EXHIBIT 9 TO TRENT TANNER DECLARATION ISO NUVASIVE'S COMBINED MOTIONS IN LIMINE



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO DIVISION

N	П	Л	I.	A	SI	V	E.	\mathbf{I}	VC.	_

Plaintiff,

v.

CASE NO. 18-cv-00347-CAB-MDD

ALPHATEC HOLDINGS, INC. and ALPHATEC SPINE, INC.,

Defendants.

REBUTTAL EXPERT REPORT OF KEITH R. UGONE, PH.D.

December 4, 2019



REBUTTAL EXPERT REPORT OF KEITH R. UGONE, PH.D.

December 4, 2019

I.	O	VERVIEW OF ASSIGNMENT]				
II.	SUMMARY OF OPINIONS						
	A.	Evaluation Of NuVasive's Claimed Lost Profits Damages As Presented In The Inglish Damages Report					
	В.	Reasonable Royalty For A License To The Patents-In-Suit	7				
	C.	Monetary Damages Are Adequate To Compensate NuVasive For Alphatec' Alleged Infringement					
III.	QI	UALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE	9				
IV.	FACTS, DATA, AND INFORMATION RECEIVED						
V.	OVERVIEW OF PARTIES						
		NuVasive					
	B.	Alphatec	13				
VI.		VERVIEW OF PATENTS-IN-SUIT					
VII.		ARKET AND PRODUCT OVERVIEW					
	A.	Overview Of Spinal Fusion Surgery And Market For Lateral Lumbar Interbody Fusion Products					
		1. Spinal Fusion Surgery	16				
		2. Market For Lateral Lumbar Interbody Fusion Products	20				
	В.	NuVasive's MAS Platform And Embodying Products	22				
		1. Description Of NuVasive's MAS Platform And XLIF Offerings	22				
		2. NuVasive's Sales Associated With Its MAS Platform/XLIF Procedure	24				
	C.	Alphatec's Battalion Lateral System And Accused Products	25				
		1. Description Of Alphatec's Battalion Lateral System And Accused Products	25				
		2. Alphatec's Sales Associated With Its At-Issue Battalion Lateral System	29				
VIII.	SUMMARY OF NUVASIVE'S CLAIMED DAMAGES AS PRESENTED BY MR INGLISH						
	A.	Mr. Inglish's Claimed Lost Profits Opinion	33				
		1. Mr. Inglish's Claimed Lost Profits On Lost Implant Units	33				
		2. Mr. Inglish's Claimed Reasonable Royalty On Remaining Implant Units	39				
		3. Mr. Inglish's Total Claimed Lost Profits	40				
	В.	Mr. Inglish's Claimed Reasonable Royalty Opinion	41				
		1. Hypothetical Negotiation Dates	41				



		2.	Claimed Royalty Rate	. 41				
		3.	Claimed Royalty Base	. 42				
		4.	Claimed Royalty Damages	. 43				
IX.	EVALUATION OF NUVASIVE'S CLAIMED LOST PROFITS DAMAGES AS PRESENTED IN THE INGLISH DAMAGES REPORT							
	A.	Al	phatec Had Acceptable Non-Infringing Alternatives	. 44				
	В.		phatec's Sales Of The Battalion Lateral System Are Attributable To Factors are lated To The Patents-In-Suit					
		1.	Safety And Reproducibility Are Not Unique To The Patented Technology	. 48				
		2.	Experienced Sales Representatives And Strong Relationships With Surgeons Are Key Drivers Of Demand For Lateral Spine Surgery Products/Platforms					
		3.	Non-Accused Lateral Spine Surgery Products Have Been Commercially Successful Without Using The Asserted Claims Of The Patents-In-Suit					
	C.		ck Of Evidence That NuVasive Would Have Made Alphatec's At-Issue Sales osent The Alleged Infringement					
		1.	Mr. Inglish Misrepresents The Degree Of Overlap Between Alphatec's And NuVasive's Customer Bases					
		2.	Mr. Inglish Inappropriately Assumes NuVasive Would Have Made All Ot Alphatec's Alleged "Diverted Sales" (In One Scenario)					
		3.	NuVasive Does Not Identify Alphatec As A "Significant Competitor"	. 66				
		4.	Alphatec's At-Issue Battalion Lateral System Is Differentiated From NuVasive's MAS Platform/XLIF Offerings					
	D.	Ex	cluding Implants From NuVasive's Claimed Lost Profits	. 69				
	E.	Co	onclusion Relating To NuVasive's Lost Profits Claim	. 74				
X.	RI	EAS	SONABLE ROYALTY FOR A LICENSE TO THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT	. 76				
	A.	Pa	tent Infringement Royalty Damages	. 77				
		1.	Hypothetical Negotiation Framework And The Georgia-Pacific Factors	. 78				
		2.	Hypothetical Negotiation Date And Parties	. 80				
		3.	Hypothetical Negotiation Predicates	. 81				
	B.	Nι	aVasive's Negotiating Position	. 82				
		1.	Claimed Benefits Of The Patents-In-Suit	. 82				
		2.	The Significant Investment To Develop The Patented Products And MAS Platform / XLIF Procedure					
		3.	NuVasive Would Acknowledge That The Sales Of Its Embodying Products Is Attributable To Factors Unrelated To The Patents-In-Suit					



	4. NuVasive Would Assert That Alphatec Would Be A Competitor With Re To NuVasive's MAS Platform/XLIF Offerings	-
	C. Alphatec's Negotiating Position	94
	Alphatec Would Have Had Acceptable Non-Infringing Alternatives To Patents-In-Suit	
	2. Sales Of Alphatec's At-Issue Battalion Lateral System Are Not Attributab The Patents-In-Suit	
	3. Alphatec Was Under Significant Financial Stress At The Time Of Hypothetical Negotiation	
	4. Alphatec Would Stress That There Are Numerous Competitors In The L Surgery Market	
	D. Value Indicators For A License To The Patents-In-Suit	99
	1. NuVasive's License Agreements	99
	2. Alphatec's Agreements	102
	E. Outcome Of The Hypothetical Negotiation	110
XI.	MONETARY DAMAGES ARE ADEQUATE TO COMPENSATE NUVASI	VE

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

