#### Case 3:17-cv-00183-CAB-BGS Document 805 Filed 08/18/20 PageID.39228 Page 386f 180

```
1
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                     SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                    THE HONORABLE CATHY ANN BENCIVENGO
 4
 5
     FINJAN, INC.,
                       Plaintiff, )
                                        CASE NO. 17CV183-CAB-BGS
 6
 7
                                         SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA
              VS.
     ESET, LLC and ESET SPOL. S.R.O.,) THURSDAY, MARCH 12, 2020
 8
 9
                       Defendants. )
10
11
12
13
14
15
           Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial Day 3, Volume 3
16
                              Pages 388-567
17
18
19
20
21
22
     Proceedings reported by stenography, transcript produced by
     computer assisted software
23
                  Mauralee Ramirez, RPR, CSR No. 11674
24
                     Federal Official Court Reporter
                        ordertranscript@gmail.com
25
```



## Case 3:17-cv-00183-CAB-BGS Document 805 Filed 08/18/20 PageID.39229 Page 28/9f 180

1	APPEARANCES:
2	For The Plaintiff: Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel, LLP Paul Andre
3	James Hannah Lisa Kobialka
4	Kristopher Kaskins 990 Marsh Road
5	Menlo Park, California 94025
6	Cristina Lynn Martinez 1177 Avenue of the Americas
7	New York, New York 10036
8	
9	For the Defendants: Eversheds Sutherland (US) LLP Nicola A. Pisano
10	Scott A. Penner Regis Worley
11	12255 El Camino Real, Suite 100 San Diego, California 92130.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



## Case 3:17-cv-00183-CAB-BGS Document 805 Filed 08/18/20 PageID.39230 Page 390f 180

1 San Diego, California; Thursday, March 12, 2020; 8:30 a.m. 2 (Case called) 3 (Appearances stated) MR. ANDRE: Your Honor, before we get started, I would 4 5 like to do a housekeeping matter with claims we are going to be dismissing with prejudice, if it's okay to do it now. 6 7 THE COURT: Yes. 8 MR. ANDRE: So for the '844 patent, we will continue 9 to assert claims, 7 and 15. We'll be dismissing claims 11 and 10 16 with prejudice. For the '086 patent, we'll be asserting in this case, claims 24 and 42. We'll be dismissing with 11 12 prejudice claims 1, 2, 9 and 10. For the '780 patent, we'll 13 retain claims 9 and 13. We'll dismiss with prejudice claim 18. 14 For the '621 patent, we want to assert in this case, claims 1, 15 6, 7 and 10. We'll dismiss with prejudice claims 5, 11, 13 and 14. And for the '755 patent, we'll be asserting in this trial, 16 17 claims 3, 5 and 6. And we'll dismiss with prejudice, claims 7 18 and 8. We're doing this in good faith to streamline the case 19 in order to be able get within the time limits we've been 20 placed with. And we appreciate the Court's indulgence. THE COURT: Thank you. Anything? 21 22 MR. PISANO: Nothing, Your Honor. Thank you. 23 THE COURT: All right. Then the Court will make that notation as set forth, the claims that you've indicated are 24 25 dismissed with prejudice. In light of dismissal with prejudice



## Case 3:17-cv-00183-CAB-BGS Document 805 Filed 08/18/20 PageID.39231 Page 390f 180

of claims in the patents that have expired, the defendants no longer have a reasonable apprehension of being sued on them again in the future since any products that you could have asserted against them are currently on the market and have been on the market now since the expiration. So your dec relief action for invalidity is now dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction since there is no longer a case in controversy. So I expect that might result in you streamlining some of your invalidity case going forward. All right. Great.

Now I've got your nightly filing, but I understand you may have resolved some of these issues.

MR. ANDRE: Your Honor, the deposition designations will not be going forward until next week. I think we can probably work through most of them. We'll change the designations. And to the extent we can't, we'll bring the witnesses live next week. If we can't reach accommodations — it was one-or-two-minute clips of deposition. We tried for time expediency because it doesn't makes sense to bring a witness live for one-and-a-half minutes of deposition, but if that's what the defense wants, that's what they'll get.

The only thing left on your schedule is the Mitzenmacher exhibits.

THE COURT: Right. I have your representation that you understand that if he wants to use exhibits that are the result of testing on current products, you all need to lay a



## Case 3:17-cv-00183-CAB-BGS Document 805 Filed 08/18/20 PageID.39232 Page 390f 180

```
foundation, not just to have him say oh, yeah I understand
these things were at the infringement time, but actually how he
knows that.
        MR. HANNAH: Absolutely.
         THE COURT: And I'll allow the testing.
        MR. HANNAH: Thank you.
        MR. PENNER: Thank you, Your Honor.
         THE COURT: Anything else?
        MR. PENNER: Actually, there was one issue with one of
the slides to Dr. Mitzenmacher's presentations as well, Your
Honor. Slides 56 and 57 related to hashing.
         THE COURT: So the purpose of this is what? I mean,
it's a fact, I guess, yes, a hash can be built from multiple
hashes. You can assemble a final hash. But in this case, that
doesn't really comply with the Court's claim construction. So
is it just explanatory?
        MR. HANNAH: It's explanatory explaining the hashes.
This is actually relevant. Their products do do hashes of
multiple hashes and then has a final hash. He's not talking
about claim construction or anything like that. He's giving a
background of how hashes are formed. We'll lay foundation for
    He's the expert in hashing and how these things work.
It's just a demonstrative to demonstrate how hashing works and
explain the concept to the jury.
```



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PENNER: It seems -- I mean, building hash with

# DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

