EXHIBIT 11 TO TRENT TANNER DECLARATION ISO NUVASIVE'S COMBINED MOTIONS IN LIMINE



```
Page 1
 1
                   HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY
 2
 3
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 5
                            SAN DIEGO DIVISION
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
           NUVASIVE, INC., a Delaware
12
           corporation,
                                         )
13
                                         )
14
            Plaintiff,
15
16
                                         ) Case No. 3:18-CV-00347
           vs.
17
                                         ) CAB-MDD
18
           ALPHATEC HOLDINGS, INC., a
19
           Delaware corporation and
20
           ALPHATEC SPINE, INC., a
21
22
           California corporation, )
23
24
            Defendants.
                                         )
```



Page 2 1 The Zoom video deposition of RYAN DONAHOE, 2 3 Exam by Nimalka Wickramasekera 7 4 Exam by Paul Tripodi 334 5 6 EXHIBITS 5 taken before Richard Derrick Ehrlich, Registered 6 7 Exhibit No. 1 - 9 8 Defendants' Second Notice of Deposition to NuVasive, Inc., Pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) 9 Exhibit No. 2 - 9 10 Defendants' Notice of Taking Remote Virtual	Page 4
2 Page 3 Exam by Nimalka Wickramasekera 7 4 Exam by Paul Tripodi 334 5 6 EXHIBITS 7 Exhibit No. 1 - 9 8 Defendants' Second Notice of Deposition to NuVasive, Inc., Pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) 9 Exhibit No. 2 - 9	
2 3 Exam by Nimalka Wickramasekera 7 4 Exam by Paul Tripodi 334 5 6 E X H I B I T S Page 7 Exhibit No. 1 - 9 8 Defendants' Second Notice of Deposition to NuVasive, Inc., Pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) 9 Exhibit No. 2 - 9	
4 Exam by Paul Tripodi 334 5 taken before Richard Derrick Ehrlich, Registered 6 Page 7 Exhibit No. 1 - 9 8 Defendants' Second Notice of Deposition to NuVasive, Inc., Pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) 9 Exhibit No. 2 - 9	
5 taken before Richard Derrick Ehrlich, Registered 6 Page 7 Exhibit No. 1 - 9 8 Defendants' Second Notice of Deposition to NuVasive, Inc., Pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) 9 Exhibit No. 2 - 9	
5 taken before Richard Derrick Ehrlich, Registered 6 7 8 Defendants' Second Notice of Deposition to NuVasive, Inc., Pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) 9 Exhibit No. 2 - 9 Exhibit No. 2 - 9	
5 taken before Richard Derrick Ehrlich, Registered 6 7 Exhibit No. 1 - 9 8 Defendants' Second Notice of Deposition to NuVasive, Inc., Pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) 9 Exhibit No. 2 - 9	
8 Defendants' Second Notice of Deposition to NuVasive, Inc., Pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) 9 Exhibit No. 2 - 9	
NuVasive, Inc., Pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) 8 Exhibit No. 2 - 9	
Exhibit No. 2 - 9	
0 Manit Danastan Cartifical Danatina Danastan talan	
To Detendants from the virtual	
Deposition of Ryan Donahoe	
11 Exhibit No. 3 - 26	
12 United States Patent '334 B2	
13 Exhibit No. 4 - 26	
pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, United States Patent '156 B2	
Exhibit No. 5 - 142	
15 April 26, 2003 letter from NuVasive to the FDA	
16 Exhibit No. 6 - 156 Appendix IV, Predicate Device Information	
commencing at 9:00 a.m., on the 6th day of November, 17	
Exhibit No. 7 - 166 18 Section I, Premarket Submission Cover Sheet	
19 Exhibit No. 8 - 168	
510(k) Premarket Notification	
20 20 Exhibit No. 9 - 187	
21 Interoffice Memo, November 10, 2003	
