Case 3:	18-cv-00347-CAB-MDD Docu	ment 266	Filed 02/19/2	D PageID.26200	Page 1 of 23
1 2 3 4 5 6	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI P.C. PAUL D. TRIPODI II (SBN 162380) ptripodi@wsgr.com WENDY L. DEVINE (SBN 246337) wdevine@wsgr.com NATALIE J. MORGAN (SBN 211143) nmorgan@wsgr.com 633 West Fifth Street, Suite 1550 Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: 323-210-2900 Fax: 866-974-7329				
7 8 9 10	Hilgers Graben PLLC MICHAEL T. HILGERS ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) mhilgers@hilgersgraben.com 575 Fallbrook Blvd, Suite 202 Lincoln, NE 68521 Telephone: 402-218-2106 Fax: 402-413-1880				
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff NuVasive, Inc.				
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
13	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
14	SAN DIEGO DIVISION				
15	NUVASIVE, INC., a Delaw corporation,	Delaware	) Case	No. 18-cv-00347	7-CAB-MDD
16	Plaintiff,		PLA DEE	INTIFF'S OPP ENDANTS' MO	OSITION TO
17			FEES AND COSTS		JIONIOK
18	V.			CUAMDEDS DI	ILES NO
19 20	ALPHATEC HOLDINGS, I Delaware corporation, and A SPINE, INC., a California c	NC., a ALPHATE orporation	) ORA ) ORA C ) SEPA	CHAMBERS RI L ARGUMENT ARATELY ORD COURT	UNLESS ERED BY
21	Defendants.	1	)	e: Hon. Cathy Ar	ın Bencivengo
22			) Cour	troom: 4C	O
23			)		
23					
25					
26					
20					
28					
		T TO		10 000	
	R M Find authenticated cour	rt documents	s without waterm	arks at <u>docketalarm.</u>	. <u>com</u> .

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	PAGE(S)
3	LEGAL STANDARDS
4	ARGUMENT
5	A. Alphatec Has Not Demonstrated Its Hours Are Reasonable
6	B. Alphatec Has Not Demonstrated Its Rates Are Reasonable
7	
8	C. No Extenuating Circumstances Justify Alphatec's Unreasonable Hours or Rates14
9	CONCLUSION17
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20	
21	
22	
24	
25 26	
26 27	
27	
28	
DOCK	ET
ALA	

Case 3	18-cv-00347-CAB-MDD Document 266 Filed 02/19/20 PageID.26202 Page 3 of 23
1	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
2	PAGE(S)
3	CASES
4	California Bd. Sports, Inc. v. Vans, Inc.,
5 6	06-cv-2365-IEG (AJB) (S.D. Cal. Apr. 2, 2007) (magistrate order aff'd June 5, 2007) (unpublished)
7	Daimler AG v. A-Z Wheels LLC,
8	No. 16CV875-JLS-MDD, 2018 WL 3413863 (S.D. Cal. Apr. 23, 2018)
9	
10	Democratic Party of Washington State v. Reed, 388 F.3d 1281 (9th Cir. 2004)5
11	Endurance Am. Specialty Ins. Co. v. WFP Sec. Corp.,
12	No. 11CV2611-JAH(KSC), 2013 WL 12068651 (S.D. Cal. Mar. 29,
13	2013)
14	Flowerider Surf Ltd v. Pacific Surf Designs, Inc.,
15	No. 15cv1879-BEN(BLM), 2017 WL 2212029 (S.D. Cal. May 18, 2017)passim
16	G-1 Holdings, Inc. v. Baron & Budd,
17	199 F.R.D. 529 (S.D.N.Y. 2001)
18	Gonzalez v. City of Maywood,
19	729 F.3d 1196 (9th Cir. 2013)2
20	Grain v. Trinity Health, No. 03, 72486, 2000 WI, 2270584 (E.D. Mich. Oct. 5, 2000) 6, 0
21	No. 03-72486, 2009 WL 3270584 (E.D. Mich. Oct. 5, 2009)
22	<i>Hensley v. Eckerhart,</i> 461 U.S. 424 (1983)
23	
24	<i>LG Corp. v. Huang Xiaowen,</i> No. 16-cv-1162 JLS (NLS), 2017 WL 3877741 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 5,
25	2017
26	Matlink, Inc. v. Home Depot USA, Inc.,
27	No. 07cv1994-DMS(BLM), 2008 WL 8504767 (S.D. Cal. Oct. 28, 2008)
28	

