

**WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI P.C.**  
MORRIS FODEMAN (*pro hac vice*)  
[mfodeman@wsgr.com](mailto:mfodeman@wsgr.com)  
WENDY L. DEVINE (SBN 246337)  
[wdevine@wsgr.com](mailto:wdevine@wsgr.com)  
NATALIE J. MORGAN (SBN 211143)  
[nmorgan@wsgr.com](mailto:nmorgan@wsgr.com)  
12235 El Camino Real  
San Diego, CA 92130  
(858) 350-2300

**HILGERS GRABEN PLLC**  
MICHAEL T. HILGERS (*pro hac vice*)  
[mhilgers@hilgersgraben.com](mailto:mhilgers@hilgersgraben.com)  
575 Fallbrook Blvd, Suite 202  
Lincoln, NE 68521  
(402) 218-2106

*Attorneys for Plaintiff NuVasive, Inc.*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN DIEGO DIVISION

**NUVATIVE, INC., a Delaware corporation,**

Plaintiff,

V.

ALPHATEC HOLDINGS, INC., a  
Delaware corporation, and ALPHATEC  
SPINE, INC., a California corporation,

### Defendants.

} Case No. 18-cv-00347-CAB-MDD  
}  
} **NUVATIVE INC.'S OPPOSITION**  
} **TO ALPHATEC'S MOTIONS IN**  
} **LIMINE NOS. 1-10**  
}  
}  
} Judge: Hon. Cathy Ann Bencivengo  
}  
} Courtroom: 15A  
}  
}  
} PER CHAMBERS RULES, NO  
} ORAL ARGUMENT UNLESS  
} SEPARATELY ORDERED BY THE  
} COURT  
}  
}  
} Hearing: November 12, 2021  
}  
}  
} Trial: December 8, 2021

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page(s)</b>	
I.	OPPOSITION TO MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 1: .....	1
II.	OPPOSITION TO MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 2: .....	4
III.	OPPOSITION TO MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 3: .....	8
IV.	OPPOSITION TO MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 4: .....	11
V.	OPPOSITION TO MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 5: .....	13
VI.	OPPOSITION TO MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 6: .....	15
VII.	OPPOSITION TO MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 7: .....	20
VIII.	OPPOSITION TO MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 8: .....	22
IX.	OPPOSITION TO MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 9: .....	24
X.	OPPOSITION TO MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 10: .....	25
XI.	CONCLUSION .....	25

## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

PAGE(S)	
3	CASES
4	
5	<i>Ah Quin v. Cnty. of Kauai DOT</i> , 733 F.3d 267 (9th Cir. 2013).....23
6	
7	<i>Am. Seating Co. v. USSC Grp., Inc.</i> , 514 F.3d 1262 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....13
8	
9	<i>Apple v. Samsung</i> , Dkt. 1622.....2
10	
11	<i>Applied Med. Res. Corp. v. U.S. Surgical Corp.</i> , 435 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....3
12	
13	<i>AVM Techs., LLC v. Intel Corp.</i> , 2013 WL 126233 (D. Del. Jan. 4, 2013).....15
14	
15	<i>AVM Techs., Ltd. Liab. Co. v. Intel Corp.</i> , 927 F. Supp. 2d 139 (D. Del. 2013).....8
16	
17	<i>Baltazar v. Target Corp.</i> , 2014 WL 12599626 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 22, 2014).....21
18	
19	<i>Bausch &amp; Lomb, Inc. v. Barnes-Hind/Hydrocurve, Inc.</i> , 796 F.2d 443 (Fed. Cir. 1986).....24
20	
21	<i>Bio-Rad Lab'ys, Inc. v. 10X Genomics Inc.</i> , 967 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....14, 15
22	
23	<i>Cardinal v. Buchnoff</i> , 2010 WL 3339509 (S.D. Cal Aug. 23, 2010) .....4
24	
25	<i>Cardpool, Inc. v. Plastic Jungle Inc.</i> , 817 F.3d 1316 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....23
26	
27	<i>Celebrity Cruises, Inc. v. Essef Corp.</i> , 434 F. Supp. 2d 169 (S.D.N.Y. 2006).....8
28	
29	<i>Coleman Motor Co. v. Chrysler Corp.</i> , 525 F.2d 1338 (3d Cir. 1975).....4
30	
31	<i>Elbit Sys. Land &amp; C4I Ltd. v. Hughes Network Sys., LLC</i> , 927 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....15
32	
33	<i>Grace v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 2020 WL 227404 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 15, 2020) .....4
34	
35	<i>Hilsley v. Ocean Spray Cranberries, Inc.</i> , 2019 WL 2579793 (S.D. Cal. June 24, 2019).....22

