite 202
8	Lincoln, NE 68521
9	Telephone: 402-260-1391
10	Facsimile: 402-413-1880
11	JENNIFER ERICKSON BAAK (pro hac vice
12	pending) jericksonbaak@hilgersgraben.com
13	600 17th Street, Suite 2800
14	Denver, CO 80202
15	Telephone: 773-407-5502 Facsimile: 402-413-1880
16	
17	Attorneys for Movant Gregory Lucier
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	



## 

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO DIVISION

NUVASIVE, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

ALPHATEC HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and ALPHATEC SPINE, INC., a California corporation,

Defendants.

Case No. 18-cv-00347-MDD-CAB

DECLARATION OF TRENTON
D. TANNER IN SUPPORT OF
MOVANT GREGORY
LUCIER'S EX PARTE
APPLICATION FOR
SHORTENING OF TIME
RELATED TO MOTION TO
OUASH TRIAL SUBPOENA

I, Trenton D. Tanner, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney at Hilgers Graben, PLLC, counsel for Movant, Gregory Lucier. I submit this Declaration in support of Movant Gregory Lucier's *Ex Parte* Application for Shortening of Time related to Motion to Quash Trial Subpoena ("Application"). I have personal knowledge of the following facts and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto.
- 2. I have met and conferred with counsel for Alphatec Holdings, Inc. and Alphatec Spine, Inc. (collectively, "Alphatec"), regarding the relief sought in the Application and the relief sought in Movant Gregory Lucier's Motion to Quash Trial Subpoena. Specifically, I exchanged emails with Alphatec's counsel related to this relief on January 31, February 1, February 3, February 7, and February 8, 2022. I also spoke with Alphatec's counsel about these issues on February 2, February 8, and February 9, 2022.
- 3. In the course of these meet-and-confers, I informed Alphatec's counsel that Mr. Lucier intended to move to quash the subpoena that Alphatec served on Mr. Lucier on January 4, 2022, for three reasons: Alphatec failed to properly serve a



witness fee and mileage allowance on Mr. Lucier as required by Rule 45(b); the subpoena violates the geographic limitations of Rule 45(c); and the subpoena imposes an undue burden on Mr. Lucier.

- 4. On February 9, 2022, Alphatec's counsel agreed to provide the witness fee and mileage allowance and to file its Response in opposition to Mr. Lucier's Motion to Quash within two weeks after Mr. Lucier filed his Motion to Quash, in exchange for Mr. Lucier's agreement to waive the requirement that Alphatec reserve the subpoena *concurrently* with the witness fee and mileage allowance.
- 5. Alphatec's counsel has also agreed that Mr. Lucier does not need to appear to testify until at least March 7, 2022, even though the subpoena commands his appearance on February 28, 2022.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 9<sup>th</sup> day of February 2022.

By:

Trenton D. Tanner

