

# EXHIBIT 3

## PART 1 OF 2

TO THE DECLARATION OF BRIAN J.  
NISBET IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS'  
OPPOSITION TO NUVASIVE'S MOTION  
FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
AND MOTION TO EXCLUDE

ER453203757US

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service (Express Mail No ER453203757US) under 37 CFR 1.10 on March 29, 2005 and is directed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Jonathan D. Spangler

**NON-PROVISIONAL APPLICATION  
FOR UNITED STATES LETTERS PATENT**

5

**SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR SPINAL FUSION**

By Inventors:

10

MATTHEW CURRAN, a citizen of the United States

Residing in San Diego, California

MARK PETERSON, a citizen of the United States

Residing in Medford, Oregon

15

Filed:

20

March 29, 2005

## **SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR SPINAL FUSION**

### **CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION**

This application claims the benefit of the filing date under 35 USC 119(e) of United States Provisional Application entitled “Systems and Methods for Spinal Fusion,” serial No. 60/557,536 filed March 29, 2004, the entire contents of which are incorporated herein by reference.

### **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

#### **I. Field of the Invention**

The present invention relates generally to spinal surgery and, more particularly, to a system and method for spinal fusion comprising a spinal fusion implant of non-bone construction releasably coupled to an insertion instrument dimensioned to introduce the spinal fusion implant into any of a variety of spinal target sites.

15

#### **II. Discussion of the Prior Art**

Currently there are nearly 500,000 spine lumbar and cervical fusion procedures performed each year in the United States. Such procedures are commonly performed to correct problems, such as chronic back or neck pain, which result from degenerated intervertebral discs or trauma. Generally, spinal fusion procedures involve removing some or all of the diseased or damaged disc, and inserting one or more intervertebral implants into the resulting disc space. Introducing the intervertebral implant serves to restore the height between adjacent vertebrae

(“disc height”), which reduces if not eliminates neural impingement commonly associated with a damaged or diseased disc.

Autologous bone grafts are widely used intervertebral implant for lumbar fusion.

5 Autologous bone grafts are obtained by harvesting a section of bone from the iliac crest of the patient and thereafter implanting the article of autologous bone graft to effect fusion. While generally effective, the use of autologous bone grafts suffers certain drawbacks. A primary drawback is the morbidity associated with harvesting the autologous graft from the patient’s iliac crest. Another related drawback is the added surgical time required to perform the bone-  
10 harvesting.

Allograft bone grafts have been employed with increased regularity in an effort to overcome the drawbacks of autologous bone grafts. Allograft bone grafts are harvested from cadaveric specimens, machined, and sterilized for implantation. While allograft bone grafts  
15 eliminate the morbidity associated with iliac crest bone harvesting, as well as decrease the overall surgical time, they still suffer certain drawbacks. A primary drawback is supply constraint, in that the tissue banks that process and produce allograft bone implants find it difficult to forecast allograft given the inherent challenges in forecasting the receipt of cadavers. Another related drawback is that it is difficult to manufacture the allograft with consistent shape and strength  
20 characteristics given the variation from cadaver to cadaver.

The present invention is directed at overcoming, or at least improving upon, the disadvantages of the prior art.

### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention overcomes the drawbacks of the prior art by providing a spinal  
5 fusion system and related methods involving the use of a spinal fusion implant of non-bone  
construction. The non-bone construction of the spinal fusion implant of the present invention  
overcomes the drawbacks of the prior art in that it is not supply limited (as with allograft) and  
does not require harvesting bone from the patient (as with autograft). The spinal fusion implant  
of the present invention may be comprised of any suitable non-bone composition, including but  
10 not limited to polymer compositions (e.g. poly-ether-ether-ketone (PEEK) and/or poly-ether-  
ketone-ketone (PEKK)), ceramic, metal or any combination of these materials.

The spinal fusion implant of the present invention may be provided in any number of  
suitable shapes and sizes depending upon the particular surgical procedure or need. The spinal  
15 fusion implant of the present invention may be dimensioned for use in the cervical and/or lumbar  
spine without departing from the scope of the present invention. For lumbar fusion, the spinal  
fusion implant of the present invention may be dimensioned, by way of example only, having a  
width ranging between 9 and 18 mm, a height ranging between 8 and 16 mm, and a length  
ranging between 25 and 45 mm. For cervical fusion, the spinal fusion implant of the present  
20 invention may be dimensioned, by way of example only, having a width about 11 mm, a height  
ranging between 5 and 12 mm, and a length about 14 mm.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.