22 Exhibit No. 10 - 191	
23 "Take Your Pick" Radiolucent, Configurable 23 Fusion Confirmation	
24 Exhibit No. 11 - Vertebral Spacer - PR 192	
Page 3	Page 5
1 APPEARANCES 1 EXHIBITS (Continued)	
2 On behalf of the Plaintiff: 2 Exhibit No. 12 - 3 Paul D. Tripodi 2 Exhibit No. 12 - Section VI. Potionals for Substantial	
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI P.C. Section V1, Rationale for Substantial 3, Equivalence 3, Equivalence	
4 633 West Fifth Street Suite 1550 4 Exhibit No. 13 - 231	
5 Los Angeles, CA 90071 CoRoent Radiolucent Systems, Design Rationale	
323.210.2900 5 6 ptripodi@wsgr.com Exhibit No. 14 - 242	
7 Mike Doyle 6 CoRoent XL & XLR	
In-house counsel for NuVasive, Inc. 8 7475 Lusk Boulevard 7 Exhibit No. 15 - 254	
Sail Diego, CA 92121	- 1
9 8 8 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
San Diego, CA 92121 9 On behalf of the Defendants: 10 8 Exhibit No. 16 - 254 9 PEEK Cement Restrictors	
8 On behalf of the Defendants: Exhibit No. 16 - 254 10 Nimalka Wickramasekera Nimalka Wickramasekera 10 Exhibit No. 17 - 260	
San Diego, CA 92121 8 Exhibit No. 16 - 254	
Sair Diego, CA 92121 8 Exhibit No. 16 - 254	
Sair Diego, CA 92121 8	
Sain Diego, CA 92121 8 Exhibit No. 16 - 254	
Sain Diego, CA 92121 8 Exhibit No. 16 - 254	
San Diego, CA 92121 8 Exhibit No. 16 - 254	
Sain Diego, CA 92121 8	
Sain Diego, CA 92121 8	
San Diego, CA 92121 8 Exhibit No. 16 - 254	
Sain Diego, CA 92121 8	
San Diego, CA 92121 8	
Sain Diego, CA 92121 8	
Sali Diego, CA 92121 8	
Sali Diego, CA 92121 8	

2 (Pages 2 - 5)



Case 3:18-cv-00347-CAB-MDD Document 342-12 Filed 10/29/21 PageID.31464 Page 4 of 10 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY

1	Page 6	Page 8 1 MR. TRIPODI: Okay.
1	VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are going	•
2	on the record at 11:05 a.m. Central time on November 6th, 2020.	 VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. If that's everyone, will the court reporter please swear in the
3	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	4 witness?
4	Please note that the microphones are	
5	sensitive and may pick up whispering, private	5 RYAN DONAHOE, DEPONENT, SWORN 6 MS. WICKRAMASEKERA: May I proceed?
6	conversations, and cellular interference. Audio	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
7	and video recording will continue until all	7 VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes, please. 8 EXAMINATION
8	parties agree to go off the record.	9 BY MS. WICKRAMASEKERA:
9	This is Media No. 1 of the video deposition	,
10	of Ryan Donahoe taken by counsel for the	10 Q Good morning, Mr. Donahoe. Could you please 11 state and spell your name for the record?
11	defendant in the matter of NuVasive,	1 2
12	Incorporated., vs. Alphatec Holdings,	12 A Ryan Donahoe, R-Y-A-N, D-O-N-A-H-O-E.
13	Incorporated., and Alphatec Spine,	13 Q And who are you employed by?
14	Incorporated., filed in the United States	14 A NuVasive.
15	District Court for the Southern District of	15 Q And what's your address?
16	California, San Diego Division, Case Number	16 A The work address or home address?17 Q Home address.
17	3:18-CV-00347-CAB-MDD.	
18	This deposition is being held at multiple	18 A Home address, 2815 Santa Fe Vista Court,19 Encinitas, California 92024.
19	locations via videoconference.	·
20	My name is Jonathan Popham from Veritext,	20 Q And what's your current title at NuVasive?
21 22	and I'm the videographer. The court reporter is Richard Ehrlich, also from Veritext. And also	21 A Vice president of research and development for 22 the spine business unit.