**DOCKET** A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Case 3:	18-cv-00347-CAB-MDD Document 266 Filed 02/19/20 PageID.26203 Page 4 of 23
1 2 3	<i>Midamines Sprl Ltd. v. KBC Bank NV</i> , No. 12-CV-8089(RJS), 2016 WL 1071028 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 16, 2016), aff'd sub nom. Midamines SPRL Ltd. v. KBC Bank N.V., 719 F. App'x 41 (2d Cir. 2017)7, 8
4	Mogck v. Unum Life Ins. Co. of Am., 289 F. Supp. 2d 1181 (S.D. Cal. 2003)
6	Redding v. ProSight Specialty Mgmt. Co.,
7	90 F. Supp. 3d 1109 (D. Mont. 2015)
8	Youngevity Int'l, Corp. v. Smith,
9	No. 16-cv-00704-BTM-JLB, 2018 WL 2113238 (S.D. Cal. May 7, 2018)
10	Zest IP Holdings, LLC v. Implant Direct Mfg., LLC,
11	No. 10-CV-0541-GPC(WVG), 2014 WL 6851612 (S.D. Cal. Dec. 3, 2014) <i>passim</i>
12	-,, <i>F</i>
13 14	
14	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	<b>RM</b> Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .

On December 6, 2019, NuVasive filed an *Ex Parte* Motion for Protective
 Order and Appointment of Special Master ("PO Motion" or "Protective Order
 Motion"). Doc. No. 218. Less than a week later, on December 12, the Court denied
 the PO Motion and authorized Alphatec to file a motion seeking its attorney's fees
 against NuVasive. Doc. No. 232. During the Court's hearing on the PO Motion, the
 Court instructed Alphatec to "[b]e conservative" in its request for fees. Doc. No.
 254-3 at 22.

8 The following day, counsel for NuVasive reached out to counsel for Alphatec to attempt to resolve the fees and costs without further involvement from the Court. 9 See Doc. No. 254-2 at ¶ 7. On or about January 7, 2020, Alphatec responded that its 10 fees for the motion approximated nearly \$260,000, and additionally requested 11 12 payment to be presented to Alphatec in the form of an oversized novelty check. Hilgers Ex. 2;<sup>1</sup> Doc. No. 254-2 at ¶ 8. NuVasive respectfully submits this was 13 neither a "conservative" nor a reasonable fee request. NuVasive was ready and 14 willing to make a reasonable offer to resolve the fee issue and avoid motion 15 practice, but Alphatec's fee demand, and the resulting discussion, made clear that 16 17 the parties were too far apart for resolution. Hilgers Decl.  $\P$  4.

In its motion, Alphatec seeks from the Court \$242,118.80 in fees and costs,
which comprises over 300 hours' work performed largely over the course of less
than one week. Doc. No. 254-4; Doc No. 254-2. More than half of this time was
billed by four partner-level<sup>2</sup> attorneys charging between \$860-\$1,005/hour, often
performing redundant or low-level tasks. As a result, not only are Alphatec's hours
inflated by the duplication of efforts, but its average billing rate for its entire

- 24
- <sup>1</sup> "Hilgers Ex." refers to the exhibits attached to the Declaration of Michael Hilgers, filed herewith.
- <sup>2</sup> Mr. David Dalke is *Of Counsel* for Winston & Strawn. For purposes of this motion, NuVasive will refer to him, along with the three Winston & Strawn partners, as "partner-level" attorneys.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.