

EXHIBIT 8
TO TRENT TANNER DECLARATION ISO
NUVASIVE'S COMBINED MOTIONS IN LIMINE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN DIEGO DIVISION

NUVASIVE, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

ALPHATEC HOLDINGS, INC. and
ALPHATEC SPINE, INC.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 18-cv-00347-CAB-MDD

SUPPLEMENTAL REBUTTAL EXPERT REPORT OF KEITH R. UGONE, PH.D.

December 18, 2020

SUPPLEMENTAL REBUTTAL EXPERT REPORT OF KEITH R. UGONE, PH.D.

December 18, 2020

I.	OVERVIEW OF ASSIGNMENT	1
II.	SUMMARY OF OPINIONS.....	4
	A. Evaluation Of NuVasive’s Claimed Lost Profits Damages As Presented In The English Supplemental Damages Report.....	5
	B. Reasonable Royalty For A License To The Patents-In-Suit.....	9
	C. Monetary Damages Are Adequate To Compensate NuVasive For Alphatec’s Alleged Infringement.....	11
III.	QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE	12
IV.	FACTS, DATA, AND INFORMATION RECEIVED.....	13
V.	OVERVIEW OF PARTIES.....	16
	A. NuVasive.....	16
	B. Alphatec	16
VI.	OVERVIEW OF PATENTS-IN-SUIT	17
VII.	MARKET AND PRODUCT OVERVIEW	19
	A. Overview Of Spinal Fusion Surgery And Market For Lateral Lumbar Interbody Fusion Products.....	19
	1. Spinal Fusion Surgery.....	19
	2. Market For Lateral Lumbar Interbody Fusion Products	23
	B. NuVasive’s MAS Platform And Embodying Products	26
	1. Description Of NuVasive’s MAS Platform And XLIF Offerings	26
	2. NuVasive’s Sales Associated With Its MAS Platform/XLIF Procedure.....	27
	C. Alphatec’s Battalion Lateral System And Accused Products.....	28
	1. Description Of Alphatec’s Battalion Lateral System And Accused Products	28
	2. Alphatec’s Sales Associated With Its At-Issue Battalion Lateral System.....	34
VIII.	SUMMARY OF NUVASIVE’S CLAIMED DAMAGES AS PRESENTED BY MR. ENGLISH	35
	A. Mr. English’s Claimed Lost Profits Opinion	36
	B. Mr. English’s Claimed Royalty Opinion	38
IX.	EVALUATION OF NUVASIVE’S CLAIMED LOST PROFITS DAMAGES AS PRESENTED IN THE ENGLISH SUPPLEMENTAL DAMAGES REPORT.....	40
	A. Alphatec Had Acceptable Non-Infringing Alternatives	41
	1. Acceptable Non-Infringing Alternatives To The Access Patents.....	42

- 2. Acceptable Non-Infringing Alternatives To The Implant Patents 46
- B. Alphatec’s Sales Of The Battalion Lateral System Are Attributable To Factors Unrelated To The Patents-In-Suit 51
 - 1. Safety And Reproducibility Are Not Unique To The Patented Technology 52
 - 2. Experienced Sales Representatives And Strong Relationships With Surgeons Are Key Drivers Of Demand For Lateral Spine Surgery Products/Platforms..... 54
 - 3. Non-Accused Lateral Spine Surgery Products Have Been Commercially Successful Without Using The Asserted Claims Of The Patents-in-Suit..... 62
- C. Lack Of Evidence That NuVasive Would Have Made Alphatec’s At-Issue Sales Absent The Alleged Infringement 67
 - 1. Mr. English Inappropriately Assumes NuVasive Would Have Made All Of Alphatec’s Alleged “Diverted Sales” (In One Scenario)..... 68
 - 2. NuVasive Does Not Identify Alphatec As A “Significant Competitor”..... 71
 - 3. Alphatec’s At-Issue Battalion Lateral System Is Differentiated From NuVasive’s MAS Platform/XLIF Offerings..... 73
- D. Adjustments to NuVasive’s Claimed Lost Profits 75
 - 1. Adjustments To Mr. English’s Claimed Lost Profits Associated With The Access Patents 75
 - 2. Adjustments to Mr. English’s Claimed Lost Profits Associated With The Implant Patents 87
- E. Conclusion Relating To NuVasive’s Lost Profits Claim..... 95
- X. REASONABLE ROYALTY FOR A LICENSE TO THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT 96**
 - A. Patent Infringement Royalty Damages 98
 - 1. Hypothetical Negotiation Framework And The *Georgia-Pacific* Factors..... 98
 - 2. Hypothetical Negotiation Date And Parties..... 100
 - 3. Hypothetical Negotiation Predicates..... 102
 - B. NuVasive’s Negotiating Position..... 103
 - 1. Claimed Benefits Of The Patents-In-Suit 104
 - 2. The Significant Investment To Develop The Patented Products And MAS Platform / XLIF Procedure 107
 - 3. NuVasive Would Acknowledge That The Sales Of Its Embodying Products Is Attributable To Factors Unrelated To The Patents-In-Suit..... 108
 - 4. NuVasive Would Assert That Alphatec Would Be A Competitor With Respect To NuVasive’s MAS Platform/XLIF Offerings 115
 - C. Alphatec’s Negotiating Position 116

1. Alphatec Would Have Had Acceptable Non-Infringing Alternatives To The Patents-In-Suit.....	116
2. Sales Of Alphatec’s At-Issue Battalion Lateral System Are Not Attributable To The Patents-In-Suit	117
3. Alphatec Was Under Significant Financial Stress At The Time Of The Hypothetical Negotiation.....	118
4. Alphatec Would Stress That There Are Numerous Competitors In The Lateral Surgery Market	119
D. Value Indicators For A License To The Patents-In-Suit	120
1. NuVasive’s License Agreements.....	120
2. Alphatec’s Agreements.....	123
E. Outcome Of The Hypothetical Negotiation.....	131
1. Running Royalty Payment Constrained By The Costs Of Implementing Non-Infringing Alternatives.....	133
2. Running Royalty Payment Applied To All Sales	135
3. Conclusion As To The Outcome Of The Hypothetical Negotiation.....	137
XI. MONETARY DAMAGES ARE ADEQUATE TO COMPENSATE NUVASIVE FOR ALPHATEC’S ALLEGED INFRINGEMENT	138

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.