23		23 Q Okay. I'm going to mark two exhibits off the
23	joining us today is Bill Craddock as our virtual concierge technician.	24 bat.
24		
1	Page 7 I'm not authorized to administer an oath.	Page 9 Let me know once you see Exhibit 1, which
2	I'm not related to any party in this action, nor	1 Let me know once you see Exhibit 1, which 2 is the Alphatec 30(b)(6) notice to NuVasive, and
3	am I financially interested in the outcome.	3 Exhibit 2, which is your deposition notice.
4	Counsel will now, please, state their	4 Can you introduce Exhibit OA and OD,
5	appearances and affiliations for the record.	5 please?
6	MR. TRIPODI: I'm Paul Tripodi of Wilson	6 CONCIERGE: That's for me, right, Nimalka?
7	Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati on behalf of NuVasive,	7 MS. WICKRAMASEKERA: Yes.
8	Inc., and NuVasive's 30(b)(6) designee, Ryan	8 CONCIERGE: And is it OA1 and OB2 or
9	Donahoe.	9 MS. WICKRAMASEKERA: OA is going to be
10	With me today here in the conference room	10 Exhibit 1, and OD I'm sorry. I said "OC,"
11	is Mike Doyle, in-house counsel at NuVasive.	11 but it was OD should be Exhibit 2.
12	MS. WICKRAMASEKERA: Good morning. This is	12 CONCIERGE: Okay. One moment. Stand by.
13	Nimalka Wickramasekera from Winston & Strawn on	13 MR. TRIPODI: Are these in the witness's
14	behalf of the Alphatec defendants, and with me	14 collection of documents that were that were
15	is my colleague Billy Wardlaw.	15 delivered to us?
16	VIDEOGRAPHER: Is there anyone else joining	16 MS. WICKRAMASEKERA: OA should be yes.
17	us?	17 They should both be in the in the exhibit
18	MS. WICKRAMASEKERA: Yes. Craig Hunsaker	18 box.
19	from Alphatec is our corporate representative.	19 MR. TRIPODI: So, Mr. Donahoe, if you would
20	MR. TRIPODI: Pardon my pardon me,	20 go ahead and retrieve those from the collection
21	Nimalka. I'm not sure that I recognize the name	21 that you have. They should be A and B should
22	"Billy Wardlaw." Is that someone on your	be they should be the first two in the box, I
23	Winston team?	23 believe.
24	MS. WICKRAMASEKERA: Yes.	24 THE DEPONENT: All right. I have A and B.

3 (Pages 6 - 9)



HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY

THORIET COLUMNIE	
Page 322	
1 outside of the inserter are trials. The trials	1 BY MS. WICKRAMASEKERA:
2 are specific to the shape of CoRoent. And so	2 Q What are you referring to as the insertion
3 what I was saying is unless Alphatec copied the	3 receptacle of the implant?
4 shape of CoRoent, then their implants could be	4 A So the insertion receptacle is a threaded hole
5 used with our trials. However, it would be far	5 with what we call a racetrack feature inside the
6 less safe and effective because the trial	6 lateral aspect of the implant. It's how you
7 wouldn't match the shape and size of the	7 connect the implant to the inserter.
8 implant.	8 Q Okay. So is the MaXcess retractor designed
9 BY MS. WICKRAMASEKERA:	9 specifically for use with the CoRoent implant?
10 Q Okay. So setting aside the trials and the	10 MR. TRIPODI: Objection. Form.
implant inserter, what are the other instruments	11 THE DEPONENT: Yes.
that are designed for use with the CoRoent?	12 BY MS. WICKRAMASEKERA:
13 A There's an implant removal instrument that's	13 Q Okay. Can you use a MaXcess retractor to insert
specifically designed for use with CoRoent.	other implants, other competitor implants?
