# EXHIBIT 1 TO TRENT TANNER DECLARATION ISO NUVASIVE'S COMBINED MOTIONS IN LIMINE



1 2 3 4 5 6	NIMALKA R. WICKRAMASEKERA nwickramasekera@winston.com DAVID P. DALKE (SBN: 218161) ddalke@winston.com LEV TSUKERMAN (SBN: 319184) ltsukerman@winston.com WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 333 S. Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071-1543 Telephone: (213) 615-1700 Facsimile: (213) 615-1750	(SBN: 268518)
7 8 9 10 11	BRIAN J. NISBET ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) bnisbet@winston.com SARANYA RAGHAVAN ( <i>Pro Hac V</i> sraghavan@winston.com WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 35 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60601-9703 Telephone: (312) 558-5600 Facsimile: (312) 558-5700	'ice)
12 13	Attorneys for Defendants ALPHATEC HOLDINGS, INC. AND	ALPHATEC SPINE, INC.
14	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
15	SOUTHERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16	SAN DI	EGO DIVISION
17		
18	NUVASIVE, INC., a Delaware	Case No. 3:18-CV-00347-CAB-MDD
19	corporation, Plaintiff,	[Assigned to Courtroom 4C – Honorable Cathy Ann Bencivengo]
20	v.	OPENING EXPERT REPORT OF
21	ALPHATEC HOLDINGS, INC., a	CHARLES L. BRANCH, JR., M.D.
22	Delaware corporation and ALPHATEC SPINE, INC., a	Complaint Filed: February 13, 2018
23	California corporation,	Jury Trial Demanded
24	Defendants.	
25		
26		
20		
27		



1		TABLE OF CONTENTS						
2	I.	INTRODUCTION						
3	II.	QUALIFICATIONS						
4	III.	MATERIALS CONSIDERED						
5	IV.	COMPENSATION						
6	V.	PRIOR TESTIMONY						
7	VI.	LEGAL STANDARDS						
8		A.	Anticipation	4				
9		B.	Obviousness	5				
10		C.	The Written Description Requirement	7				
		D.	Priority Date	8				
11	VII. VIII.	PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART						
12		BACKGROUND		9				
13		A.	Overview of the Human Spine	9				
14		B.	Development of Lateral Lumbar Interbody Fusion	10				
15		C.	NuVasive's Approach Merely Used Ubiquitous Surgical Tools to Perform Well-Known Minimally Invasive Lateral, Trans-Psoas Spine Surgery	16				
16			Minimally Invasive Lateral, Trans-Psoas Spine Surgery Was Well- Known in the Prior Art	16				
17			2. Sequential Dilators Were Well-Known in the Prior Art					
18			3. K-Wires Were Well-Known in the Prior Art					
19			4. Three-Bladed Retractors Were Well-Known in the Prior Art	25				
20			5. Neuromonitoring Was Well-Known in the Prior Art	31				
			6. Intradiscal Shims Were Well-Known in the Prior Art	34				
21			7. Other Ubiquitous Surgical Tools Used in Minimally Invasive Spinal Surgeries Were Well- Known in the Prior Art	39				
22	IX.	Simil	ar Claims in Related Patents Have Been Invalidated					
23		A. The Board Determined that Certain Claims of Related U.S. Patent No.						
24			8,016,767 Were Invalid	47				
25		B.	The Board Determined that Certain Claims of Related U.S. Patent No. 7,207,949 Were Invalid	50				
26		C.	The Board Determined that Certain Claims of Related U.S. Patent No.					
27			7,691,057 Were Invalid	52				
28			ii					



