

FILED

9/11/2023

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY s/L. Hernandez DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FINJAN, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

ESET, LLC and ESET SPOL. S.R.O.,

Defendants.

Case No.: 17-CV-183-CAB-BGS

VERDICT FORM

We, the jury in the above-entitled action, find the following verdict on the questions submitted to us:

QUESTION 1 – INFRINGEMENT

- Did Finjan prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the following ESET LLC or ESET Spol products or services infringe any of the asserted claims (please check either yes or no for each claim as to each listed product or service)

Product/Service	'844 Patent Claim 1	Yes	No
ESET Gateway Security Product			✓
ESET Server Products			✓
Product/Service	'844 Patent Claim 7	Yes	No
ESET Gateway Security Product			✓
ESET Server Products			✓

Product/Service	'844 Patent Claim 15	Yes	No
ESET Gateway Security Product			✓
ESET Server Products			✓
The Cloud Malware Protection System			✓
The LiveGrid Feedback System			✓
The LiveGrid Reputation System			✓
Product/Service	'780 Patent Claim 9	Yes	No
ESET Gateway Security Product			✓
ESET Server Products			✓
ESET Endpoint Products			✓
The Cloud Malware Protection System			✓
The LiveGrid Feedback System			✓
The LiveGrid Reputation System			✓
Product/Service	'780 Patent Claim 13	Yes	No
ESET Gateway Security Product			✓
ESET Server Products			✓
ESET Endpoint Products			✓
The Cloud Malware Protection System			✓
The LiveGrid Feedback System			✓
The LiveGrid Reputation System			✓
Product/Service	'086 Patent Claim 24	Yes	No
ESET Gateway Security Product			✓
ESET Server Products			✓
ESET Endpoint Products			✓
The Cloud Malware Protection System			✓
The LiveGrid Feedback System			✓
The LiveGrid Reputation System			✓

QUESTION 2 – INVALIDITY ANTICIPATION

Did the ESET entities prove by clear and convincing evidence that any of the claims of the '844, '780, and '086 patents are **invalid as anticipated by the prior art**? (Please check yes or no).

Prior Art	'844 Patent Claim 1	Yes	No
U.S. Patent No. 5,983,348 to Ji			✓
Prior Art	'844 Patent Claim 7	Yes	No
U.S. Patent No. 5,983,348 to Ji			✓
Prior Art	'844 Patent Claim 15	Yes	No
U.S. Patent No. 5,983,348 to Ji			✓
Prior Art	'780 Patent Claim 9	Yes	No
U.S. Patent No. 6,157,721 to Shear			✓
Prior Art	'780 Patent Claim 13	Yes	No
U.S. Patent No. 6,157,721 to Shear			✓

QUESTION 3 – INVALIDITY OBVIOUSNESS

Did the ESET Entities prove by clear and convincing evidence that any of the claims of the '844, '780, and '086 patents are **invalid as obvious in view of the prior art?**
(Please check yes or no).

Prior Art	'844 Patent Claim 1	Yes	No
ThunderByte and MIMESweeper			✓
Prior Art	'844 Patent Claim 7	Yes	No
ThunderByte and MIMESweeper			✓
Prior Art	'844 Patent Claim 15	Yes	No
ThunderByte and MIMESweeper			✓
Prior Art	'780 Patent Claim 9	Yes	No
U.S. Patent No. 6,157,721 to Shear			✓
Prior Art	'780 Patent Claim 13	Yes	No
U.S. Patent No. 6,157,721 to Shear			✓
Prior Art	'086 Patent Claim 24	Yes	No
NOD iCE and MIMESweeper			✓
ThunderByte and MIMESweeper			✓

QUESTION 4 – INVALIDITY INVENTORSHIP

Did the ESET Entities prove by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 24 of the '086 patent is **invalid because of improper inventorship**? (Please check yes or no).

'086 Patent

Claim 24

Yes _____

No _____

QUESTION 5 – PATENT INELIGIBILITY

Did the ESET Entities prove by clear and convincing evidence that Claims 9 and 13 of the '780 patent are **invalid because they do not claim patentable subject matter**? (Please check yes or no).

'780 Patent

Claim 9

Yes _____

No _____

QUESTION 6 – LICENSE

Did the ESET Entities prove by a preponderance of the evidence that it is covered as a third party under the Microsoft License Agreement to practice the '780 and the '086 patents? (Please check yes or no).

Yes _____

No _____

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.