15 There are discectomy instruments that are	15 MR. TRIPODI: Objection. Form.
specifically designed for the use with CoRoent.	16 THE DEPONENT: Again, if those implants
17 Here's the MaXcess retractor that's specifically	have copied the shape and size of CoRoent, then
18 designed for use with CoRoent. Those are kind	yes, you can use them with the MaXcess
19 of the main categories of instruments.	19 retractor.
20 Q Okay. Can the MaXcess retractors, the implant	20 BY MS. WICKRAMASEKERA:
21 removal instrument I missed what the third	21 Q Do you recall, Mr. Donahoe, that you were
22 instrument you said was. I can see in the	22 previously deposed in a lawsuit between NuVasive
23 transcript can you just	23 and Medtronic?
24 A They're disc removal instruments.	24 A Yes.
24 A They ie disc removal instruments.	24 11 103.
Page 323	Page 325
Page 323	Page 325
Page 323 1 Q Okay. So can the implants, the disc removal	Page 325 1 Q Do you recall that that lawsuit involved
Page 323 1 Q Okay. So can the implants, the disc removal 2 instruments, and the MaXcess retractors be used 3 with competitor implants? 4 A In theory, they could be used, but as I	Page 325 1 Q Do you recall that that lawsuit involved 2 infringement of the Medtronic patents related to 3 a retractor? 4 A It's quite some time ago. I do recall that it
Page 323 1 Q Okay. So can the implants, the disc removal 2 instruments, and the MaXcess retractors be used 3 with competitor implants?	Page 325 1 Q Do you recall that that lawsuit involved 2 infringement of the Medtronic patents related to 3 a retractor?
Page 323 1 Q Okay. So can the implants, the disc removal 2 instruments, and the MaXcess retractors be used 3 with competitor implants? 4 A In theory, they could be used, but as I 5 mentioned, would likely generate a less safe and 6 effective result.	Page 325 1 Q Do you recall that that lawsuit involved 2 infringement of the Medtronic patents related to 3 a retractor? 4 A It's quite some time ago. I do recall that it
Page 323 1 Q Okay. So can the implants, the disc removal 2 instruments, and the MaXcess retractors be used 3 with competitor implants? 4 A In theory, they could be used, but as I 5 mentioned, would likely generate a less safe and 6 effective result. 7 Q And is the same true with respect to Alphatec's	Page 325 1 Q Do you recall that that lawsuit involved 2 infringement of the Medtronic patents related to 3 a retractor? 4 A It's quite some time ago. I do recall that it 5 was related to retractors and or retractors 6 and implants, yes. 7 Q And do you recall that your testimony was played
Page 323 1 Q Okay. So can the implants, the disc removal 2 instruments, and the MaXcess retractors be used 3 with competitor implants? 4 A In theory, they could be used, but as I 5 mentioned, would likely generate a less safe and 6 effective result. 7 Q And is the same true with respect to Alphatec's 8 implants? Can the MaXcess retractors and the	Page 325 1 Q Do you recall that that lawsuit involved 2 infringement of the Medtronic patents related to 3 a retractor? 4 A It's quite some time ago. I do recall that it 5 was related to retractors and or retractors 6 and implants, yes.
Page 323 1 Q Okay. So can the implants, the disc removal 2 instruments, and the MaXcess retractors be used 3 with competitor implants? 4 A In theory, they could be used, but as I 5 mentioned, would likely generate a less safe and 6 effective result. 7 Q And is the same true with respect to Alphatec's 8 implants? Can the MaXcess retractors and the 9 disc removal instruments be used with the	Page 325 1 Q Do you recall that that lawsuit involved 2 infringement of the Medtronic patents related to 3 a retractor? 4 A It's quite some time ago. I do recall that it 5 was related to retractors and or retractors 6 and implants, yes. 7 Q And do you recall that your testimony was played 8 before a jury in the trial between Medtronic and 9 NuVasive?