1	D.	The Board Determined that Certain Claims of Related U.S. Patent No. 8,192,356 Were Invalid	54
2		1. IPR2014-00073	
3		2. IPR2014-00074	57
4	E.	The Board Determined that Certain Claims of Related U.S. Patent No. 7,582,058 Were Invalid	59
5	X. Invali	idity of the Asserted Claims	
6	A.	The Asserted Claims of the '832 Patent Would Have Been Obvious	
7	11.	1. Claim 1 of the '832 Patent Would Have Been Obvious Over Branch in view of Maeda or Büttner-Janz, further in view of Friedman,	
8		Kossmann, or Obenchain, and further in view of Kelleher or Blewett	62
9		2. Claim 2: The system of claim 1, wherein the blade-holder assembly is adjustable to move the cephalad-most and caudal-most retractor blades	
10		away from the posterior-most retractor blade while the posterior-most retractor blade remains in a generally stationary position relative to the targeted spinal disc.	72
12		3. Claim 3: The system of claim 1, wherein the elongate inner member is advanced along the lateral, trans-psoas path to the targeted spinal disc	
13		such that a distal tip portion of the elongate inner member penetrates into an annulus of the targeted spinal disc	74
14		4. Claim 4: The system of claim 1, wherein the elongate inner member comprises a K-wire.	75
<ul><li>15</li><li>16</li></ul>		5. Claim 6: The system of claim 1, wherein when the three-bladed retractor tool defines the operative corridor, the posterior-most, cephalad-most, and caudal-most retractor blades are spaced apart and maintained generally parallel to one another.	75
17		6. Claim 7: The system of claim 1, wherein when the three-bladed	.13
18		retractor tool is in the first position, each of the posterior-most, cephalad-most, and caudal-most retractor blades at least partially abuts	
19		with edges of the other two of the posterior-most, cephalad-most, and caudal-most refractor blades.	77
20		7. Claim 8: The system of claim 1, wherein further comprising a light emitting device to direct light through the operative corridor toward	
21		the targeted spinal disc.	79
22 23		8. Claim 9: The system of claim 1, further comprising a fourth retractor blade that couples with the blade-holder assembly only after the blade-holder assembly moves the posterior-most, cephalad-most, and caudal-	
		most retractor blades to the second position	.80
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>		9. Claim 10: The system of claim 1, further comprising a fixation element to releasably engage with one of said retractor blades so that	
26		at least a portion of the fixation element extends distally into the lumbar spine, wherein the fixation element is configured to releasably	
27		engage with the posterior-most retractor blade after the posterior-most retractor blade is advanced along the lateral, trans-psoas path to the lumbar spine	81
28		10. Claim 12	
		iii	

1 2		11.	Claim 12 Would Have Been Obvious Over Branch in view of Maeda or Büttner-Janz, further in view of Friedman, Kossmann, or Obenchain, and further in view of Kelleher or Blewett	. 85
3		12.	Claim 13: The method of claim 12, further comprising adjusting said blade holder assembly so as to move said cephalad-most and caudal-	
4			most retractor blades away from said posterior-most retractor blade to create said operative corridor along said lateral, trans-psoas path to	
5			said targeted spinal disc, wherein said operative corridor is dimensioned so as to pass a spinal implant through said operative corridor along said lateral, trans-psoas path to said targeted spinal disc	. 95
6 7		13.	Claim 14: The method of claim 13, wherein said blade holder assembly is adjustable to move each of the cephalad-most and caudal-	. , ,
8			most retractor blades away from the posterior-most retractor blade while the posterior-most refractor blade remains in a generally	0.6
9			stationary position relative to the targeted spinal disc.	. 96
10		14.	Claim 16: The method of claim 12, further comprising activating a light emitting device to direct light through said operative corridor toward the targeted spinal disc, the light emitting device being coupled	
11			to one of the posterior-most, cephalad-most, and caudal-most retractor blades.	. 97
12 13		15.	Claim 17: The method of claim 12, further comprising advancing said elongate inner member along said lateral, trans-psoas path and into the disc space.	. 98
14 15		16.	Claim 19: The method of claim 12, wherein each of said plurality of sequentially larger diameter dilators comprises a distal end on which a respective stimulation electrode is situated, said distal end being	
16			angled relative to a longitudinal axis of said dilator such that said stimulation electrode is angled relative to said longitudinal axis.	
17		17.	Motivation to Combine the Prior Art References	102
1 /	B.	The A	sserted Claims of the '780 Patent Would Have Been Obvious	107
18 19		1.	Claim 21 of the '780 Patent Would Have Been Obvious Over Branch in view of Maeda or Büttner-Janz, further in view of Friedman, Kossmann, or Obenchain, further in view of Kelleher or Blewett, and	
			Nathanson	108
20		2.	Claim 22: The system of claim 21, wherein when the three-bladed	
21			retractor assembly is adjusted to the second position to form the operative corridor, the first retractor blade is the posterior-most	
22			retractor blade, the second blade is a cephalad-most retractor blade, and the third blade is a caudal-most refractor [sic] blade	122
23		3.	Claim 24: The system of claim 21, wherein said arm members of the blade holder assembly further comprise a translating arm member	
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>			coupled to the first retractor blade, wherein the rotation of the knob element of the blade holder assembly causes the translating arm member to linearly adjust a position of the first retractor blade relative	
26			to the second and third retractor blades.	123
20 27		4.	Claim 25: The system of clam 21, wherein the three-bladed retractor assembly includes an intradiscal shim element having a proximal	
28			portion removably engageable with the first retractor blade so that a distal portion of the shim element extends from a distal end of the first	
1			iv	



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