1	<i>In re Google AdWords Litig.</i> , 2012 WL 28068 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 5, 2012) .....	22
2	<i>Johns Hopkins Univ. v. CellPro, Inc.</i> , 152 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	1, 3
4	<i>Juicy Whip, Inc. v. Orange Bang, Inc.</i> , 382 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	9
5	<i>Kaufman v. Lantech, Inc.</i> , 926 F.2d 1136 (Fed. Cir. 1991).....	7, 8, 11, 13
7	<i>L.A. Times Commc'ns, LLC v. Dep't of Army</i> , 442 F. Supp. 2d 880 (C.D. Cal. 2006).....	21, 22
8	<i>Lucent Techs., Inc. v. Gateway, Inc.</i> , 580 F.3d 1301 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	13
10	<i>Meyer Intell. Props. Ltd. v. Bodum, Inc.</i> , 2010 WL 5764775 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 16, 2010).....	2
11	<i>NetFuel, Inc. v. Cisco Systems Inc.</i> , 2020 WL 1274985 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 17, 2020).....	8
13	<i>Odetics, Inc. v. Storage Tech. Corp.</i> , 185 F.3d 1259 (Fed. Cir. 1999).....	3
14	<i>Omega Patents, LLC v. CalAmp Corp.</i> , 2021 U.S. App. LEXIS 27805 (Fed. Cir. Sep. 14, 2021).....	15
16	<i>Padilla v. Beard</i> , 2017 WL 1354565 (E.D. Cal. Apr. 13, 2017).....	19
17	<i>Pall Corp. v. Micron Separations, Inc.</i> , 66 F.3d 1211 (Fed. Cir. 1995).....	3
19	<i>Power Integrations, Inc. v. Fairchild Semiconductor Int'l Inc.</i> , 2018 WL 5292544 (D. Del. Oct. 25, 2018) .....	4
20	<i>Promega Corp. v. Life Techs. Corp.</i> , 875 F.3d 651 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	13
22	<i>RCRV Inc. v. J L J Inc.</i> , 2013 WL 12149239 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 5, 2013) .....	18
23	<i>Rivera v. City of Merced</i> , 2006 WL 3349576 (E.D. Cal. Nov. 16, 2006) .....	21
25	<i>SRI Int'l, Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , 930 F.3d 1295 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	3
26	<i>Syneron Med. Ltd. v. Invasix, Inc.</i> , 2018 WL 4696969 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 27, 2018).....	15
28	<i>SynQor, Inc. v. Artesyn Techs., Inc.</i> , 709 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	3

1	<i>Transclean Corp. v. Jiffy Lube Int'l, Inc.</i> , 474 F.3d 1298 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	23
2	<i>Trell v. Marlee Elecs. Corp.</i> , 912 F.2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1990).....	15
3	<i>United States v. Hickey</i> , 917 F.2d 901 (6th Cir. 1990).....	21
4	<i>Univ. of Pittsburgh v. Varian Med. Sus., Inc.</i> , Dkt. 569.....	2
5	<i>Vaporstream, Inc. v. Snap Inc.</i> , 2020 WL 978731 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 28, 2020).....	4
6	<i>Vectura Ltd. v. GlaxoSmithKline LLC et al.</i> , 981 F.3d 1030 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	15
7	<i>VirnetX Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 324 F. Supp. 3d 836 (E.D. Tex. 2017) .....	3
8	<i>Ward v. Smith</i> , 2015 WL 1499053 (W.D. Mo. Apr. 1, 2015) .....	4
9	<i>WesternGeco L.L.C. v. ION Geophysical Corp.</i> , 791 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	2
10	<i>Wilson v. Hartford Ins. Co. of the Midwest</i> , 2011 WL 2670199 (W.D. Wash. July 7, 2011) .....	4
11	<i>Wyler Summit Pshp. v. Turner Broad. Sys.</i> , 235 F.3d 1184 (9th Cir. 2000).....	23
12	<i>Yue v. Chordiant Software, Inc.</i> , 2010 WL 11575579 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 22, 2010) .....	18

## STATUTES

19	35 U.S.C. § 271.....	13
----	----------------------	----

## RULES

21	Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e)(1)(A).....	17
22	Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(c)(1).....	18
23	Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(c)(1).....	19
24	L.R. 5.4(d).....	1
25	L.R. 7.1.h .....	6
26	Rule 26.....	16, 17, 18
27	Rule 26(a) and (e) .....	18
28		

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.