Page 323 1 Q Okay. So can the implants, the disc removal 2 instruments, and the MaXcess retractors be used 3 with competitor implants? 4 A In theory, they could be used, but as I 5 mentioned, would likely generate a less safe and 6 effective result. 7 Q And is the same true with respect to Alphatec's 8 implants? Can the MaXcess retractors and the 9 disc removal instruments be used with the 10 Alphatec implants?	Page 325 1 Q Do you recall that that lawsuit involved 2 infringement of the Medtronic patents related to 3 a retractor? 4 A It's quite some time ago. I do recall that it 5 was related to retractors and or retractors 6 and implants, yes. 7 Q And do you recall that your testimony was played 8 before a jury in the trial between Medtronic and 9 NuVasive? 10 A I don't recall. I wasn't in the trial room to
Page 323 1 Q Okay. So can the implants, the disc removal 2 instruments, and the MaXcess retractors be used 3 with competitor implants? 4 A In theory, they could be used, but as I 5 mentioned, would likely generate a less safe and 6 effective result. 7 Q And is the same true with respect to Alphatec's 8 implants? Can the MaXcess retractors and the 9 disc removal instruments be used with the 10 Alphatec implants? 11 MR. TRIPODI: Objection. Form.	Page 325 1 Q Do you recall that that lawsuit involved 2 infringement of the Medtronic patents related to 3 a retractor? 4 A It's quite some time ago. I do recall that it 5 was related to retractors and or retractors 6 and implants, yes. 7 Q And do you recall that your testimony was played 8 before a jury in the trial between Medtronic and 9 NuVasive? 10 A I don't recall. I wasn't in the trial room to 11 know what they played or didn't play.
Page 323 1 Q Okay. So can the implants, the disc removal 2 instruments, and the MaXcess retractors be used 3 with competitor implants? 4 A In theory, they could be used, but as I 5 mentioned, would likely generate a less safe and 6 effective result. 7 Q And is the same true with respect to Alphatec's 8 implants? Can the MaXcess retractors and the 9 disc removal instruments be used with the 10 Alphatec implants? 11 MR. TRIPODI: Objection. Form. 12 Foundation. Speculation.	Page 325 1 Q Do you recall that that lawsuit involved 2 infringement of the Medtronic patents related to 3 a retractor? 4 A It's quite some time ago. I do recall that it 5 was related to retractors and or retractors 6 and implants, yes. 7 Q And do you recall that your testimony was played 8 before a jury in the trial between Medtronic and 9 NuVasive? 10 A I don't recall. I wasn't in the trial room to 11 know what they played or didn't play. 12 Q Do you recall that NuVasive was found to
Page 323 1 Q Okay. So can the implants, the disc removal 2 instruments, and the MaXcess retractors be used 3 with competitor implants? 4 A In theory, they could be used, but as I 5 mentioned, would likely generate a less safe and 6 effective result. 7 Q And is the same true with respect to Alphatec's 8 implants? Can the MaXcess retractors and the 9 disc removal instruments be used with the 10 Alphatec implants? 11 MR. TRIPODI: Objection. Form. 12 Foundation. Speculation. 13 THE DEPONENT: I'd have to look	Page 325 1 Q Do you recall that that lawsuit involved 2 infringement of the Medtronic patents related to 3 a retractor? 4 A It's quite some time ago. I do recall that it 5 was related to retractors and or retractors 6 and implants, yes. 7 Q And do you recall that your testimony was played 8 before a jury in the trial between Medtronic and 9 NuVasive? 10 A I don't recall. I wasn't in the trial room to 11 know what they played or didn't play. 12 Q Do you recall that NuVasive was found to 13 infringe a Medtronic patent regarding the design
Page 323 1 Q Okay. So can the implants, the disc removal 2 instruments, and the MaXcess retractors be used 3 with competitor implants? 4 A In theory, they could be used, but as I 5 mentioned, would likely generate a less safe and 6 effective result. 7 Q And is the same true with respect to Alphatec's 8 implants? Can the MaXcess retractors and the 9 disc removal instruments be used with the 10 Alphatec implants? 11 MR. TRIPODI: Objection. Form. 12 Foundation. Speculation. 13 THE DEPONENT: I'd have to look 14 specifically. When I mentioned other	Page 325 1 Q Do you recall that that lawsuit involved 2 infringement of the Medtronic patents related to 3 a retractor? 4 A It's quite some time ago. I do recall that it 5 was related to retractors and or retractors 6 and implants, yes. 7 Q And do you recall that your testimony was played 8 before a jury in the trial between Medtronic and 9 NuVasive? 10 A I don't recall. I wasn't in the trial room to 11 know what they played or didn't play. 12 Q Do you recall that NuVasive was found to 13 infringe a Medtronic patent regarding the design 14 of the MaXcess retractor?
