Case	3:18-cv-00347-CAB-MDD	Document 263	Filed 02/14/20	PageID.25948	Page 1 of 12	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	NIMALKA R. WICKRA nwickramasekera@wins DAVID P. DALKE (SB ddalke@winston.com LEV TSUKERMAN (SI Itsukerman@winston.co WINSTON & STRAWN 333 S. Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 615-1 Facsimile: (213) 615-1 BRIAN J. NISBET (Pro	AMASEKERA ston.com N: 218161) BN: 319184) m N LLP -1543 700 750			Page 1 of 12	
8	bnisbet@winston.com SARANYA RAGHAVAN (Pro Hac Vice)					
9	sraghavan@winston.com WINSTON & STRAWN LLP					
10	35 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60601-9703					
11	Telephone: (312) 558-5 Facsimile: (312) 558-5	600 700				
12	CORINNE STONE HOCKMAN (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) chockman@winston.com					
13	WINSTON & STRAW	N LLP				
14 15	1111 Louisiana Street, 25 th Floor Houston, TX 77002-5242 Telephone: (713) 651-2600 Facsimile: (713) 651-2700					
16 17	Attorneys for Defendants ALPHATEC HOLDINGS, INC. AND ALPHATEC SPINE, INC.					
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
19	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - SAN DIEGO DIVISION					
20	NUVASIVE, INC., a D	Delaware	Case No. 3:18	-CV-00347-CA	B-MDD	
21	corporation,		[Assigned to C	ourtroom $4C-$	Honorable	
22	Plaintiff,		Cathy Ann Ben	0		
23	V.	CC DIC	OF MOTION	S' REPLY IN TO EXCLUD	E THE	
24	ALPHATEC HOLDIN Delaware corporation a ALPHATEC SPINE, II	GS, INC., a ind	EXPERT TES YOUSSEF, B	STIMONY OF LAKE INGLIS KUNIN	JIM SH, AND	
25	ALPHATEC SPINE, If California corporation,	NC., a	STEPHEN G.	KUNIN		
26	Defendant	s.	PER CHAMBI	ERS RULES, N UNLESS SEPA	OORAL	
27			ORDERED BY	UNLESS SEPA THE COURT	KAIELY	
28						

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	
3 4 I.	INTRODUCTION
5 II.	REPORT AND TESTIMONY OF DR. YOUSSEF
6	A. Dr. Youssef's Opinion on Secondary Considerations is Inadmissible.
7	B. Dr. Youssef's Opinion and Testimony on Damages
8 III.	
9 IV.	
10 V.	CONCLUSION
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Case	3:18-cv-00347-CAB-MDD Document 263 Filed 02/14/20 PageID.25950 Page 3 of 12				
1					
2	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES				
3	Page(s)				
4	Cases				
5					
6	Cot'n Wash, Inc. v. Henkel Corp., 56 F. Supp.3d 626 (D. Del. 2014), aff'd 606 Fed. App'x 1009 (Fed.				
7	Cir. 2015)1				
8	DataTreasury Corp. v. Wells Fargo & Co.,				
9	No. 2:06–CV–72 DF, 2010 WL 3912264 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 13, 2010)1				
10	Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.,				
11	509 U.S. 579 (1993)				
12	Demaco Corp. v. F. Von Langsdorff Licensing, Ltd., 851 F.2d 1387 (Fed. Cir. 1988)				
13					
14	<i>Juicy Whip, Inc. v. Orange Bang, Inc.</i> , 382 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2004)4				
15	NuVasive, Inc. v. Iancu,				
16	752 F. App'x 985 (Fed. Cir. 2018)1, 2				
17	Ormco Corp. v. Align Tech., Inc.,				
18	No. SACV 03-16 CAS (ANx), 2009 WL 10668458 (C.D. Cal. May 12, 2009)				
19					
20	<i>Reno v. U.S.</i> , 283 F. App'x 502 (9th Cir. 2008)				
21	Sundance, Inc. v. DeMonte Fabricating Ltd.,				
22	550 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2008)				
23	The Medicines Co. v. Mylan Inc.,				
24	No. 11–cv–1285, 2014 WL 1758135 (N.D. Ill. May 2, 2014)				
25	U.S. v. Sandoval-Mendoza,				
26	472 F.3d 645 (9th Cir. 2006)				
27					
28					

Case	3:18-cv-00347-CAB-MDD Document 263 Filed 02/14/20 PageID.25951 Page 4 of 12
1	Whitewater W. Indus., Ltd. v. Pac. Surf Designs, Inc.,
2	No. 3:17-cv-01118-BEN-BLM, 2019 WL 4452986 (S.D. Cal. Sept. 16, 2019)
3	
4	Other Authorities
5	37 C.F.R. §1.56
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
27	
28	
DOC	
ALA	R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .

I. INTRODUCTION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The opinions of Dr. Youssef, Mr. Inglish, and Mr. Kunin should be excluded.

II. REPORT AND TESTIMONY OF DR. YOUSSEF

A. Dr. Youssef's Opinion on Secondary Considerations is Inadmissible. 1. Dr. Youssef's Nexus Testimony is Inadmissible.

NuVasive contends that Alphatec is wrong on the law, but does not explain how or why Alphatec is incorrect. (Doc. No. 259 at 7–8.) NuVasive's main complaint is that Alphatec cited cases addressing "the merits as to whether nexus was established," suggesting that experts failing to establish nexus as a matter of law cannot be excluded at the *Daubert* stage. (Doc. No. 259 at 8.) Of course that is not true. *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 579, 597 (1993); *see also Cot'n Wash, Inc. v. Henkel Corp.*, 56 F. Supp.3d 626, 650–651 (D. Del. 2014), *aff'd* 606 Fed. App'x 1009 (Fed. Cir. 2015) (excluding expert opinions of commercial success and industry praise for lack of nexus); *DataTreasury Corp. v. Wells Fargo & Co.*, No. 2:06–CV–72 DF, 2010 WL 3912264, at *4 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 13, 2010) (likewise excluding expert opinion when there was no support "for a nexus between industry success . . . on one hand and the merits of the claimed inventions on the other.").

NuVasive also contends that Alphatec is wrong on the facts. (Doc. No. 259 at 8– 9.) Dr. Youssef presumes nexus based on his opinion that the asserted claims are coextensive with XLIF. (*Id.*) However, it is undisputed that XLIF also requires spinal implants and a dedicated neuromonitoring platform, both of which *are not embodied and not claimed by the asserted patents. NuVasive, Inc. v. Iancu,* 752 F. App'x 985, 995 (Fed. Cir. 2018) ("And the Guide specifically identifies the MaXcess® II Access System, MaXcess® XLIF System, and Neurovision® System as part of the required instruments to successfully complete the technique."); (Doc. Nos. 259 at 9; 259-2 at ¶ 91.) Indeed, none of the alleged infringing combinations of Alphatec's accused

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.