1	NIMALKA R. WICKRAMASEKERA (SBN: 268518)	
2	nwickramasekera@winston.com DAVID P. DALKE (SBN: 218161)	
3	ddalke@winston.com LEV TSUKERMAN (SBN: 319184)	
4	ltsukerman@winston.com WINSTON & STRAWN LLP	
	333 S. Grand Avenue	
5	Los Angeles, CA 90071-1543 Telephone: (213) 615-1700	
6	Facsimile: (213) 615-1750	
7	BRIAN J. NISBET (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) bnisbet@winston.com	
8	SARANYA RAGHAVAN (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) sraghavan@winston.com	
9	WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 35 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60601-9703 Telephone: (312) 558-5600	
10		
11	Facsimile: (312) 558-5700	
12	CORINNE STONE HOCKMAN (Pro Hac Vice) chockman@winston.com	
13	WINSTON & STRAWN LLP	
14	1111 Louisiana Street, 25 th Floor Houston, TX 77002-5242	
15	Telephone: (713) 651-2600 Facsimile: (713) 651-2700	
16	Attorneys for Defendants	
17	ALPHATEC HOLDINGS, INC. AND ALPHATEC SPINE, INC.	
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
19	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN DIEGO DIVISION	
20	NUVASIVE, INC., a Delaware	Case No. 18-CV-00347-CAB-MDD
21	corporation,	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
22	Plaintiff,	AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR FEES
23	V.	AND COSTS
24	ALPHATEC HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and ALPHATEC SPINE, INC., a	Judge: Hon. Cathy Ann Bencivengo
25	ALPHATEC SPINE, INC., a California corporation,	Courtroom: 4C
26	Defendants.	PER CHAMBERS RULES, NO ORAL ARGUMENT UNLESS SEPARATELY
27		ORDERED BY THE COURT
20		







I. INTRODUCTION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

At close of business on Friday, December 6, 2019, NuVasive, by and through its counsel, Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati P.C. and Hilgers Graben PLLC, filed a motion asking this Court to publicly censure and disqualify Alphatec's outside counsel and Alphatec's General Counsel. (Doc. No. 218.) NuVasive's motion was filed in violation of the local rules, nearly two years into this case, two weeks before discovery was set to close (with key depositions occurring within one week), and just over one month before dispositive motions were due. The timing of NuVasive's motion was intentional and disruptive. NuVasive's decision to wait until the final stretch of discovery caused maximum distraction, even though NuVasive claimed Alphatec's alleged misdeeds dated back to the case's inception. (Doc. No. 218 at 17.) And the consequences of NuVasive's motion, were it to have been granted, cannot be understated – Alphatec would have been forced to replace its outside counsel years into the litigation and a few months before trial, and turn over its otherwise privileged communications to a Special Master for review and judgment. The cost to Alphatec would have been tremendous, and Alphatec would have been severely and unfairly disadvantaged in this and other litigations against NuVasive.

But, after a thorough review, the Court denied NuVasive's motion, determined it was meritless and frivolous, and authorized Alphatec to file a motion to recover its fees and costs expended in response. (Doc. No. 232.) After unsuccessfully attempting to informally reach agreement and seek monetary recovery from NuVasive, Alphatec now moves the Court and respectfully submits its fees and costs expended to respond to NuVasive's motion.

II. BACKGROUND

On December 6, 2019, NuVasive, by and through its counsel, Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati P.C. and Hilgers Graben PLLC, filed an *Ex Parte* Motion for Protective Order and Appointment of Special Master. (Doc. No. 218.) In its 300+ page motion, NuVasive charged Alphatec's counsel and Alphatec's General Counsel with



violations of various contractual and ethical obligations based on 1) the false claim that Alphatec's outside counsel and Alphatec's Executive Vice President, Clinical Strategies were texting each other NuVasive's confidential and privileged information during a deposition, solely because the two women were (allegedly) seen using their phones at the same time, and 2) Alphatec's counsel asking a current NuVasive employee three innocuous questions during that deposition, about his duties and responsibilities. (Doc. No. 218 at 19-22, Doc. No. 218-18.)

Based on that conduct, NuVasive demanded, almost two years into the litigation and at a critical time in the case, that the Court enter "a protective order prohibiting the Former NuVasive Employees from disclosing any of NuVasive's Protected Information to Alphatec or its counsel, prohibiting Alphatec's counsel from communicating ex parte with the Former NuVasive Employees on any matter related to this litigation, and prohibiting Alphatec's counsel from representing any of the Former NuVasive Employees in any matter related to this litigation." (Doc. No. 218 at 2.) The Former NuVasive Employees at issue included Alphatec's General Counsel and Chairman and CEO. NuVasive also demanded that "all communications" between Alphatec's counsel and/or General Counsel and certain former NuVasive employees be turned over to a Special Master for review and judgment. (Doc. No. 218 at 36.)

After receiving NuVasive's motion (which was filed without any notice whatsoever), Alphatec and its counsel immediately began to formulate a response. NuVasive's motion required Alphatec's immediate and exclusive attention because, had it been granted, the consequences would have been both broad and severe.

First, as the Court recognized, Alphatec's current counsel would have been disqualified for mishandling privileged information and violating various ethical obligations—a serious charge for any lawyer to carry forward. (Ex. 1, 12/12/2019 Mot. Hr'g Tr. at 4-5, 13.)

Second, otherwise privileged communications regarding the litigation between Alphatec's counsel and certain former NuVasive employees now at Alphatec, including

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

