

1 **WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI P.C.**
 2 PAUL D. TRIPODI II (SBN 162380)
 3 ptripodi@wsgr.com
 4 WENDY L. DEVINE (SBN 246337)
 5 wdevine@wsgr.com
 6 NATALIE J. MORGAN (SBN 211143)
 7 nmorgan@wsgr.com
 8 633 West Fifth Street, Suite 1550
 9 Los Angeles, CA 90071
 10 Telephone: 323-210-2900
 11 Fax: 866-974-7329

7 **Hilgers Graben PLLC**
 8 MICHAEL T. HILGERS (*Pro Hac Vice*)
 9 mhilgers@hilgersgraben.com
 10 575 Fallbrook Blvd, Suite 202
 11 Lincoln, NE 68521
 12 Telephone: 402-218-2106
 13 Fax: 402-413-1880

11 *Attorneys for Plaintiff NuVasive, Inc.*

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 13 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 14 SAN DIEGO DIVISION

<p>15 NUVASIVE, INC., a Delaware 16 corporation, 17 Plaintiff, 18 v. 19 ALPHATEC HOLDINGS, INC., a 20 Delaware corporation, and ALPHATEC 21 SPINE, INC., a California corporation, 22 Defendants.</p>	<p>) Case No. 18-cv-00347-CAB-MDD)) NUVASIVE, INC.’S REPLY IN) SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR) PARTIAL SUMMARY) JUDGMENT AND MOTION TO) EXCLUDE EXPERT OPINIONS) (IMPLANT PATENTS))) PER CHAMBERS RULES, NO) ORAL ARGUMENT UNLESS) SEPARATELY ORDERED BY THE) COURT)) Judge: Hon. Cathy Ann Bencivengo) Magistrate Judge: Mitchell D. Dembin</p>
--	---

23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE(S)

I. ALPHATEC’S DECLARATIONS ARE OBJECTIONABLE 1

II. ALPHATEC FAILS TO MEET ITS BURDEN ON INDEFINITENESS 1

III. THE PRIORITY DATE IS MARCH 29, 2004..... 2

 A. The Curran Declaration Proves NuVasive was in Possession of the Claimed Implant as of the Provisional Filing Date..... 2

 B. Alphatec Fails to Raise Any Genuine Dispute that the Provisional Discloses an Implant with the Claimed Dimensions..... 3

 C. Alphatec Fails to Raise Any Genuine Dispute that the Provisional Discloses an Implant with Radiopaque Markers 4

 D. Alphatec’s Extrinsic Evidence Does Not Create A Factual Dispute 6

 1. NuVasive communications with FDA..... 6

 2. The Alphatec IPRs 6

IV. THERE WAS NO INVALIDATING EVENT PRIOR TO THE CRITICAL DATE 7

V. NO ISSUES OF MATERIAL FACT REGARDING INFRINGEMENT 7

 A. The Accused Implants Have Anti-Migration Elements That Contact the Interbody Space 8

 B. The Accused Implants Have a “proximal wall” 9

 C. The Battalion Implant Has a “medial support” 10

 D. The Accused Implants Have Radiopaque Markers “partially positioned in said proximal wall” 11

 E. The Accused Implants Infringe the Dimension Claim Limitations.... 11

 F. Alphatec Manufactured the Titec Coated Implants 12

VI. THE COURT SHOULD GRANT THE MOTION TO EXCLUDE 13

 A. Dr. Sachs’ Speculation About Sales 13

 B. Untimely Alternative Implant Designs 13

 C. Untimely Alternative Two-Bladed Retractor Designs..... 14

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(CONTINUED)

	PAGE(S)
D. Dr. Ugone’s Opinions That Are Contrary to Law	15
VII. CONCLUSION	15

1	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	
2		PAGE(S)
3	CASES	
4	<i>AMEX, LLC v. Mopex, Inc.</i> ,	
5	215 F.R.D. 87 (S.D.N.Y. 2002).....	14
6	<i>Arlington Indus., Inc. v. Bridgeport Fittings, Inc.</i> ,	
7	632 F.3d 1246 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	11
8	<i>Ball Aerosol & Specialty Container, Inc. v. Ltd. Brands, Inc.</i> ,	
9	555 F.3d 984 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	9
10	<i>DePuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc.</i> ,	
11	469 F.3d 1005 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	9
12	<i>Digit.-Vending Servs. Int'l, LLC v. Univ. of Phx., Inc.</i> ,	
13	672 F.3d 1270 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	11
14	<i>INVISTA N. Am. S.à.r.l. v. M&G USA Corp.</i> , No. 11-1007-SLR-CJB,	
15	2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 88633 (D. Del. June 25, 2013).....	14
16	<i>Kennedy v. Allied Mutual Insurance Co.</i> ,	
17	952 F.2d 262 (9th Cir. 1991).....	8
18	<i>Koito Mfg. Co. v. Turn-Key-Tech, LLC</i> ,	
19	381 F.3d 1142 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	2
20	<i>Lucent Techs. Inc. v. Gateway, Inc.</i> ,	
21	509 F. Supp. 2d 912 (S.D. Cal. 2007) (Fed. Cir. 2008), <i>aff'd</i> , 543	
22	F.3d 710.....	9
23	<i>Lucent Techs., Inc. v. Gateway, Inc.</i> ,	
24	580 F.3d 1301 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	15
25	<i>Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.</i> ,	
26	572 U.S. 898 (2014).....	1, 2
27	<i>New Railhead Mfg., LLC v. Vermeer Mfg. Co.</i> ,	
28	298 F.3d 1290 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	6
	<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> ,	
	415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	10
	<i>Tinnus Enters., LLC v. Telebrands Corp.</i> , No. 6:16-CV-33-RWS-JDL,	
	2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 189645 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 29, 2016), <i>aff'd</i> ,	
	708 F. App'x 1019 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	5
	<i>Typhoon Touch Techs., Inc. v. Dell, Inc.</i> ,	
	659 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	9
	<i>Zenith Labs., Inc. v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co.</i> ,	
	19 F.3d 1418 (Fed. Cir. 1994).....	9

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(CONTINUED)**

PAGE(S)

STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 271(a) 12

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.