Page 323 1 Q Okay. So can the implants, the disc removal 2 instruments, and the MaXcess retractors be used 3 with competitor implants? 4 A In theory, they could be used, but as I 5 mentioned, would likely generate a less safe and 6 effective result. 7 Q And is the same true with respect to Alphatec's 8 implants? Can the MaXcess retractors and the 9 disc removal instruments be used with the 10 Alphatec implants? 11 MR. TRIPODI: Objection. Form. 12 Foundation. Speculation. 13 THE DEPONENT: I'd have to look 14 specifically. When I mentioned other 15 manufacturers' implants could be used you	Page 325 1 Q Do you recall that that lawsuit involved 2 infringement of the Medtronic patents related to 3 a retractor? 4 A It's quite some time ago. I do recall that it 5 was related to retractors and or retractors 6 and implants, yes. 7 Q And do you recall that your testimony was played 8 before a jury in the trial between Medtronic and 9 NuVasive? 10 A I don't recall. I wasn't in the trial room to 11 know what they played or didn't play. 12 Q Do you recall that NuVasive was found to 13 infringe a Medtronic patent regarding the design 14 of the MaXcess retractor? 15 MR. TRIPODI: Objection. Form. Outside
Page 323 1 Q Okay. So can the implants, the disc removal instruments, and the MaXcess retractors be used with competitor implants? 4 A In theory, they could be used, but as I mentioned, would likely generate a less safe and effective result. 7 Q And is the same true with respect to Alphatec's implants? Can the MaXcess retractors and the disc removal instruments be used with the Alphatec implants? MR. TRIPODI: Objection. Form. MR. TRIPODI: Objection. Form. THE DEPONENT: I'd have to look specifically. When I mentioned other manufacturers' implants could be used you know, again, as I mentioned, if they copied the	Page 325 1 Q Do you recall that that lawsuit involved 2 infringement of the Medtronic patents related to 3 a retractor? 4 A It's quite some time ago. I do recall that it 5 was related to retractors and or retractors 6 and implants, yes. 7 Q And do you recall that your testimony was played 8 before a jury in the trial between Medtronic and 9 NuVasive? 10 A I don't recall. I wasn't in the trial room to 11 know what they played or didn't play. 12 Q Do you recall that NuVasive was found to 13 infringe a Medtronic patent regarding the design 14 of the MaXcess retractor? 15 MR. TRIPODI: Objection. Form. Outside 16 the notice.
