1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	NIMALKA R. WICKRAMASEKERA (Snwickramasekera@winston.com DAVID P. DALKE (SBN: 218161) ddalke@winston.com LEV TSUKERMAN (SBN: 319184) ltsukerman@winston.com WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 333 S. Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071-1543 Telephone: (213) 615-1700 Facsimile: (213) 615-1750 BRIAN J. NISBET (Pro Hac Vice) bnisbet@winston.com SARANYA RAGHAVAN (Pro Hac Vice) sraghavan@winston.com WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 35 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60601-9703 Telephone: (312) 558-5600 Facsimile: (312) 558-5700	
12 13 14 15	CORINNE STONE HOCKMAN (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) chockman@winston.com WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 1111 Louisiana Street, 25 th Floor Houston, TX 77002-5242 Telephone: (713) 651-2600 Facsimile: (713) 651-2700	
16 17	Attorneys for Defendants ALPHATEC HOLDINGS, INC. AND ALPHATEC SPINE, INC.	
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
19	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - SAN DIEGO DIVISION	
20	NUVASIVE, INC., a Delaware corporation,	Case No. 3:18-CV-00347-CAB-MDD
21	Plaintiff,	[Assigned to Courtroom 4C – Honorable Cathy Ann Bencivengo]
22	V.	DECLARATION OF BRIAN J.
23	ALPHATEC HOLDINGS, INC., a	NISBET IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR FEES
24	Delaware corporation and ALPHATEC SPINE, INC., a California corporation,	AND COSTS
25	Defendants.	
26		
27		
20		



- 1. I am a partner with the law firm of Winston & Strawn LLP, counsel of record for Defendants Alphatec Holdings, Inc. and Alphatec Spine, Inc. (individually and collectively, "Alphatec"). I have personal knowledge of the following facts and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto.
- 2. Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of the transcript of the hearing on NuVasive's motion dated December 12, 2019.
- 3. Exhibit 2 is a true and correct copy of the invoice reflecting the fees and costs Alphatec incurred in responding to NuVasive's motion in December 2019 (Doc. No. 218.)
- 4. On December 12, 2019, the Court denied NuVasive's *Ex Parte* Motion for Protective Order and Appointment of Special Master. (Doc. No. 232.) The Court also sanctioned NuVasive and awarded Alphatec its fees and costs associated with responding to NuVasive's motion. (Doc. No. 232.)
- 5. Over the past several weeks, I have attempted in good faith to resolve the fees and costs dispute between Alphatec and NuVasive with Mike Hilgers of Hilgers Graben PLLC. Mr. Hilgers is counsel for NuVasive. Though the dialogue was productive, NuVasive ultimately failed or refused to provide any offer to settle the issue of Alphatec's fees and costs.
- 6. I summarize below the time I have spent negotiating on behalf of Alphatec, which is in addition to the time reflected in Exhibit 2.
- 7. On December 13, 2019, Mr. Hilgers contacted me by telephone on behalf of NuVasive to see whether there would be potential interest in resolving the sanctions dispute between Alphatec and NuVasive. We spoke for approximately 6 minutes.
- 8. On January 6, 2020, I spoke with Mr. Hilgers for approximately 10 minutes to relay the fees and costs Alphatec incurred in responding to NuVasive's motion. I also spoke with Mr. Craig Hunsaker, Alphatec's General Counsel, for approximately 25 minutes about resolving the sanctions dispute.



- 10. On January 10, 2020, I spoke with Mr. Hilgers for approximately 1 hour and continued negotiations over the fees and costs dispute. I also spoke again with Mr. Hunsaker about this issue for approximately 10 minutes.
- 11. On January 13, 2020, I spoke with Mr. Hilgers for approximately 10 minutes and continued negotiations over the fees and costs dispute. I also spoke again with Mr. Hunsaker about this issue for approximately 10 minutes.
- 12. On January 15, 2020, I spoke with Mr. Hilgers for approximately 10 minutes about the fees and costs dispute. At that point, Mr. Hilgers and I concluded that it was not likely the parties would be able to resolve the dispute and that Alphatec would have to press forward and seek relief from the Court. NuVasive still has not provided any offer to cover Alphatec's fees and costs associated with responding to NuVasive's motion.
- 13. In addition to these conversations, since the time reflected in Exhibit 2 to Alphatec's motion, I have spent an additional approximately 15 hours reviewing bills, responding to correspondences from Mr. Hilgers, drafting Alphatec's motion for fees and costs, and drafting this declaration.
 - 14. My billable rate is \$860.00, as reflected in Exhibit 2.
- 15. Thus, the total time I spent attempting to resolve this dispute with Mr. Hilgers, reviewing bills, drafting Alphatec's motion, and drafting this declaration is approximately 17.6 hours. At an hourly rate of \$860.00, approximately \$15,164.66 should be added to the figure in Exhibit 2.
- 16. Also, I understand that Nimalka Wickramasekera, lead counsel for Alphatec, has spent approximately 1.2 hours conferring about and revising Alphatec's motion for fees and costs. Her billable rate is \$1,005.00, as reflected in Exhibit 2. At an hourly rate of \$1,005.00, approximately \$1,206.00 should be added to the figure in Exhibit 2.



- 17. In sum, Alphatec's total fees and costs associated with responding to NuVasive's motion are \$242,118.80.
- 18. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 29th day of January, 2020, at Chicago, Illinois.

/s/Brian J. Nisbet BRIAN J. NISBET



TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Page Nos.
1	5-26
2	27-37

