EXHIBIT 6 TO TRENT TANNER DECLARATION ISO NUVASIVE'S COMBINED MOTIONS IN LIMINE



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN DIEGO DIVISION

NUVASIVE, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 3:18-CV-00347 -CAB-MDD

ALPHATEC HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation and ALPHATEC SPINE, INC., a California corporation,

Defendants.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF SCOTT ROBINSON

ALPHATEC HOLDINGS, INC. RULE 30(b)(6) WITNESS

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

OCTOBER 29, 2019

Reported By: PATRICIA Y. SCHULER CSR No. 11949



Case 3:18-cv-\$6347-CRAB-14130n Donignary 342nFidentila129/21xtPagety.\$1419esPage13yof 9 October 29, 2019

	October	<u> </u>	, 2019		
1	Page 2	1		I-N-D-E-X	Page
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	2	WITNESS:	EXAMIN	NATION
3	SAN DIEGO DIVISION	3	SCOTT ROBINSO	DN	PAGE
4		4	MS. DEVINE		7
5	NUVASIVE, INC., a Delaware	5			
	corporation,	7		E-X-H-I-B-I-T-S	
6		8	PLAINTIFF'S		PAGE
	Plaintiff,	9	Exhibit 1	Defendants' Responses and	15
7				Objections to Nuvasive Inc.'s	
	v. Case No. 3:18-CV-00347	10		Notice of Deposition of Alphatec Pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6)	
8	-CAB-MDD	11		Pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6)	
	ALPHATEC HOLDINGS, INC., a		Exhibit 2	Nuvasive, Inc.'s Notice of	22
9	Delaware corporation and	12		Deposition of Alphatec Holdings,	
-	ALPHATEC SPINE, INC., a			Inc. and Alphatec Spine, Inc.	
.0	California corporation,	13		Pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6)	0.5
1	Defendants.	14	Exhibit 3	Nuvasive's Notice of Deposition of Scott Robinson	25
2	Delendants.	15		or scote Robinson	
3	Videotoped deposition of COOPE DODINGON taken		Exhibit 4	LinkedIn profile for Scott	50
	Videotaped deposition of SCOTT ROBINSON, taken on behalf of the Defendants at 12235 El Camino Real,	16		Robinson	
4 5		17	Exhibit 5	Course Program and Travel	83
	Suite 100, San Diego, California, at 9:18 a.m. and			Itinerary, Bates stamped	
6	ending at 6:27 p.m., on October 29, 2019, before	18	Exhibit 6	ATEC_LLIF000626779 Conduct Internal Training 6.4.9,	91
7	PATRICIA Y. SCHULER, Certified Shorthand Reporter	1	EXHIBIC 0	Bates stamped ATEC_LLIF000002354	91
8	No. 11949.	20		through 2397	
9		21	Exhibit 7	Surgical Technique Guide, Bates	98
0				stamped ABOU003617 through 3640	
1		22	- 1-11-1- O	D	100
2		23	Exhibit 8	Document Bates stamped NUVA_ATEC 001447 to 0014475	182
3		24	Exhibit 9	Document Bates stamped ATEC_LLIF	207
24 25		25		00004548 to 4561	
1	Page 3	1		E-X-H-I-B-I-T-S (CONTINUED)	Page
2	FOR PLAINTIFF:	2	PLAINTIFF'S		PAGE
		3	Exhibit 10	Document Bates stamped	212
3	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI, P.C.			ATEC_LLIF000003809 through 3818	
4	BY: WENDY L. DEVINE, ESQ.	4			
5	BY: CHRISTINA DASHE, ESQ.		Exhibit 11	Document Bates stamped ATEC LLIF	221
6	BY: PAUL D. TRIPOD, II, ESQ.	5		0003829 to 3835	
7	One Market Plaza, Spear Tower	6	Exhibit 12	Document Bates stamped ATEC_LLIF	228
			2210 12	000137018 to 137039	220
8	Suite 3300	7		555137616 66 137639	
9	San Francisco, California 94105	′	Exhibit 13	Document Bates stamped ATEC_LLIF	258
0	wdevine@wsgr.com	8	DVIIIDIC 13	000745897 to 745926	230
1	FOR DEFENDANTS:			000/4007/ LO /40720	
2	WINSTON STRAWN LLP	9			
		10			
. 3	BY: NIMALKA R. WICKRAMASEKERA, ESQ.	11			
4	333 South Grand Avenue, Suite 3800	12			
5	Los Angeles, California 90071-1543	13			
6	nwickramasekera@winston.com	14			
7		15			
8	Also Present:	16			
		17			
9	Tyson Marshall	18			
0	Videographer:	19			
1	Craig Ellingson	20			
2	Collette Stark	21			
		22			
3		23			
14		24			
		I			