Page 323 1 Q Okay. So can the implants, the disc removal instruments, and the MaXcess retractors be used with competitor implants? 4 A In theory, they could be used, but as I mentioned, would likely generate a less safe and effective result. 7 Q And is the same true with respect to Alphatec's implants? Can the MaXcess retractors and the disc removal instruments be used with the Alphatec implants? MR. TRIPODI: Objection. Form. Foundation. Speculation. THE DEPONENT: I'd have to look specifically. When I mentioned other manufacturers' implants could be used you know, again, as I mentioned, if they copied the shapes and size of our implants, then they could	Page 325 1 Q Do you recall that that lawsuit involved 2 infringement of the Medtronic patents related to 3 a retractor? 4 A It's quite some time ago. I do recall that it 5 was related to retractors and or retractors 6 and implants, yes. 7 Q And do you recall that your testimony was played 8 before a jury in the trial between Medtronic and 9 NuVasive? 10 A I don't recall. I wasn't in the trial room to 11 know what they played or didn't play. 12 Q Do you recall that NuVasive was found to 13 infringe a Medtronic patent regarding the design 14 of the MaXcess retractor? 15 MR. TRIPODI: Objection. Form. Outside 16 the notice. 17 THE DEPONENT: I don't recall the exact
Page 323 1 Q Okay. So can the implants, the disc removal 2 instruments, and the MaXcess retractors be used 3 with competitor implants? 4 A In theory, they could be used, but as I 5 mentioned, would likely generate a less safe and 6 effective result. 7 Q And is the same true with respect to Alphatec's 8 implants? Can the MaXcess retractors and the 9 disc removal instruments be used with the 10 Alphatec implants? 11 MR. TRIPODI: Objection. Form. 12 Foundation. Speculation. 13 THE DEPONENT: I'd have to look 14 specifically. When I mentioned other 15 manufacturers' implants could be used you 16 know, again, as I mentioned, if they copied the 17 shapes and size of our implants, then they could 18 be used but generate a less safe and effective	Page 325 1 Q Do you recall that that lawsuit involved 2 infringement of the Medtronic patents related to 3 a retractor? 4 A It's quite some time ago. I do recall that it 5 was related to retractors and or retractors 6 and implants, yes. 7 Q And do you recall that your testimony was played 8 before a jury in the trial between Medtronic and 9 NuVasive? 10 A I don't recall. I wasn't in the trial room to 11 know what they played or didn't play. 12 Q Do you recall that NuVasive was found to 13 infringe a Medtronic patent regarding the design 14 of the MaXcess retractor? 15 MR. TRIPODI: Objection. Form. Outside 16 the notice. 17 THE DEPONENT: I don't recall the exact 18 conclusion of the trial.
Page 323 1 Q Okay. So can the implants, the disc removal instruments, and the MaXcess retractors be used with competitor implants? 4 A In theory, they could be used, but as I mentioned, would likely generate a less safe and effective result. 7 Q And is the same true with respect to Alphatec's implants? Can the MaXcess retractors and the disc removal instruments be used with the Alphatec implants? MR. TRIPODI: Objection. Form. Foundation. Speculation. THE DEPONENT: I'd have to look specifically. When I mentioned other manufacturers' implants could be used you know, again, as I mentioned, if they copied the shapes and size of our implants, then they could be used but generate a less safe and effective result.	Page 325 1 Q Do you recall that that lawsuit involved 2 infringement of the Medtronic patents related to 3 a retractor? 4 A It's quite some time ago. I do recall that it 5 was related to retractors and or retractors 6 and implants, yes. 7 Q And do you recall that your testimony was played 8 before a jury in the trial between Medtronic and 9 NuVasive? 10 A I don't recall. I wasn't in the trial room to 11 know what they played or didn't play. 12 Q Do you recall that NuVasive was found to 13 infringe a Medtronic patent regarding the design 14 of the MaXcess retractor? 15 MR. TRIPODI: Objection. Form. Outside 16 the notice. 17 THE DEPONENT: I don't recall the exact 18 conclusion of the trial. 19 BY MS. WICKRAMASEKERA:
