

1 **WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI P.C.**  
 2 MORRIS FODEMAN (*pro hac vice*)  
 3 [mfodeman@wsgr.com](mailto:mfodeman@wsgr.com)  
 4 WENDY L. DEVINE (SBN 246337)  
 5 [wdevine@wsgr.com](mailto:wdevine@wsgr.com)  
 6 NATALIE J. MORGAN (SBN 211143)  
 7 [nmorgan@wsgr.com](mailto:nmorgan@wsgr.com)  
 8 12235 El Camino Real  
 9 San Diego, CA 92130  
 10 (858) 350-2300

7 **HILGERS GRABEN PLLC**  
 8 MICHAEL T. HILGERS (*pro hac vice*)  
 9 [mhilgers@hilgersgraben.com](mailto:mhilgers@hilgersgraben.com)  
 10 575 Fallbrook Blvd, Suite 202  
 11 Lincoln, NE 68521  
 12 (402) 218-2106

11 *Attorneys for Plaintiff NuVasive, Inc.*

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 13 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 14 SAN DIEGO DIVISION

15 NUVASIVE, INC., a Delaware 16 corporation, 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Plaintiff,  v.  ALPHATEC HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and ALPHATEC SPINE, INC., a California corporation,  Defendants.	) CASE NO.: 18-cv-00347-CAB-MDD ) ) <b>ALPHATEC'S PROPOSED JURY</b> ) <b>INSTRUCTIONS</b> ) ) ) ) ) Judge: Hon. Cathy Ann Bencivengo ) Courtroom: 15A ) ) Trial Date: January 10, 2022
--	---	---

**PRELIMINARY JURY INSTRUCTIONS**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**ALPHATEC’S PROPOSED PRELIMINARY INSTRUCTION NO. 1**

*[Intentionally skipped due to agreement of the parties]*

1           **ALPHATEC’S PROPOSED PRELIMINARY INSTRUCTION NO. 2**  
2                                   **UNITED STATES PATENTS**

3           This case involves a dispute relating to a United States patent. Before  
4 summarizing the positions of the parties and the legal issues involved in the dispute,  
5 let me take a moment to explain what a patent is and how one is obtained.

6 Patents are granted by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (sometimes  
7 called “the PTO”). A valid United States patent gives the patent holder the right to  
8 prevent others from making, using, offering to sell, or selling the patented invention  
9 within the United States, or from importing it into the United States, during the term  
10 of the patent without the patent holder’s permission. A violation of the patent  
11 holder’s rights is called infringement. The patent holder may try to enforce a patent  
12 against persons believed to be infringers by means of a lawsuit filed in federal court.

13           To obtain a patent one must file an application with the PTO. The process of  
14 obtaining a patent is called patent prosecution. The PTO is an agency of the federal  
15 government and employs trained patent examiners who review applications for  
16 patents. The application includes what is called a “specification,” which must  
17 contain a written description of the claimed invention telling what the invention is,  
18 how it works, how to make it and how to use it so others skilled in the field will  
19 know how to make or use it. The specification concludes with one or more  
20 numbered sentences. These are the patent “claims.” When the patent is eventually  
21 granted by the PTO, the claims define the boundaries of its protection and give  
22 notice to the public of those boundaries.

23           After the applicant files the application, a PTO patent examiner reviews the  
24 patent application to determine whether the claims are patentable and whether the  
25 specification adequately describes the invention claimed. In examining a patent  
26 application, the patent examiner reviews information about the state of the  
27 technology at the time the application was filed. As part of that effort, the patent  
28 examiner searches for and reviews information that is publicly available, submitted

1 by the applicant, or both. That information is called “prior art.” Prior art is defined  
2 by law, and I will give you at a later time specific instructions as to what  
3 constitutes prior art. However, in general, prior art includes things that existed  
4 before the claimed invention, that were publicly known, or used in a publicly  
5 accessible way in this country, or that were patented or described in a publication  
6 in any country. The patent examiner considers, among other things, whether each  
7 claim defines an invention that is new, useful, and not obvious in view of the prior  
8 art. A patent lists the prior art that the examiner considered; this list is called the  
9 “cited references.”

10 After the prior art search and examination of the application, the patent  
11 examiner then informs the applicant in writing what the examiner has found and  
12 whether any claim is patentable, and thus will be “allowed.” This writing from the  
13 patent examiner is called an “office action.” If the examiner rejects the claims, the  
14 applicant has an opportunity to respond and sometimes changes the claims or  
15 submits new claims. This process, which takes place only between the examiner and  
16 the patent applicant, may go back and forth for some time until the examiner is  
17 satisfied that the application and claims meet the requirements for a patent.  
18 Sometimes, patents are issued after appeals with the PTO or to a court. The papers  
19 generated during this time of communicating back and forth between the patent  
20 examiner and the applicant make up what is called the “prosecution history.” All of  
21 this material becomes available to the public no later than the date when the patent  
22 issues.

23 The fact that the PTO grants a patent does not necessarily mean that any  
24 invention claimed in the patent, in fact, deserves the protection of a patent. For  
25 example, the PTO may not have had available to it all the information that will be  
26 presented to you. A person accused of infringement has the right to argue here in  
27  
28

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.