EXHIBIT 3

DECLARATION OF TRENT D. TANNER IN SUPPORT OF NUVASIVE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS IN LIMINE NOS. 1-10

Case 2:08-cv-01307-AJS Document 569 Filed 02/06/12 Page 1 of 97

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH OF THE COMMONWEALTH SYSTEM OF HIGHER

EDUCATION,

Plaintiff

VS.

Civil Action No. 08-1307

VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC.,

Defendant

PROCEEDINGS (Day 1)

Transcript of Jury Trial proceedings, commencing on Monday, January 23, 2012, United States District Court, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, before Honorable Arthur J. Schwab, United States District Judge.

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: WILLIAM QUINN, Esquire

ARTHUR STROYD, Esquire

ELIZABETH STROYD WINDSOR, Esquire

For the Defendant: HENRY SNEATH, Esquire

WILLIAM ANTHONY, Esquire MATTHEW POPPE, Esquire

Reported by: Virginia S. Pease

Sandra Wenger

Official Court Reporters 619 USPO & Courthouse

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219

412.208.7385.

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography. Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.



Case 3:18-cv-00347-CAB-MDD Document 350-3 Filed 11/06/21 PageID.32047 Page 3 of 11

Case 2:08-cv-01307-AJS Document 569 Filed 02/06/12 Page 2 of 97

1		INDEX			
2	PLAINTIFF WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	<u>RECROSS</u>
3	Mark A. Nordenberg				
4	By Mr. Quinn	57			
5	By Mr. Anthony		70		
6	Joel S. Greenberger, M.D.				
7	By Miss Windsor	75			
8					
9		* * * * *			
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

Case 2:08-cv-01307-AJS Document 569 Filed 02/06/12 Page 3 of 97

```
1
              MONDAY MORNING SESSION, JANUARY 23, 2012, 11:25 A.M.
2
3
                         (Whereupon, the jury selection was had,
4
    and the following was had in open Court.)
5
               THE COURT: All right. The eight of you may stand
6
    and be sworn.
7
               THE CLERK: Would each of you stand and please raise
8
    your right hand?
9
                         (Whereupon, the jury was sworn in.)
10
                         THE CLERK: You may be seat.
11
               THE COURT: Anything on the record before I read the
12
    preliminary charge, behalf the plaintiff?
13
              MR. QUINN: No, Your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: Defendant?
15
              MR. SNEATH: No, Your Honor.
16
               THE COURT: One thing. As people go in and out will
17
    you please close the door? Because, otherwise, we just hear a
18
    lot of traffic out in the hall. So, I would appreciate if you
19
    do that as a courtesy to my staff, so she doesn't have to keep
20
    getting up and down closing doors.
21
              Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, welcome.
22
    good to see you. Glad we didn't lose the wonderful coat in
23
    the back row. Cutting edge of fashion.
24
               So, thank you. We'll spend some time together.
25
    Usually patent cases take many, many weeks, many, many,
```



Varian Medical Systems, a company that manufactures and sells medical equipment, including equipment used to treat cancer patients. I'll refer to the parties as Pitt and as Varian.

Pitt alleges that Varian makes and sells products that infringe U.S. patent No. 5,727,554 which the parties refer to and I will refer to as patent '554. Imagine me having to satisfy all those numbers all the time so, it's patent 554.

Specifically, Pitt claims that Varian's RPM gating system, as well as a combination of the RPM gating system and Varian's clinac linear trilogic linear accelerators infringe the '554 patent.

In addition, Pitt alleges that the Varian's infringement of that patent has been willful. The concept of willful infringement is something I will explain to you shortly.

This Court has already ruled that the Varian's products at issue in this case do, in fact, infringe the '554 patent. Because Varian's infringement of the patent has already been established, that is not an issue for you to decide. Instead, the only purpose of this trial and the only issue you are to decide is whether Varian's infringement of a patent was willful.

Whether Varian's infringement is willful relates to the amount of damage Pitt is entitled to recover in the



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