Page 323 1 Q Okay. So can the implants, the disc removal instruments, and the MaXcess retractors be used with competitor implants? 4 A In theory, they could be used, but as I mentioned, would likely generate a less safe and effective result. 7 Q And is the same true with respect to Alphatec's implants? Can the MaXcess retractors and the disc removal instruments be used with the Alphatec implants? MR. TRIPODI: Objection. Form. Foundation. Speculation. THE DEPONENT: I'd have to look specifically. When I mentioned other manufacturers' implants could be used you know, again, as I mentioned, if they copied the shapes and size of our implants, then they could be used but generate a less safe and effective result. So the same applies to Alphatec. If they	Page 325 1 Q Do you recall that that lawsuit involved 2 infringement of the Medtronic patents related to 3 a retractor? 4 A It's quite some time ago. I do recall that it 5 was related to retractors and or retractors 6 and implants, yes. 7 Q And do you recall that your testimony was played 8 before a jury in the trial between Medtronic and 9 NuVasive? 10 A I don't recall. I wasn't in the trial room to 11 know what they played or didn't play. 12 Q Do you recall that NuVasive was found to 13 infringe a Medtronic patent regarding the design 14 of the MaXcess retractor? 15 MR. TRIPODI: Objection. Form. Outside 16 the notice. 17 THE DEPONENT: I don't recall the exact 18 conclusion of the trial. 19 BY MS. WICKRAMASEKERA: 20 Q Okay. You don't know what the design of the
Page 323 1 Q Okay. So can the implants, the disc removal instruments, and the MaXcess retractors be used with competitor implants? 4 A In theory, they could be used, but as I mentioned, would likely generate a less safe and effective result. 7 Q And is the same true with respect to Alphatec's implants? Can the MaXcess retractors and the disc removal instruments be used with the Alphatec implants? MR. TRIPODI: Objection. Form. Foundation. Speculation. THE DEPONENT: I'd have to look specifically. When I mentioned other manufacturers' implants could be used you know, again, as I mentioned, if they copied the shapes and size of our implants, then they could be used but generate a less safe and effective result. So the same applies to Alphatec. If they had copied the shapes and the features and the	Page 325 1 Q Do you recall that that lawsuit involved 2 infringement of the Medtronic patents related to 3 a retractor? 4 A It's quite some time ago. I do recall that it 5 was related to retractors and or retractors 6 and implants, yes. 7 Q And do you recall that your testimony was played 8 before a jury in the trial between Medtronic and 9 NuVasive? 10 A I don't recall. I wasn't in the trial room to 11 know what they played or didn't play. 12 Q Do you recall that NuVasive was found to 13 infringe a Medtronic patent regarding the design 14 of the MaXcess retractor? 15 MR. TRIPODI: Objection. Form. Outside 16 the notice. 17 THE DEPONENT: I don't recall the exact 18 conclusion of the trial. 19 BY MS. WICKRAMASEKERA: 20 Q Okay. You don't know what the design of the 21 MaXcess retractor was based on, do you?
Page 323 1 Q Okay. So can the implants, the disc removal instruments, and the MaXcess retractors be used with competitor implants? 4 A In theory, they could be used, but as I mentioned, would likely generate a less safe and effective result. 7 Q And is the same true with respect to Alphatec's implants? Can the MaXcess retractors and the disc removal instruments be used with the Alphatec implants? MR. TRIPODI: Objection. Form. Foundation. Speculation. THE DEPONENT: I'd have to look specifically. When I mentioned other manufacturers' implants could be used you know, again, as I mentioned, if they copied the shapes and size of our implants, then they could be used but generate a less safe and effective result. So the same applies to Alphatec. If they	Page 325 1 Q Do you recall that that lawsuit involved 2 infringement of the Medtronic patents related to 3 a retractor? 4 A It's quite some time ago. I do recall that it 5 was related to retractors and or retractors 6 and implants, yes. 7 Q And do you recall that your testimony was played 8 before a jury in the trial between Medtronic and 9 NuVasive? 10 A I don't recall. I wasn't in the trial room to 11 know what they played or didn't play. 12 Q Do you recall that NuVasive was found to 13 infringe a Medtronic patent regarding the design 14 of the MaXcess retractor? 15 MR. TRIPODI: Objection. Form. Outside 16 the notice. 17 THE DEPONENT: I don't recall the exact 18 conclusion of the trial. 19 BY MS. WICKRAMASEKERA: 20 Q Okay. You don't know what the design of the

82 (Pages 322 - 325)

THE DEPONENT: So as I mentioned, the



24

with the NuVasive system.

24

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