Case 3:18-cv-\$6347-CRAB-1A12Dn Derighdy 32DnFidential29/21at Page By \$1429e Page 14yof 9 October 29, 2019

1	Page 6 San Diego, California; Tuesday, October 29, 2019	1	Page 8 A. 712 Apple Ridge, Encinitas, California
2	9:18 a.m.	2	92024.
3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record	3	Q. Who is your current employer?
4	at 9:18 a.m. on October 29, 2019. Audio and video	4	A. Alphatec Spine.
5	recording will continue to take place until all	5	Q. How long have you been employed there?
6	parties agree to go off the record. Please note	6	A. Since March of 2010; going on 10 years.
7	that microphones are sensitive and may pick up	7	Q. Mr. Robinson, have you ever had your
8	whispering and private conversations.	8	deposition taken before?
9	This is the video-recorded deposition of	9	A. No. This is the first.
10	Scott Robinson taken by counsel for the plaintiff	10	
11	in the matter of Nuvasive, Inc., a Delaware		Q. Okay. So I am just going to go over a
12	corporation versus Alphatec Holdings, et al., filed	11	few ground rules so we're on the same page.
13	in the United States District Court, Southern	12	Do you understand when I ask you a
14	District of California, San Diego division.	13	question, you need to give me a verbal answer?
	•	14	A. Yes.
15	This deposition is being held at Wilson,	15	Q. If you don't understand my question,
16	Sonsini, Goodrich & Rosati, located at 12235 El	16	would you please let me know?
17	Camino Real, San Diego, California 92130. My name	17	A. Yes.
18	is Craig Ellingson. I'm the videographer on behalf	18	Q. And if you do answer my question, do you
19	of U.S. Legal Support, located at 1230 Columbia	19	understand that I am going to take that as meaning
20	Street, Suite 400, San Diego, California 92101.	20	that you understood the question that I was asking?
21	The court reporter is Patricia Schuler,	21	A. Yes.
22	on behalf of U.S. Legal Support. I am not related	22	Q. Do you understand that you are providing
23	to any party in this action nor am I financially	23	testimony under oath today?
24	interested in the outcome.	24	A. I understand I am presenting testimony on
25	Counsel will state their appearances for	25	nonprivileged information in the topics outlined.
	Page 7		Page 9
1	the record, after which the court reporter will	1	Q. Do you understand that you are under oath
2	swear in the witness.	2	today as you testify, as you would be if you were
3	MS. DEVINE: Wendy Devine from Wilson,	3	testifying in a court of law?
4	Sonsini, Goodrich & Rosati on behalf of Nuvasive,	4	A. Yes.
5	Inc. With me are my co-counsel Christina Dashe and	5	Q. And do you understand that I am entitled
6	Paul Tripod of the same firm.	6	to complete and truthful answers to the best of
7	MS. WICKRAMASEKERA: Nimalka	7	your recollection?
8	Wickramasekera from Winston Strawn on behalf of the	8	A. Yes.
9	witness, the defendants. And with me is Tyson	9	Q. Is there any reason, Mr. Robinson, that
10	Marshall, vice president and associate general	10	you cannot give your most truthful, accurate and
11	counsel of Alphatec.	11	complete testimony today?
12		12	A. No.
13	SCOTT ROBINSON,	13	Q. Could you please tell me, Mr. Robinson,
14	having been administered an oath, was examined and	14	how you prepared for your deposition?
15	testified as follows:	15	A. There were a lot of documents. The
16	SENSETTER OF TOTTOMP.	16	complaint was reviewed. There were internal and
17	EXAMINATION	17	external marketing documents that were produced by
18	BY MS. DEVINE:	18	Alphatec. There was a design history file. There
19		19	were, you know, as many documents related to this
			case as were made available.
20	A. Hello.	20	
21	Q. Would you please state and spell your	21	Q. Did you choose any of the documents that
22	name for the record.	22	you reviewed to prepare for your deposition?
23	A. Scott Robinson. S-c-o-t-t,	23	A. I am not sure I understand the question.
24	R-o-b-i-n-s-o-n.	24	Q. Sure. So when you reviewed documents to
1 25	0 7md -bat in manage add	111	

Case 3:18-cv-90347-CRAB-MABOn Denightly 322nFidential29/21at Page D:31421/espage Doctober 29, 2019

```
Page 34
                                                                                                             Page 36
 1
              MS. DEVINE: We'll come back to get the
                                                                needs, and, if possible, made that, you know,
 2
                                                                simpler and more streamlined and more intuitive for
    answer to that question.
 3
               MS. WICKRAMASEKERA: Right. So just to
                                                                certain customers.
    be clear, we are not waiving privilege.
                                                                BY MS. DEVINE:
5
               MS. DEVINE: That is fine. But we'll
                                                            5
                                                                          But the topic is whether Alphatec formed
    come back at Alphatec's expense to get the answer
                                                                a good-faith belief that the patents-in-suit were
 7
    to that question because the record is clear --
                                                                 either invalid or not infringed.
 8
               MS. WICKRAMASEKERA: You will have to
                                                            8
                                                                           What can you tell me about that?
9
    move on it.
                                                            9
                                                                           MS. WICKRAMASEKERA: Objection. The
10
              MS. DEVINE: Let me finish.
                                                                witness has been designated subject to our
               The record is clear that he testified in
11
                                                                responses.
    2013 that there was a request for an evaluation of
                                                                BY MS. DEVINE:
12
    the IP landscape to Alphatec legal. And now you're
13
                                                           13
                                                                     0.
                                                                         You can answer.
    instructing him not to tell me if it was done at
14
                                                                          I mean, I -- I, in my efforts, and I
15
    any other date based on privilege.
                                                            15
                                                                would say we as a company, you look to the
16
              It is not -- not privileged at one point
                                                                marketplace to see, you know, how problems have
17
    and unprivileged in another.
                                                                been solved, and then you try to improve on those.
18
              MS. WICKRAMASEKERA: It's -- he did not
                                                                You try to make -- you try to design the best
19
    provide you any privileged information. He
                                                            19
                                                                products you can.
20
    provided you a general description of what is
                                                           2.0
                                                                           You know, inspiration comes from all
21
    contained in the design history file that existed
                                                            21
                                                                kinds of places. And, you know, we would sit in a
22
    in 2013, which you have, by the way.
                                                            22
                                                                room and we would talk about the best way to solve
23
              MS. DEVINE: Well, the record says what
                                                            23
                                                                a problem. That is the way that our products came
24
    he testified to. So we'll hold it open, and we'll
                                                            24
                                                                to be.
25
                                                            25
    come back and get an answer to that. And you can
                                                                           And we did not -- you know, we were not
                                                                                                             Page 37
                                                 Page 35
    pay for it.
                                                                experts in the IP landscape, but we believed that
 2
    BY MS. DEVINE:
                                                                what we had designed was significantly different
3
              Going back to Topic 2. Again, the topic
                                                                from products that had come before it.
    reads "When and under what circumstances Alphatec
                                                                           When you say "We were not experts in the
    first became aware of each of the patents-in-suit
                                                                IP landscape," you mean the engineers?
 6
    and what actions Alphatec took on becoming aware of
                                                                     Α.
7
                                                            7
    the patents-in-suit."
                                                                           But you had lawyers, correct?
8
               Do you have any other information to
                                                                     Α.
                                                                          Not on the core team.
9
    provide to me regarding Topic 2?
                                                            9
                                                                           Did you consult with lawyers?
10
              Not that I can recall at this time.
                                                            10
                                                                           MS. WICKRAMASEKERA: You can answer yes
11
              Let's move to Topic 3. "For each of the
                                                            11
                                                                or no.
                                                            12
12
    patents-in-suit, whether Alphatec formed a
                                                                           THE WITNESS: The design team --
13
    good-faith belief the patent was either invalid or
                                                            13
                                                                           MS. WICKRAMASEKERA: Yes or no, Scott.
14
    not infringed, as well as the basis for any such
                                                           14
                                                                           THE WITNESS: Not -- I did not directly.
15
    good-faith belief and whether the belief is based
                                                           15
                                                                BY MS. DEVINE:
    on the opinion of counsel."
                                                           16
16
                                                                     Ο.
                                                                           Did your team consult with lawyers?
17
              What can you tell me about Topic 3?
                                                           17
                                                                          MS. WICKRAMASEKERA: You can answer yes
18
              MS. WICKRAMASEKERA: I will caution you
                                                                or no.
                                                           18
```

19

20

21

22

23

on privilege.

THE WITNESS: I mean, at the time, I was

a design engineer, and my role was to design

products that met the clinical requirements of

lateral surgery. And my effort was to understand

the clinical requirements to the greatest of my

19

20

21

22

23

24

BY MS. DEVINE:

calls for speculation.

0.

right?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

And those lawyers were experts in IP,

MS. WICKRAMASEKERA: Objection. Vague;

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

